



Clackamas
County
Coordinating
Committee

Promoting partnership among the County, its Cities and Special Districts

**CLACKAMAS COUNTY COORDINATING COMMITTEE (C4)
Agenda**

**Thursday, October 06, 2016
6:45 PM – 8:30 PM**

Development Service Building
Main Floor Auditorium, Room 115
150 Beaver Creek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

1. 6:45 p.m. **Pledge of Allegiance**

Welcome & Introductions
Commissioner Paul Savas & Mayor Brian Hodson, Co-Chairs

Housekeeping
 - Approval of September 01, 2016 C4 Minutes **Page 02**
2. 6:55 p.m. **Affordable Housing Panel**
Panel and questions **Page 05**
3. 8:00 p.m. **Regional Flexible Funds Allocation Comment Process**
4. 8:15 p.m. **Monthly Updates**
 - Land Use Advisory Subcommittee re Affordable Housing
 - Metro Mayors Consortium
 - JPACT/MPAC Update
5. 8:30 p.m. **Adjourn**

Members noted the August discussion was helpful and needed given the sequence of events; that it was an appropriate use, function and responsibility of the group; a more open process for placing items on the agenda and bylaw revision is necessary.

Transportation Project Prioritization Process

As a result of the C4 retreat and ongoing discussions by the committee, Steve Williams, Clackamas County Transportation and Development, facilitated a process to determine a way of prioritizing transportation projects. He presented two purposes outlined below.

Purpose A: Process to prioritize that would score or rank based on the transportation service priority giving larger projects the greater focus. The minuses to this process is that it would not work well for small to medium size projects and does not focus on competitive grant processes.

Purpose B: Process: Process to prioritize that would focus on small or particular projects. This would allow for more competitive processes and would break down the county into sub areas. The focus would be on competitiveness criteria of each of the grants with the intent of putting forward the best possible project. The minuses to this process is that it would not work well for large projects.

Major points of discussion included:

Purpose A – Received the most yellow cards from members

- Sources for funding are focused on different sized projects
- Need to look at what amount of money is available and the source
- Need to look at Clackamas County projects
- Cannot pick a prioritization system without knowing what the priority projects are
- Eliminates projects that are proactive
- Benefit for the entire county as opposed to highly competitive projects in the urban area

Purpose B – Received the most green cards from members

- Allows for picking projects and working collaboratively
- Align large and small projects with appropriate funding sources
- Focus on criteria that will make the project ready and most competitive
- Urban and Rural projects have different sets of needs
- Put the most qualified project forward and submit a suitable application

Steve will bring back a modified version of Purpose B that is geographically organized and allows larger projects to be considered as appropriate based on funding streams. It was requested that this version include data, criteria, projects that have historically received the different types of grants and match levels.

R1ACT Annual Review

The R1ACT Charter will be discussed at the September R1ACT meeting. Feedback included:

- More rural area representation needed.

Other comments about the County's strategy included:

- Submit one application from Clackamas County instead of multiples.
- What can be done to implement in our county similar to Washington County?

C4 Bylaws Discussion

Staff will be cleaning up and presenting revised bylaws at the November C4 meeting. Let staff know of items that need to be edited or changed.

Monthly Updates:

CMAQ Letters

Two cities in Oregon have been added to the eligibility list to receive Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds – Salem and Eugene. Previously, Metro received these funds on behalf of the urban area, but the addition of Salem and Eugene as CMAQ recipients will result in a reduction of CMAQ funds available for the metro region. Clackamas County and Wilsonville submitted letters opposing the redistribution.

Metro Mayors Consortium

There was no meeting in August, but there is interest by the group to be active in Salem during the legislative session. The MMC has selected a lobbyist for their representation.

JPACT/MPAC Update

JPACT meets next week and MPAC has not met for a couple months.

Other:

Mayor Gamba asked when the affordable housing conversation would be on the agenda. Commissioner Savas indicated that the county is working on gathering information based on initiatives and an ad hoc subcommittee of C4 will be pulled together to discuss and report back to C4 in December. Commissioner Savas will have staff send out a link to two articles relating to homelessness.

Meeting adjourned at 8:16 p.m.

**Clackamas County Coordinating Committee
Panel on Affordable Housing
October 06, 2016**

Panel Participants:

- Jerry Johnson, Johnson Economics
- Mike Wells, Manufactured Housing Communities of Oregon Board Chair
- Rob Justus, Home First Development
- Sean Hubert, Chief Housing and Employment Officer at Central City Concern
- Emily Lieb, Equitable Housing Project Manager at Metro

Questions the Panel will be addressing:

- Please tell us how your agency/industry fits into the “affordable housing” discussion and how your work impacts Clackamas County.
- From your industry’s perspective, what are the major problems facing the Portland region, and Clackamas County in particular, related to housing?
- What are some possible solutions?
- What populations are experiencing the greatest need for housing and how can those needs be met? Answer can either be general or industry specific.
- With so many players in the housing market, from houselessness services to high end developments, how can all of the players work together to address the housing issues at-large?
- What are local solutions that you can see which would make it easier for your industry to more easily address affordable housing needs?
- How can the County help you be more successful in the services you provide?
- In all of the “affordable housing” discussions, what, in your opinion, are the questions that are not being asked yet and how can the larger conversation move to address those questions?