



Elizabeth Comfort
Finance Director, Interim

Department of Finance

Public Services Building
2051 Kaen Road, Suite 490 | Oregon City, OR 97045

January 12, 2023

BCC Agenda Date/Item: _____

Board of County Commissioners
Clackamas County

Approval of a Financial Assistance Application Lifecycle Form for the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program through the US Department of Energy. Grant request is for \$329,650. Funding through the US Department of Energy. No County General Funds are involved.

Previous Board Action/Review	Briefed at Issues – January 10, 2023		
Performance Clackamas	1. Which indicator of success does this item affect? Honor, Utilize, Promote and Invest in our Natural Resources		
Counsel Review	N/A	Procurement Review	N/A
Contact Person	Elizabeth Comfort	Contact Phone	503-936-5345

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: Congress passed two significant pieces of energy and climate legislation in the last year. One is the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG). This is to provide federal grants to states, local governments, and Tribes to help them implement strategies to reduce fossil fuel emissions, reduce total energy use, and improve energy efficiency.

The amount of \$329,650 is the estimated amount to Clackamas County based on the allocation formula using populations and state energy consumption.

There are 15 categories of eligible uses of funds. The County has identified two primary uses which are eligible: retrofit lightings to LED for energy efficiency and relay (redesign) floor plans to accommodate space reduction and team collaboration needs in the PSB and DSB buildings. The telework policy is allowing for reduction in fossil fuel use for employees by a hybrid work schedule. Redesign of work space to consolidate and desk sharing is in-line with implementation of programs to conserve energy use.

This grant will not fully fund the projects associated with it and general fund dollars may be spent through Facilities Management funds as needed.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Board of Commissioners approve the application to EECBG Program offset any General Fund dollars used for these efficiencies.

Respectfully submitted,

Elizabeth Comfort

Elizabeth Comfort
Finance Director

For Filing Use Only

Financial Assistance Application Lifecycle Form

Use this form to track your potential award from conception to submission.

Sections of this form are designed to be completed in collaboration between department program and fiscal staff.

If renewal, complete sections 1, 2 & 4 only. If direct appropriation, complete page 1 and Dept/Finance signatures only.

If Disaster or Emergency Relief Funding, EOC will need to approve prior to being sent to the BCC

****CONCEPTION****

Section I: Funding Opportunity Information - To Be Completed by Requester

Award type: Direct Appropriation (no application) Subrecipient Award Direct Award

Award Renewal? Yes No

Lead Fund # and Department:	744 Finance-Facilities
Name of Funding Opportunity:	Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program

Funding Source: Federal – Direct Federal – Pass through State Local

Requestor Information: (Name of staff initiating form)	Elizabeth Comfort
Requestor Contact Information:	ecomfort@clackamas.us
Department Fiscal Representative:	Elizabeth Comfort
Program Name & Prior Project #: (please specify)	Space Planning & Lighting Retrofit

Brief Description of Project:

This grant will assist in space planning and energy efficiency moves and relays due to Teleworking in PSB & DSB, as well as lighting retrofits in Stokes Building, Beaver Creek Clinic and PSTC parking lot.

Name of Funding Agency: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program

Notification of Funding Opportunity Web Address: <https://www.energy.gov/articles/doe-fact-sheet-bipartisan-infrastructure-deal-will-deliver-american-workers-families-and-0>

OR

Application Packet Attached: Yes No

Completed By:

Date:

**** NOW READY FOR SUBMISSION TO DEPARTMENT FISCAL REPRESENTATIVE ****

Section II: Funding Opportunity Information - To Be Completed by Department Fiscal Rep

Competitive Application Non-Competing Application Other

Assistance Listing Number (ALN), if applicable:		Funding Agency Award Notification Date:	N/A
Announcement Date:	Dec 23, 2022	Announcement/Opportunity #:	
Grant Category/Title	Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	Funding Amount Requested:	\$329,650
Allows Indirect/Rate:	N/A	Match Requirement:	\$0
Application Deadline:	application is opening 1st qtr 2023	Total Project Cost:	\$500,000
Award Start Date:		Other Deadlines and Description:	
Award End Date:			
Completed By:	Elizabeth Comfort	Program Income Requirements:	N/A
Pre-Application Meeting Schedule:			

Additional funding sources available to fund this program? Please describe:

General Fund and other revenues

How much General Fund will be used to cover costs in this program, including indirect expenses?
various amounts based on department needs for relays (refits). \$32k - and up

How much Fund Balance will be used to cover costs in this program, including indirect expenses?
N/A

In the next section, limit answers to space available.

Section III: Funding Opportunity Information - To Be Completed at Pre-Application Meeting by Dept Program and Fiscal Staff

Mission/Purpose:

1. *How does the grant/funding opportunity support the Department and/or Division's Mission/Purpose/Goals?*

Each work space will be used efficiently and teams working closer together providing better communication. Current spaces for 50 spread out over the department square footage could be reduced to 1/3 the space. The other space re-purposed by other expanding departments. Wasted space and disjointed working areas.

The relighting will with LED will be brighter and cost efficient.

2. *Who, if any, are the community partners who might be better suited to perform this work?*

N/A

3. *What are the objectives of this funding opportunity? How will we meet these objectives?*

Each work space will be used efficiently.

Brighter lights in public areas for safety.

4. *Does the grant/financial assistance fund an existing program? If yes, which program? If no, what is the purpose of the program?*

No.

Organizational Capacity:

1. *Does the organization have adequate and qualified staff? If no, can staff be hired within the grant/financial assistance funding opportunity timeframe?*

Yes. Current Facility Staff can support the relays and retrofit lighting projects.

2. *Are there partnership efforts required? If yes, who are we partnering with and what are their roles and responsibilities?*

No.

3. *If this is a pilot project, what is the plan for sun setting the project and/or staff if it does not continue (e.g. making staff positions temporary or limited duration, etc.)?*

Not a pilot project.

4. *If funded, would this grant/financial assistance create a new program, does the department intend for the program to continue after initial funding is exhausted? If yes, how will the department ensure funding (e.g. request new funding during the budget process, supplanted by a different program, etc.)?*

No. Not a program.

Collaboration

1. List County departments that will collaborate on this award, if any.

N/A

Reporting Requirements

1. What are the program reporting requirements for this grant/funding opportunity?

fiscal reports, narratives on work accomplished.

2. How will performance be evaluated? Are we using existing data sources? If yes, what are they and where are they housed? If not, is it feasible to develop a data source within the grant timeframe?

Success of combined spaces for team collaboration.
Brighter and safety areas for employees. Do employees feel safer.

3. What are the fiscal reporting requirements for this funding?

TBD

Fiscal

1. Are there other revenue sources required, available, or will be used to fund the program? Have they already been secured? Please list all funding sources and amounts.

No.

2. For applications with a match requirement, how much is required (in dollars) and what type of funding will be used to meet it (CGF, In-kind, local grant, etc.)?

No.

3. Does this grant/financial assistance cover indirect costs? If yes, is there a rate cap? If no, can additional funds be obtained to support indirect expenses and what are those sources?

TBD

Other information necessary to understand this award, if any.

Program Approval:

Elizabeth Comfort

Name (Typed/Printed)

1.3.2023

Date

Elizabeth Comfort

Signature

**** NOW READY FOR PROGRAM MANAGER SUBMISSION TO DIVISION DIRECTOR ****

****ATTACH ANY CERTIFICATIONS REQUIRED BY THE FUNDING AGENCY. COUNTY FINANCE OR ADMIN WILL SIGN****

Section IV: Approvals

DIVISION DIRECTOR (or designee, if applicable)

Jeff Jorgensen

1.3.2023

Jeff Jorgensen

Jeff Jorgensen (Jan 3, 2023 17:46 PST)

Name (Typed/Printed)

Date

Signature

DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR (or designee, if applicable)

Name (Typed/Printed)

Date

Signature

FINANCE ADMINISTRATION

Elizabeth Comfort

1-3-2023

Elizabeth Comfort

Name (Typed/Printed)

Date

Signature

EOC COMMAND APPROVAL **WHEN NEEDED FOR DISASTER OR EMERGENCY RELIEF APPLICATIONS ONLY**

Name (Typed/Printed)

Date

Signature

Section V: Board of County Commissioners/County Administration

(Required for all grant applications. If your grant is awarded, all grant awards must be approved by the Board on their weekly consent agenda regardless of amount per local budget law 294.338.)

For applications less than \$150,000:

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR	Approved: <input type="checkbox"/>	Denied: <input type="checkbox"/>
Name (Typed/Printed)	Date	Signature

For applications under \$150,000 email form to Christina Fadenrecht at CFadenrecht@clackamas.us for Gary Schmidt's approval.

For applications \$150,000 and above, email form with Staff Report to the Clerk to the Board at ClerktotheBoard@clackamas.us to be brought to the consent agenda.

BCC Agenda item #: Date:

OR

Policy Session Date:

County Administration Attestation

County Administration: re-route to department at

and

Grants Manager at financegrants@clackamas.us

when fully approved.

Department: keep original with your grant file.



NOTICE OF INTENT No. DE-FOA-0002882

Notice of Intent to Issue Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), Section 40552(b): Administrative and Legal Requirements Document (ALRD) and Application Instructions for the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) Program

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of State and Community Energy Programs (SCEP) intends to issue an Administrative and Legal Requirements Document (ALRD) for the “Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) Program - section 40552(b) of the [Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act \(IIJA\)](#) of 2021”.

BACKGROUND

On November 15, 2021, President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. signed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (Public Law 117-58), also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL).¹ The BIL is a once-in-a-generation investment in infrastructure, designed to modernize and upgrade American infrastructure to enhance United States competitiveness, drive the creation of good-paying union jobs, tackle the climate crisis, and ensure stronger access to economic, environmental, and other benefits for disadvantaged communities.² The BIL appropriates more than \$62 billion to DOE³ to invest in American manufacturing and workers; expand access to energy efficiency and clean energy; deliver reliable, clean and affordable power to more Americans; and demonstrate and deploy the technologies of tomorrow through clean energy demonstrations.

To support the goal of building a clean and equitable energy economy, the BIL-funded projects are expected to (1) support meaningful community and labor engagement; (2) invest in America’s workforce; (3) advance diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility; and (4) contribute to the

¹ Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Public Law 117-58 (November 15, 2021). <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3684>. This Notice uses the more common name “Bipartisan Infrastructure Law.”

² Pursuant to E.O. 14008 and the Office of Management and Budget’s Interim Justice40 Implementation Guidance M-21-28, DOE has developed a definition and tools to locate and identify disadvantaged communities. These resources can be located at <https://energyjustice.egs.anl.gov/>. DOE will also recognize disadvantaged communities as defined and identified by the White House Council on Environmental Quality’s Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST), which can be located at <https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/>.

³ U.S. Department of Energy. November 2021. “DOE Fact Sheet: The Bipartisan Infrastructure Deal Will Deliver For American Workers, Families and Usher in the Clean Energy Future.” <https://www.energy.gov/articles/doe-fact-sheet-bipartisan-infrastructure-deal-will-deliver-american-workers-families-and-0>

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



President’s goal that 40% of the overall benefits from certain federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities (the Justice40 Initiative⁴).

This Notice is issued so that interested parties are aware of SCEP’s intention to issue an ALRD in the near term. All the information contained in this Notice is subject to change. In addition, this Notice provides information on the EECBG Program, including draft funding allocations to states, local governments, and Indian tribes and the potential option for formula grant recipients to select a voucher in lieu of a formula grant. This Notice provides additional details on eligibility requirements and information on submission and registration requirements for formula grant recipients. The Notice includes the following supporting documents:

1. Draft Funding Allocations for the EECBG Program Formula Grants
 - a. Local Government Allocations
 - b. State Allocations
 - c. Tribal Allocations
2. [EECBG Program Optional Information Collection Form for Eligible Entities](#)

DOE anticipates issuing the ALRD in January 2023 and posting it to the following websites:

- [Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program | Department of Energy](#)
- [Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program – Bipartisan Infrastructure Law 2021 | Department of Energy](#)

EECBG PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The EECBG Program provides federal grants to states, units of local government, and Indian tribes to assist eligible entities in implementing strategies to reduce fossil fuel emissions, to reduce total energy use, and to improve energy efficiency. The EECBG Program was authorized in Title V, Subtitle E of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA), and signed into law ([Public Law 110-140](#)) on December 19, 2007. The EECBG Program initially received appropriations through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), [Public Law 111-5](#), which appropriated \$3.2 billion to the program.

ESTIMATED FUNDING

Through section 40552(b) of IIJA, [Public Law 117-58](#), Congress authorized \$550 million to the EECBG Program for Fiscal Year 2022, to remain available until expended. Of the \$550 million IIJA

⁴ The Justice40 initiative, created by E.O. 14008, establishes a goal that 40% of the overall benefits of certain federal investments flow to disadvantaged communities. The current Justice40 guidance provides a broad definition of disadvantaged communities (Pages 8-10): [Final DOE Justice40 General Guidance 072522.pdf \(energy.gov\)](#).

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



appropriates for the EECBG Program, DOE intends to distribute \$440 million in formula and competitive EECBG Program grants to eligible units of local government, states, and Indian tribes. The estimated amounts available for formula grants are as follows:

- \$299,200,000 for formula grants to eligible units of local government
 - \$149,600,000 to eligible units of local government-alternative 1
 - \$149,600,000 to eligible units of local government-alternative 2
- \$123,200,000 for formula grants to states
 - Each state (except for those noted as exempt on page 14) is required to pass not less than 60% of its allocation through to cities and counties within the state that are ineligible for direct formula grants from DOE
- \$8,800,000 for formula grants to eligible Indian tribes

DOE also intends to allocate \$8.8 million for competitive grants available to units of local government (including Indian tribes) and consortia of units of local government that are not eligible to receive direct formula grants from DOE. Availability of competitive grants will be announced through a separate future Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA).

Prior to distributing funding to eligible entities, DOE intends to utilize \$110 million to ensure delivery of an effective and efficient EECBG Program and to provide technical assistance to eligible entities throughout the lifetime of the EECBG Program.

Attachments 1a., 1b., and 1c. to this announcement provide the draft EECBG Program formula funding allocations for each of the 2,708 state, local, and Tribal governments that are eligible entities for EECBG Program formula grants.

ALLOCATION FORMULAS

DOE will allocate the formula grant funding as prescribed in [section 543\(a\)](#) of the EECBG Program’s authorizing legislation, Title V, Subtitle E of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA):

- 34% to eligible units of local government-alternative 1;
- 34% to eligible units of local government-alternative 2;
- 28% to states; and
- 2% to Indian tribes.⁵

A summary of the three allocation formulas DOE will use to distribute EECBG Program funds to eligible local governments, states, and Indian tribes is provided on the next page.

⁵ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(a\)](#).



For additional details, including the mathematical formulas and data sources used by DOE when developing the local government, state, and Tribal allocation formulas, please see the [Notice of Availability of State, Local, and Tribal Allocation Formulas for the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program](#), published in the *Federal Register* on June 29, 2022.⁶

Local Government Allocation Formulas

[Section 543\(b\)](#) of EISA directs DOE to establish a formula to distribute grant funding to eligible units of local government according to the following factors: (1) the population served by the local government, according to the latest available decennial census; and (2) the daytime population of the local government, and other similar factors determined by DOE.⁷ As utilized previously under the ARRA, [Public Law 111-5](#), the local government allocation formula established by DOE for the EECBG Program uses the following two weighted factors: the population served by the local government weighted at 70.25% and the daytime population of the local government weighted at 29.75%.⁸

Local Government-Alternative 1 Formula

The EECBG Program provides grants to local governments in two allocations as outlined in section [543\(a\)](#) of EISA. By law local governments eligible under the definition of Local Government-Alternative 1 receive 34% (\$149,600,000) of the available grant funding.⁹ The Local Government-Alternative 1 formula sets a minimum level of funding at \$75,000. The formula allocates \$75,000 to each eligible local government and then distributes the remaining funds on a pro-rata basis via the weighted factors set in the formula.

Local Government-Alternative 2 Formula

By law another 34% (\$149,600,000) of the available grant funding is allocated to the subset of local governments that are eligible under the definition of Local Government-Alternative 2.¹⁰ There is no minimum level of funding for the Local Government-Alternative 2 formula. All local governments eligible under the definition of Local Government-Alternative 2 receive at least the minimum allocation through the Local Government-Alternative 1 formula. The Local Government-Alternative 2 formula apportions the funding to each local government eligible under the definition of Local Government-Alternative 2 on a pro-rata basis via the weighted factors set in the formula. The total allocation for each local government eligible under the definition of Local Government-Alternative 2 is equal to its allocation from the Local

⁶ [87 FR 38732](#).

⁷ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(b\)](#).

⁸ [87 FR 38732](#).

⁹ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(a\)\(1\)](#).

¹⁰ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(a\)\(2\)](#).

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



Government-Alternative 1 formula plus its allocation from the Local Government-Alternative 2 formula.

State Allocation Formula

EISA directs that, of the amount allocated for states, DOE shall provide not less than 1.25% to each state and the remainder be distributed among the states based on an allocation formula established by DOE that takes into account the population of each state and any other criteria that DOE determines to be appropriate.¹¹ By law eligible states receive 28% of the available grant funding.¹² The state allocation formula established by DOE for the EECBG Program uses the following three equally weighted factors:

1. The total population for the state weighted at 33.33%;
2. The remaining population of the state after subtracting the populations of all eligible local governments within the state weighted at 33.33%; and
3. Total state energy consumption, except for consumption in the industrial sector, weighted at 33.33%.

The formula distributes the minimum amount of funding to each eligible state and then distributes the remaining funds pro rata via the three weighted factors set in the formula.

Tribal Allocation Formula

Section [543\(d\)](#) of EISA directs DOE to establish a formula to distribute grant funding to eligible Indian tribes, taking into account any factors that DOE determines to be appropriate.¹³ The Tribal allocation formula established by DOE for the EECBG Program uses a single factor: Tribal population, weighted at 100%. By law eligible Indian tribes receive 2% of the available grant funding.¹⁴ The formula establishes a minimum level of funding at \$10,000 and allocates \$10,000 to each eligible Indian tribe. The formula then distributes the remaining funds via the weighted factor set in the formula on a pro-rata basis to all eligible Indian tribes.

Population data for Federally Recognized Indian tribes is collected and maintained by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) at the U.S. Department of Interior (self-certified enrollment data) in 2021. DOE utilized enrollment data from [the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's 2022 Indian housing block grant program](#) for any Tribes that did not wish to disclose their self-certified enrollment data to BIA. Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs) and most Alaskan

¹¹ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(c\)](#).

¹² [42 U.S.C. 17153\(a\)\(3\)](#).

¹³ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(d\)](#).

¹⁴ [42 U.S.C. 17153\(a\)\(4\)](#).

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



Native Village Corporations (ANVCs) comprise one or more federally recognized Indian tribes through association or geographically by ANRC boundary. Therefore, ANRC and ANVC population estimates are equal to the sum of the self-certified enrollment data for the identified Tribes within each corporation. For ANVCs that are not associated with one or more federally recognized Tribes, the ANVC population was set at the population of its associated non-federally recognized Tribe.¹⁵ Indian tribes with populations of “zero” are considered eligible and will receive the minimum funding allocation.

DEFINITION OF ELIGIBLE STATES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, AND INDIAN TRIBES

State Government Eligibility

For the purposes of the EECBG Program, there are 56 entities eligible for state formula grants. These are the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the following five U.S. Territories: the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

Local Government Eligibility

[Section 541\(2\)](#) of EISA divides eligible units of local government into two categories, defined as “eligible unit of local government-alternative 1” (“local government-alternative 1”) and “eligible unit of local government-alternative 2” (“local government-alternative 2”).¹⁶ DOE determined that 1,878 local governments are eligible for EECBG Program formula grants.

Local Government-Alternative 1

There are 1,878 local governments eligible under the definition of Local Government-Alternative 1 and meet the following criteria outlined in [section 541\(3\)\(A\)](#) of EISA:

- cities that are one of the top 10 most populous cities within their state or that have a population of at least 35,000; and
- counties that are one of the 10 most populous counties within their state or that have a population of more than 200,000.¹⁷

Local Government-Alternative 2

There are 1,032 local governments eligible under the definition of the Local Government-Alternative 2 and meet the following criteria outlined in [section 541\(3\)\(B\)](#) of EISA:

¹⁵ DOE gathered this population data from a variety of sources (e.g., Tribal websites and third-party resources).

¹⁶ [42 U.S.C. 17151\(2\)](#).

¹⁷ [42 U.S.C. 17151\(3\)\(A\)](#).

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



- cities with populations of at least 50,000; or
- counties with populations of at least 200,000.¹⁸

Local governments eligible for Local Government-Alternative 2 funding are also eligible for Local Government-Alternative 1 funding.

DOE used the U.S. Census Bureau’s 2020 Decennial Census Redistricting Data to determine the population of local governments. City and county governments that do not meet the eligibility requirements for direct formula grants from DOE are eligible for EECBG Program funds through the state in which they are located and can also apply for EECBG Program competitive grants from DOE. While EISA directs DOE to provide grants to cities and counties that qualify as eligible units of local government, EISA does not define “city” or “county.” DOE established the definitions of “city” and “county” in alignment with the eligibility criteria DOE used for the EECBG Program under the ARRA, to the extent practicable.¹⁹

Definition of “City”

For the purposes of the EECBG Program, DOE is defining “city” to include certain city-equivalent units of local government. Specifically, a city-equivalent unit of local government such as a town, village, or other municipality will be considered eligible if it is listed in the [2021 Census of Governments Survey](#) as a currently incorporated entity, has a governance structure consisting of an elected official and governing body, is capable of carrying out the activities outlined in EISA, and meets the required population thresholds outlined in EISA. DOE used the [2022 Boundary and Annexation Survey Code Lists](#) to identify eligible local governments within the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Additionally, a consolidated or unified city-county government in which a city and a county overlap geographically and govern as one consolidated government is considered a city by DOE.

DOE includes the following clarifications to the records used to calculate the universe of cities that are eligible for the EECBG Program:

- In the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Municipios are treated as cities. Though designated as counties by the [2020 Census: Redistricting File \(Public Law 94-171\) Dataset](#), governments of Municipios have the functionality of city governments.
- Towns, townships, and boroughs that are incorporated places are treated as cities. The governments of these places have the functionality of city governments.

¹⁸ [42 U.S.C. 17151\(3\)\(B\)](#).

¹⁹ [71 FR 17461, 17462](#) (Apr. 15, 2009).

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



- In the states of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin, minor civil divisions are treated as cities.²⁰
- There are no eligible cities in the District of Columbia, U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

Definition of “County”

For the purposes of the EECBG Program, a county will be considered eligible for direct formula grants if it is listed in the [2021 Census of Governments Survey](#) as a currently incorporated county, has a governance structure with an elected official and governing body, is capable of carrying out the activities outlined in EISA, and meets the required population thresholds outlined in EISA.

For counties, all population figures are adjusted to reflect only the balance of their population, excluding the populations of any eligible cities therein. This population is referred to as the “county balance population.” In determining county balance populations, DOE identified a number of cities with geographic boundaries that cross the borders of multiple counties. In calculating county balance populations for those counties that contain only a part of an eligible city, DOE subtracted the portion of the eligible city’s population living within that county.

DOE includes the following clarifications to the records used to calculate the universe of counties that are eligible for the EECBG Program:

- Counties that are not a part of the [2021 Census of Governments Survey](#) and are without governmental authority are not a part of the database and are thus not eligible for direct EECBG Program formula grants. This pertains to some counties in Alaska and Massachusetts, as well as all counties in Connecticut and Rhode Island.
- If one or more of the 10 most populated counties is ineligible or considered a city (i.e., a city-county consolidated government), the next largest county by population will be moved into the list of the 10 most populated counties for that state.
- Census areas in Alaska were not considered eligible counties because they have limited government functions.²¹
- There are no counties in the District of Columbia.

²⁰ [United States Census Bureau Terms and Definitions – Minor Civil Divisions](#)

²¹ [Guide to the State and Local Census Geography - Alaska](#)

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



Indian Tribe Eligibility

As defined by [section 541\(4\)](#) of EISA, the term “ ‘Indian tribe’ has the meaning given the term” in [section 4](#) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act.”²² The Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act states that the term “Indian tribe” means any Indian tribe, band, nation, or other organized group or community, including any Alaska Native village or regional or village corporation as defined in or established pursuant to [the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act \(ANCSA\)](#),²³ which is recognized as eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians.

There are 774 Indian tribes eligible for a formula grant through the EECBG Program including: [574 federally recognized Indian tribes listed by the BIA in the 2022 Federal Register Notice](#);²⁴ 6 additional Indian tribes because 8 bands of Indian tribes comprise two of the federally recognized Indian tribes, 12 ANRCs established under the [ANCSA](#);²⁵ and 182 currently active ANVCs, group corporations, and urban corporations. ANCSA defines “group corporation” and “urban corporation,” which are similar to village corporations except that they apply to established Native groups and urban communities of Alaska Native people. As such, “group corporations” and “urban corporations” are included in the definition of Indian tribes defined by DOE for the EECBG Program.

DOE includes the following clarifications to the records used to identify the Indian tribes that are eligible for the EECBG Program, in line with the BIA’s 2022 Federal Register Notice:

- Minnesota Chippewa is comprised of six separate bands of Indian tribes each eligible for a direct formula grant: Boise Forte Band, Fond Du Lac Band, Grand Portage Band, Leech Lake Band, Mille Lacs Band, and White Earth Band.
- Capitan Grande Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of California is comprised of two separate bands of Indian tribes both eligible for a direct formula grant: Barona Group of Capitan Grande Band of Mission Indians of the Barona Reservation and Viejas (Baron Long) Group of Capitan Grande Band of Mission Indians of the Viejas Reservation.
- The Passamaquoddy Tribe is made up of Pleasant Point and Indian Township. There will be one formula allocation made to the Passamaquoddy Tribe that will be split proportionally between the two parts upon the grant being awarded.

²² [42 U.S.C. 17151\(4\)](#), referencing [25 U.S.C. 5304\(e\)](#).

²³ [PUBLIC LAW 92-203, DEC. 18, 1971, 85 Stat. 688](#)

²⁴ [87 FR 4636](#) (Jan. 28, 2022)

²⁵ [33 U.S.C. 1602 et seq.](#)

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



ELIGIBLE USES OF FUNDS

Per [section 544](#) of EISA, an eligible entity may use a grant received to carry out activities to achieve the purposes of the program, including:

- (1) Development and implementation of an Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy;
- (2) Retaining technical consultant services to assist the eligible entity in the development of such a strategy, including—
 - (A) formulation of energy efficiency, energy conservation, and energy usage goals;
 - (B) identification of strategies to achieve those goals—
 - (i) through efforts to increase energy efficiency and reduce energy consumption; and
 - (ii) by encouraging behavioral changes among the population served by the eligible entity;
 - (C) development of methods to measure progress in achieving the goals;
 - (D) development and publication of annual reports to the population served by the eligible entity describing—
 - (i) the strategies and goals; and
 - (ii) the progress made in achieving the strategies and goals during the preceding calendar year; and
 - (E) other services to assist in the implementation of the energy efficiency and conservation strategy;
- (3) Conducting residential and commercial building energy audits;
- (4) Establishment of financial incentive programs for energy efficiency improvements;
- (5) The provision of grants to nonprofit organizations and governmental agencies for the purpose of performing energy efficiency retrofits;
- (6) Development and implementation of energy efficiency and conservation programs for buildings and facilities within the jurisdiction of the eligible entity, including—
 - (A) design and operation of the programs;
 - (B) identifying the most effective methods for achieving maximum participation and efficiency rates;
 - (C) public education;
 - (D) measurement and verification protocols; and
 - (E) identification of energy efficient technologies;

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



(7) Development and implementation of programs to conserve energy used in transportation, including—

- (A) use of flex time by employers;
- (B) satellite work centers;
- (C) development and promotion of zoning guidelines or requirements that promote energy efficient development;
- (D) development of infrastructure, such as bike lanes and pathways and pedestrian walkways;
- (E) synchronization of traffic signals; and
- (F) other measures that increase energy efficiency and decrease energy consumption;

(8) Development and implementation of building codes and inspection services to promote building energy efficiency;

(9) Application and implementation of energy distribution technologies that significantly increase energy efficiency, including—

- (A) distributed resources; and
- (B) district heating and cooling systems;

(10) Activities to increase participation and efficiency rates for material conservation programs, including source reduction, recycling, and recycled content procurement programs that lead to increases in energy efficiency;

(11) The purchase and implementation of technologies to reduce, capture, and, to the maximum extent practicable, use methane and other greenhouse gases generated by landfills or similar sources;

(12) Replacement of traffic signals and street lighting with energy efficient lighting technologies, including—

- (A) light emitting diodes; and
- (B) any other technology of equal or greater energy efficiency;

(13) Development, implementation, and installation on or in any government building of the eligible entity of onsite renewable energy technology that generates electricity from renewable resources, including—

- (A) solar energy;
- (B) wind energy;

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



- (C) fuel cells; and
- (D) biomass;

(14) Programs for financing energy efficiency, renewable energy, and zero-emission transportation (and associated infrastructure), capital investments, projects, and programs, which may include loan programs and performance contracting programs, for leveraging of additional public and private sector funds, and programs that allow rebates, grants, or other incentives for the purchase and installation of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and zero-emission transportation (and associated infrastructure) measures; and

(15) Any other appropriate activity, as determined by the Secretary, in consultation with—

- (A) the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency;
- (B) the Secretary of Transportation; and
- (C) the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.²⁶

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION STRATEGY (EECS) REQUIREMENTS

Per [section 545 of EISA](#), each eligible unit of government that receives a grant under this program must submit an Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategy (EECS) for approval by DOE that meets the guidelines outlined below.

Eligible Units of Local Governments and Indian Tribes: EECS Requirements

Units of local government and Indian tribes must submit to DOE a proposed EECS. The proposed strategy shall include:

- a description of the goals of the eligible unit of local government or Indian tribe for increased energy efficiency and conservation in the relevant jurisdiction; and
- a plan for the use of the grant to assist the eligible unit of local government or Indian tribe in achieving those goals in accordance with the eligible use of funds outlined in [section 544 of EISA](#).²⁷

Eligible Units of Local Government: Additional EECS Requirements

In developing the strategy, an eligible unit of local government shall:

- take into account any plans for the use of funds by adjacent eligible units of local governments that receive grants under the EECBG Program; and

²⁶ [42 U.S.C. 17154.](#)

²⁷ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(b\)\(1\)\(B\).](#)

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



- coordinate and share information with the State in which the eligible unit of local government is located to maximize the energy efficiency and conservation benefits.²⁸

DOE intends to provide a template that local governments and Indian tribes may use when submitting their proposed EECS. DOE has a maximum of 120 days after receiving a proposed strategy to approve or disapprove it.²⁹ If DOE disapproves a proposed strategy, DOE shall provide to the grantee the reasons for the disapproval, and the grantee may revise and resubmit the proposed strategy as many times as necessary until DOE approves a proposed strategy.³⁰

States and Territories: EECS Requirements

States and territories must submit to DOE a proposed EECS that:

- 1) establishes a process for providing subgrants to units of local government that are not eligible for direct formula grants from DOE; and
- 2) includes a plan of the state for the use of funds received under the EECSBG Program to assist the state in achieving the goals established in EISA, in accordance with [sections 17152\(b\) and 17154](#).³¹

DOE intends to provide a template that states and territories may use when submitting their proposed EECS. DOE has a maximum of 120 days after receiving a proposed strategy to approve or disapprove it.³² If DOE disapproves a proposed strategy, DOE shall provide to the state the reasons for the disapproval, and the state may revise and resubmit the proposed strategy as many times as necessary until DOE approves a proposed strategy.³³

STATES AND TERRITORIES: DISTRIBUTION OF SUBGRANTS

Each state that receives a grant under the program shall use not less than 60% of the amount received to provide subgrants to units of local government in the state that are not eligible for direct formula grants. The state shall provide the subgrants no later than 180 days after the date on which DOE approves the proposed energy efficiency and conservation strategy.³⁴

²⁸ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#).

²⁹ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)](#).

³⁰ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(b\)\(2\)\(B\)](#).

³¹ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(c\)\(2\)\(B\)](#).

³² [42 U.S.C. 17155\(c\)\(3\)\(A\)](#).

³³ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(c\)\(3\)\(B\)](#).

³⁴ [42 U.S.C. 17155\(c\)\(1\)](#).



States are required to develop a subgranting process that expeditiously allocates funding, prevents fraudulent spending, generates robust reporting, and promotes the EECBG Program principles outlined in law.

The District of Columbia is explicitly defined as a state, according to [section 541\(6\)\(B\)](#) of EISA.³⁵ Because the District of Columbia is a consolidated city-state government, it is not subject to the requirement applicable to states that not less than 60% of state funding must be subgranted to local units of government.

American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Hawaii, and the U.S. Virgin Islands have no ineligible entities; these entities are exempt from having to provide subgrants.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

DOE is considering offering eligible entities the opportunity to select a voucher for technical assistance and/or an equipment rebate in lieu of a formula grant. The intent in offering this option to eligible entities is to: 1) reduce the administrative burden associated with applying for and managing a federal grant, and 2) provide additional resources and assistance needed to accomplish eligible entities' goals. If DOE decides to pursue this option, the ALRD will include additional information on the vouchers and associated requirements.

DOE is also considering developing a portfolio of project and program blueprints designed to leverage other BIL and Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) investments and streamline the application review and approval process for eligible entities, using proven practices from states, local governments, Indian tribes, and the private sector.

In addition, eligible entities may consider teaming up with other entities eligible for formula allocations to align efforts, leverage funding, and reduce administrative burdens. In this scenario, one eligible entity would assume the role of Prime Applicant/Grantee and the other entities would be subgrantees and receive their funding through the Prime as a pass through.

To expedite the administration of the EECBG Program, to provide feedback, and to indicate your interest in a voucher or blueprint, DOE is offering an opportunity to submit the "[EECBG Program Optional Information Collection Form for Eligible Entities](#)," which will help DOE to plan for the EECBG Program requirements.

³⁵ [42 U.S.C. 17151\(6\)\(B\)](#).



DOE will not respond to questions concerning this Notice.

SUBMISSION AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS FOR FULL GRANT APPLICATIONS

In anticipation of the ALRD being released, eligible entities are advised to complete the following steps, which are **required** for application submission to receive a formula grant. ALRD formula grant applications will be submitted in the Performance and Accountability for Grants in Energy (PAGE) System at <https://www.page.energy.gov/default.aspx>.

Note: These registration steps will only be required for entities that intend to accept a formula grant from the EECBG Program. Please allow at least 21 days to complete registrations.

- Register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at <https://www.sam.gov>. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called an MPIN are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually. Upon registration, SAM will automatically assign a Unique Entity ID (UEI).
- Unique Entity ID and System for Award Management (SAM)—Each applicant (unless the applicant is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR 25.110) is required to: (1) be registered in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting its application, (2) provide a valid UEI number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable UEI and SAM requirements, and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, the DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

NOTE: Due to the high demand of UEI requests and SAM registrations, entity legal business name and address validations are taking longer than expected to process. Entities should start the UEI and SAM registration process as soon as possible. If entities have technical difficulties with the UEI validation or SAM registration process, they should utilize the HELP feature on SAM.gov. SAM.gov will work on entity service tickets in the order in which they are received and asks that entities not create multiple service tickets for the same request or technical issue. Additional entity validation resources can be found here: [GSAFSD Tier 0 Knowledge Base - Validating your Entity](#).

- Register in FedConnect at <https://www.fedconnect.net/>. To create an organization account,

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf.

Optional – Not a Required Step:

- Fill out the "[EECBG Program Optional Information Collection Form for Eligible Entities.](#)"

This is a Notice of Intent only. SCEP may issue an ALRD as described herein, may issue an ALRD that is significantly different than the ALRD described herein, or may not issue an ALRD at all.



DRAFT ALLOCATION OF FUNDS

**Office of State and Community Energy Programs (SCEP)
U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)**

**ATTACHMENT 1A: DOE FORMULA GRANT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
FOR THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT (EECBG) PROGRAM**

This document presents the draft formula grant funding allocations by Local Government (Table 1a) for the EECBG Program - Sec. 40552(b) of the [Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act \(IIJA\)](#) of 2021.

**Table 1a. DRAFT EECBG Program Formula Grant Funding Allocations to Local Governments
Listed Alphabetically by State and Local Government**

#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
Total (Local Governments)				\$299,200,000
1	AK	Aleutians East	County	\$75,120
2	AK	Fairbanks North Star	County	\$77,080
3	AK	Haines	County	\$75,080
4	AK	Kenai Peninsula	County	\$76,770
5	AK	Ketchikan Gateway	County	\$75,180
6	AK	Kodiak Island	County	\$75,250
7	AK	Matanuska-Susitna	County	\$77,960
8	AK	North Slope	County	\$75,430
9	AK	Northwest Arctic	County	\$75,270
10	AK	Petersburg	County	\$75,120
11	AK	Anchorage	City	\$300,250
12	AK	Bethel	City	\$75,220
13	AK	Fairbanks	City	\$76,260
14	AK	Juneau, City and Borough of	City	\$76,130
15	AK	Kenai	City	\$75,270
16	AK	Ketchikan	City	\$75,300
17	AK	Kodiak	City	\$75,210
18	AK	Palmer	City	\$75,250
19	AK	Sitka	City	\$75,300
20	AK	Wasilla	City	\$75,390
21	AL	Baldwin	County	\$246,150
22	AL	Calhoun	County	\$79,030
23	AL	Etowah	County	\$78,540
24	AL	Jefferson	County	\$348,100
25	AL	Lee	County	\$78,040



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
26	AL	Madison	County	\$79,430
27	AL	Marshall	County	\$78,390
28	AL	Mobile	County	\$239,310
29	AL	Shelby	County	\$81,530
30	AL	Tuscaloosa	County	\$79,010
31	AL	Auburn	City	\$131,740
32	AL	Birmingham	City	\$252,880
33	AL	Decatur	City	\$120,360
34	AL	Dothan	City	\$132,400
35	AL	Florence	City	\$76,520
36	AL	Hoover	City	\$144,130
37	AL	Huntsville	City	\$247,820
38	AL	Madison	City	\$116,470
39	AL	Mobile	City	\$229,820
40	AL	Montgomery	City	\$236,570
41	AL	Phenix City	City	\$76,270
42	AL	Prattville	City	\$76,260
43	AL	Tuscaloosa	City	\$158,340
44	AL	Vestavia Hills	City	\$76,300
45	AR	Benton	County	\$79,920
46	AR	Crawford	County	\$77,050
47	AR	Faulkner	County	\$76,890
48	AR	Garland	County	\$77,030
49	AR	Lonoke	County	\$77,380
50	AR	Pope	County	\$77,230
51	AR	Pulaski	County	\$79,400
52	AR	Saline	County	\$77,800
53	AR	Washington	County	\$77,520
54	AR	White	County	\$77,650
55	AR	Benton	City	\$76,200
56	AR	Bentonville	City	\$121,190
57	AR	Conway	City	\$125,490
58	AR	Fayetteville	City	\$148,500
59	AR	Fort Smith	City	\$148,220
60	AR	Hot Springs	City	\$76,460
61	AR	Jonesboro	City	\$137,970
62	AR	Little Rock	City	\$245,540
63	AR	North Little Rock	City	\$128,080



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
64	AR	Pine Bluff	City	\$76,490
65	AR	Rogers	City	\$129,880
66	AR	Springdale	City	\$139,790
67	AZ	Apache	County	\$77,340
68	AZ	Cochise	County	\$77,740
69	AZ	Coconino	County	\$77,340
70	AZ	Maricopa	County	\$378,940
71	AZ	Mohave	County	\$78,940
72	AZ	Navajo	County	\$78,750
73	AZ	Pima	County	\$368,820
74	AZ	Pinal	County	\$273,780
75	AZ	Yavapai	County	\$79,820
76	AZ	Yuma	County	\$77,550
77	AZ	Apache Junction	City	\$76,310
78	AZ	Avondale	City	\$138,310
79	AZ	Buckeye	City	\$137,550
80	AZ	Bullhead City	City	\$76,400
81	AZ	Casa Grande	City	\$117,470
82	AZ	Chandler	City	\$283,790
83	AZ	El Mirage	City	\$76,130
84	AZ	Flagstaff	City	\$133,940
85	AZ	Gilbert, Town of	City	\$266,640
86	AZ	Glendale	City	\$259,960
87	AZ	Goodyear	City	\$144,130
88	AZ	Lake Havasu City	City	\$117,650
89	AZ	Marana, Town of	City	\$113,010
90	AZ	Maricopa	City	\$115,350
91	AZ	Mesa	City	\$449,620
92	AZ	Oro Valley, Town of	City	\$76,610
93	AZ	Peoria	City	\$210,950
94	AZ	Phoenix	City	\$1,340,660
95	AZ	Prescott	City	\$76,750
96	AZ	Prescott Valley, Town of	City	\$76,570
97	AZ	Queen Creek, Town of	City	\$116,990
98	AZ	San Luis	City	\$76,150
99	AZ	Scottsdale	City	\$279,950
100	AZ	Sierra Vista	City	\$76,620
101	AZ	Surprise	City	\$178,140



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
102	AZ	Tempe	City	\$236,650
103	AZ	Tucson	City	\$504,750
104	AZ	Yuma	City	\$150,960
105	CA	Contra Costa	County	\$356,510
106	CA	Fresno	County	\$336,680
107	CA	Kern	County	\$422,980
108	CA	Los Angeles	County	\$1,344,700
109	CA	Monterey	County	\$287,340
110	CA	Orange	County	\$299,440
111	CA	Riverside	County	\$477,090
112	CA	Sacramento	County	\$539,610
113	CA	San Bernardino	County	\$393,590
114	CA	San Diego	County	\$526,750
115	CA	San Joaquin	County	\$235,280
116	CA	San Luis Obispo	County	\$251,850
117	CA	San Mateo	County	\$330,430
118	CA	Santa Barbara	County	\$231,840
119	CA	Sonoma	County	\$233,790
120	CA	Stanislaus	County	\$232,020
121	CA	Tulare	County	\$227,670
122	CA	Adelanto	City	\$76,240
123	CA	Alameda	City	\$133,250
124	CA	Alhambra	City	\$136,380
125	CA	Aliso Viejo	City	\$113,160
126	CA	Anaheim	City	\$344,830
127	CA	Antioch	City	\$156,140
128	CA	Apple Valley, Town of	City	\$130,740
129	CA	Arcadia	City	\$119,080
130	CA	Azusa	City	\$112,520
131	CA	Bakersfield	City	\$379,310
132	CA	Baldwin Park	City	\$127,900
133	CA	Beaumont	City	\$112,240
134	CA	Bell Gardens	City	\$76,310
135	CA	Bellflower	City	\$130,040
136	CA	Berkeley	City	\$174,290
137	CA	Brea	City	\$76,870
138	CA	Brentwood	City	\$121,550
139	CA	Buena Park	City	\$137,750



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
140	CA	Burbank	City	\$167,020
141	CA	Calexico	City	\$76,300
142	CA	Camarillo	City	\$129,470
143	CA	Campbell	City	\$76,540
144	CA	Carlsbad	City	\$168,480
145	CA	Carson	City	\$150,080
146	CA	Cathedral City	City	\$112,920
147	CA	Ceres	City	\$76,660
148	CA	Cerritos	City	\$76,840
149	CA	Chico	City	\$153,350
150	CA	Chino	City	\$147,470
151	CA	Chino Hills	City	\$131,350
152	CA	Chula Vista	City	\$271,850
153	CA	Citrus Heights	City	\$137,060
154	CA	Claremont	City	\$76,300
155	CA	Clovis	City	\$161,730
156	CA	Coachella	City	\$76,350
157	CA	Colton	City	\$116,230
158	CA	Compton	City	\$146,080
159	CA	Concord	City	\$170,110
160	CA	Corona	City	\$197,940
161	CA	Costa Mesa	City	\$166,550
162	CA	Covina	City	\$113,100
163	CA	Culver City	City	\$76,710
164	CA	Cupertino	City	\$125,790
165	CA	Cypress	City	\$114,160
166	CA	Daly City	City	\$146,620
167	CA	Danville, Town of	City	\$76,480
168	CA	Davis	City	\$124,540
169	CA	Delano	City	\$114,850
170	CA	Diamond Bar	City	\$114,980
171	CA	Downey	City	\$160,140
172	CA	Dublin	City	\$127,230
173	CA	Eastvale	City	\$123,670
174	CA	El Cajon	City	\$155,020
175	CA	El Centro	City	\$76,600
176	CA	El Monte	City	\$157,090
177	CA	Elk Grove	City	\$201,410



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
178	CA	Encinitas	City	\$122,780
179	CA	Escondido	City	\$187,670
180	CA	Fairfield	City	\$164,990
181	CA	Folsom	City	\$139,040
182	CA	Fontana	City	\$230,640
183	CA	Fountain Valley	City	\$119,070
184	CA	Fremont	City	\$254,040
185	CA	Fresno	City	\$494,390
186	CA	Fullerton	City	\$183,850
187	CA	Garden Grove	City	\$199,300
188	CA	Gardena	City	\$122,410
189	CA	Gilroy	City	\$118,490
190	CA	Glendale	City	\$227,620
191	CA	Glendora	City	\$114,050
192	CA	Hanford	City	\$118,530
193	CA	Hawthorne	City	\$139,570
194	CA	Hayward	City	\$197,040
195	CA	Hemet	City	\$141,750
196	CA	Hesperia	City	\$147,140
197	CA	Highland	City	\$115,100
198	CA	Hollister	City	\$76,350
199	CA	Huntington Beach	City	\$221,630
200	CA	Huntington Park	City	\$115,290
201	CA	Indio	City	\$140,950
202	CA	Inglewood	City	\$153,020
203	CA	Irvine	City	\$333,830
204	CA	Jurupa Valley	City	\$152,660
205	CA	La Habra	City	\$119,850
206	CA	La Mesa	City	\$120,950
207	CA	La Mirada	City	\$76,610
208	CA	La Puente	City	\$76,260
209	CA	La Quinta	City	\$76,320
210	CA	Laguna Niguel	City	\$121,870
211	CA	Lake Elsinore	City	\$125,030
212	CA	Lake Forest	City	\$139,450
213	CA	Lakewood	City	\$132,670
214	CA	Lancaster	City	\$203,200
215	CA	Lincoln	City	\$76,610



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
216	CA	Livermore	City	\$144,230
217	CA	Lodi	City	\$125,810
218	CA	Lompoc	City	\$76,480
219	CA	Long Beach	City	\$423,290
220	CA	Los Angeles	City	\$3,114,200
221	CA	Los Banos	City	\$76,450
222	CA	Lynwood	City	\$123,660
223	CA	Madera	City	\$124,630
224	CA	Manhattan Beach	City	\$76,300
225	CA	Manteca	City	\$135,340
226	CA	Martinez	City	\$76,300
227	CA	Menifee	City	\$146,570
228	CA	Merced	City	\$140,760
229	CA	Milpitas	City	\$137,730
230	CA	Mission Viejo	City	\$144,870
231	CA	Modesto	City	\$241,720
232	CA	Monrovia	City	\$76,310
233	CA	Montclair	City	\$76,320
234	CA	Montebello	City	\$121,520
235	CA	Monterey Park	City	\$121,150
236	CA	Moorpark	City	\$76,200
237	CA	Moreno Valley	City	\$226,600
238	CA	Morgan Hill	City	\$76,540
239	CA	Mountain View	City	\$148,010
240	CA	Murrieta	City	\$157,040
241	CA	Napa	City	\$134,780
242	CA	National City	City	\$117,750
243	CA	Newark	City	\$76,660
244	CA	Newport Beach	City	\$149,950
245	CA	Norwalk	City	\$149,530
246	CA	Novato	City	\$114,990
247	CA	Oakland	City	\$405,710
248	CA	Oakley	City	\$76,340
249	CA	Oceanside	City	\$201,650
250	CA	Ontario	City	\$218,330
251	CA	Orange	City	\$189,950
252	CA	Oxnard	City	\$224,240
253	CA	Pacifica	City	\$76,200



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
254	CA	Palm Desert	City	\$118,040
255	CA	Palm Springs	City	\$76,770
256	CA	Palmdale	City	\$197,390
257	CA	Palo Alto	City	\$141,790
258	CA	Paramount	City	\$114,940
259	CA	Pasadena	City	\$193,560
260	CA	Perris	City	\$134,320
261	CA	Petaluma	City	\$121,440
262	CA	Pico Rivera	City	\$120,010
263	CA	Pittsburg	City	\$128,250
264	CA	Placentia	City	\$112,350
265	CA	Pleasanton	City	\$140,450
266	CA	Pomona	City	\$188,070
267	CA	Porterville	City	\$121,930
268	CA	Poway	City	\$76,830
269	CA	Rancho Cordova	City	\$138,900
270	CA	Rancho Cucamonga	City	\$207,660
271	CA	Rancho Palos Verdes	City	\$76,370
272	CA	Rancho Santa Margarita	City	\$76,570
273	CA	Redding	City	\$149,160
274	CA	Redlands	City	\$133,300
275	CA	Redondo Beach	City	\$127,820
276	CA	Redwood City	City	\$142,550
277	CA	Rialto	City	\$151,580
278	CA	Richmond	City	\$160,550
279	CA	Riverside	City	\$325,070
280	CA	Rocklin	City	\$127,710
281	CA	Rohnert Park	City	\$76,440
282	CA	Rosemead	City	\$113,300
283	CA	Roseville	City	\$190,900
284	CA	Sacramento	City	\$493,300
285	CA	Salinas	City	\$195,530
286	CA	San Bernardino	City	\$249,590
287	CA	San Bruno	City	\$76,430
288	CA	San Buenaventura (Ventura)	City	\$161,680
289	CA	San Clemente	City	\$123,390
290	CA	San Diego	City	\$1,185,290
291	CA	San Francisco	City	\$796,610



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
292	CA	San Gabriel	City	\$76,330
293	CA	San Jacinto	City	\$112,830
294	CA	San Jose	City	\$834,110
295	CA	San Juan Capistrano	City	\$76,220
296	CA	San Leandro	City	\$143,300
297	CA	San Luis Obispo	City	\$76,790
298	CA	San Marcos	City	\$146,690
299	CA	San Mateo	City	\$155,720
300	CA	San Rafael	City	\$123,900
301	CA	San Ramon	City	\$140,000
302	CA	Santa Ana	City	\$315,020
303	CA	Santa Barbara	City	\$146,330
304	CA	Santa Clara	City	\$183,290
305	CA	Santa Clarita	City	\$240,550
306	CA	Santa Cruz	City	\$125,770
307	CA	Santa Maria	City	\$158,930
308	CA	Santa Monica	City	\$157,730
309	CA	Santa Rosa	City	\$210,570
310	CA	Santee	City	\$118,300
311	CA	Simi Valley	City	\$166,670
312	CA	South Gate	City	\$142,040
313	CA	South San Francisco	City	\$129,470
314	CA	Stanton	City	\$76,230
315	CA	Stockton	City	\$316,310
316	CA	Sunnyvale	City	\$199,020
317	CA	Temecula	City	\$161,250
318	CA	Temple City	City	\$76,170
319	CA	Thousand Oaks	City	\$174,360
320	CA	Torrance	City	\$194,870
321	CA	Tracy	City	\$144,040
322	CA	Tulare	City	\$125,790
323	CA	Turlock	City	\$130,380
324	CA	Tustin	City	\$136,890
325	CA	Union City	City	\$128,020
326	CA	Upland	City	\$134,030
327	CA	Vacaville	City	\$151,450
328	CA	Vallejo	City	\$164,850
329	CA	Victorville	City	\$173,590



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
330	CA	Visalia	City	\$182,320
331	CA	Vista	City	\$148,840
332	CA	Walnut Creek	City	\$133,700
333	CA	Watsonville	City	\$115,420
334	CA	West Covina	City	\$153,050
335	CA	West Hollywood	City	\$76,330
336	CA	West Sacramento	City	\$118,520
337	CA	Westminster	City	\$140,430
338	CA	Whittier	City	\$140,540
339	CA	Wildomar	City	\$76,180
340	CA	Woodland	City	\$120,960
341	CA	Yorba Linda	City	\$124,310
342	CA	Yuba City	City	\$127,270
343	CA	Yucaipa	City	\$113,510
344	CO	Adams	County	\$79,130
345	CO	Arapahoe	County	\$80,830
346	CO	Boulder	County	\$79,250
347	CO	Douglas	County	\$241,260
348	CO	El Paso	County	\$259,440
349	CO	Garfield	County	\$77,080
350	CO	Jefferson	County	\$269,410
351	CO	Larimer	County	\$78,670
352	CO	Mesa	County	\$77,900
353	CO	Weld	County	\$231,790
354	CO	Arvada	City	\$163,520
355	CO	Aurora	City	\$360,800
356	CO	Boulder	City	\$168,680
357	CO	Brighton	City	\$76,340
358	CO	Broomfield	City	\$131,980
359	CO	Castle Rock, Town of	City	\$126,840
360	CO	Centennial	City	\$160,860
361	CO	Colorado Springs	City	\$443,290
362	CO	Commerce City	City	\$121,660
363	CO	Denver	City	\$655,720
364	CO	Fort Collins	City	\$206,680
365	CO	Grand Junction	City	\$129,430
366	CO	Greeley	City	\$158,860
367	CO	Lakewood	City	\$194,050



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
368	CO	Littleton	City	\$76,680
369	CO	Longmont	City	\$148,550
370	CO	Loveland	City	\$134,880
371	CO	Northglenn	City	\$76,220
372	CO	Parker, Town of	City	\$118,000
373	CO	Pueblo	City	\$161,870
374	CO	Thornton	City	\$174,930
375	CO	Westminster	City	\$159,970
376	CT	Bridgeport	City	\$183,780
377	CT	Bristol	City	\$119,770
378	CT	Danbury	City	\$141,240
379	CT	East Hartford, Town of	City	\$114,380
380	CT	Enfield, Town of	City	\$76,470
381	CT	Fairfield, Town of	City	\$122,250
382	CT	Glastonbury, Town of	City	\$76,210
383	CT	Greenwich, Town of	City	\$125,290
384	CT	Groton, Town of	City	\$76,460
385	CT	Hamden, Town of	City	\$119,730
386	CT	Hartford	City	\$180,030
387	CT	Manchester, Town of	City	\$119,500
388	CT	Meriden	City	\$119,600
389	CT	Middletown	City	\$76,720
390	CT	Milford, Town of	City	\$115,020
391	CT	New Britain	City	\$129,710
392	CT	New Haven	City	\$184,070
393	CT	Norwalk	City	\$144,160
394	CT	Norwich	City	\$76,370
395	CT	Shelton	City	\$76,470
396	CT	Southington, Town of	City	\$76,460
397	CT	Stamford	City	\$178,910
398	CT	Stratford, Town of	City	\$114,970
399	CT	Torrington	City	\$76,200
400	CT	Trumbull, Town of	City	\$76,260
401	CT	Wallingford, Town of	City	\$76,590
402	CT	Waterbury	City	\$159,690
403	CT	West Hartford, Town of	City	\$123,890
404	CT	West Haven	City	\$115,320
405	DE	Kent	County	\$79,060



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
406	DE	New Castle	County	\$403,310
407	DE	Sussex	County	\$227,920
408	DE	Dover	City	\$76,570
409	DE	Elsmere, Town of	City	\$75,200
410	DE	Georgetown, Town of	City	\$75,300
411	DE	Middletown, Town of	City	\$75,810
412	DE	Milford	City	\$75,440
413	DE	Millsboro, Town of	City	\$75,250
414	DE	Newark	City	\$76,220
415	DE	Seaford	City	\$75,320
416	DE	Smyrna, Town of	City	\$75,420
417	DE	Wilmington	City	\$135,280
418	FL	Brevard	County	\$340,910
419	FL	Clay	County	\$231,710
420	FL	Collier	County	\$365,750
421	FL	Escambia	County	\$276,780
422	FL	Hillsborough	County	\$831,960
423	FL	Lake	County	\$322,790
424	FL	Lee	County	\$368,980
425	FL	Manatee	County	\$327,640
426	FL	Marion	County	\$299,300
427	FL	Miami-Dade	County	\$1,134,700
428	FL	Okaloosa	County	\$238,090
429	FL	Orange	County	\$807,430
430	FL	Osceola	County	\$253,060
431	FL	Palm Beach	County	\$652,450
432	FL	Pasco	County	\$484,970
433	FL	Pinellas	County	\$384,470
434	FL	Polk	County	\$481,240
435	FL	Sarasota	County	\$309,280
436	FL	Seminole	County	\$287,440
437	FL	St. Johns	County	\$275,490
438	FL	Volusia	County	\$255,830
439	FL	Altamonte Springs	City	\$76,660
440	FL	Apopka	City	\$114,500
441	FL	Aventura	City	\$76,470
442	FL	Boca Raton	City	\$166,480
443	FL	Bonita Springs	City	\$116,540



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
444	FL	Boynton Beach	City	\$134,990
445	FL	Bradenton	City	\$120,510
446	FL	Cape Coral	City	\$214,130
447	FL	Clearwater	City	\$169,090
448	FL	Clermont	City	\$76,440
449	FL	Coconut Creek	City	\$116,970
450	FL	Coral Gables	City	\$77,040
451	FL	Coral Springs	City	\$172,940
452	FL	Cutler Bay, Town of	City	\$76,430
453	FL	Davie, Town of	City	\$155,750
454	FL	Daytona Beach	City	\$135,860
455	FL	Deerfield Beach	City	\$141,090
456	FL	DeLand	City	\$76,370
457	FL	Delray Beach	City	\$128,640
458	FL	Deltona	City	\$139,630
459	FL	Doral	City	\$140,950
460	FL	Dunedin	City	\$76,240
461	FL	Estero, Village of	City	\$76,270
462	FL	Fort Lauderdale	City	\$233,060
463	FL	Fort Myers	City	\$149,880
464	FL	Fort Pierce	City	\$76,720
465	FL	Gainesville	City	\$189,820
466	FL	Greenacres	City	\$76,380
467	FL	Hallandale Beach	City	\$76,390
468	FL	Hialeah	City	\$241,570
469	FL	Hollywood	City	\$191,390
470	FL	Homestead	City	\$132,180
471	FL	Jacksonville	City	\$845,350
472	FL	Jupiter, Town of	City	\$123,670
473	FL	Kissimmee	City	\$135,670
474	FL	Lake Worth Beach	City	\$76,410
475	FL	Lakeland	City	\$172,390
476	FL	Largo	City	\$140,740
477	FL	Lauderdale Lakes	City	\$76,160
478	FL	Lauderhill	City	\$126,660
479	FL	Margate	City	\$116,820
480	FL	Melbourne	City	\$146,130
481	FL	Miami	City	\$477,720



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
482	FL	Miami Beach	City	\$144,110
483	FL	Miami Gardens	City	\$155,250
484	FL	Miramar	City	\$173,180
485	FL	North Lauderdale	City	\$76,390
486	FL	North Miami	City	\$118,710
487	FL	North Miami Beach	City	\$76,420
488	FL	North Port	City	\$127,390
489	FL	Oakland Park	City	\$76,520
490	FL	Ocala	City	\$132,740
491	FL	Ocoee	City	\$76,560
492	FL	Orlando	City	\$354,540
493	FL	Ormond Beach	City	\$76,530
494	FL	Oviedo	City	\$76,330
495	FL	Palm Bay	City	\$160,090
496	FL	Palm Beach Gardens	City	\$123,090
497	FL	Palm Coast	City	\$140,020
498	FL	Pembroke Pines	City	\$199,350
499	FL	Pensacola	City	\$122,770
500	FL	Pinellas Park	City	\$118,390
501	FL	Plant City	City	\$76,400
502	FL	Plantation	City	\$144,340
503	FL	Pompano Beach	City	\$164,630
504	FL	Port Orange	City	\$120,970
505	FL	Port St. Lucie	City	\$221,010
506	FL	Riviera Beach	City	\$76,320
507	FL	Royal Palm Beach, Village of	City	\$76,270
508	FL	Sanford	City	\$123,420
509	FL	Sarasota	City	\$125,110
510	FL	St. Cloud	City	\$116,940
511	FL	St. Petersburg	City	\$275,510
512	FL	Sunrise	City	\$149,460
513	FL	Tallahassee	City	\$235,360
514	FL	Tamarac	City	\$125,590
515	FL	Tampa	City	\$413,280
516	FL	Titusville	City	\$76,680
517	FL	Wellington, Village of	City	\$121,610
518	FL	West Palm Beach	City	\$174,630
519	FL	Weston	City	\$127,090



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
520	FL	Winter Garden	City	\$76,560
521	FL	Winter Haven	City	\$76,800
522	FL	Winter Springs	City	\$76,200
523	GA	Cherokee	County	\$239,330
524	GA	Clayton	County	\$297,940
525	GA	Cobb	County	\$557,290
526	GA	Columbia	County	\$80,090
527	GA	DeKalb	County	\$457,680
528	GA	Forsyth	County	\$259,710
529	GA	Gwinnett	County	\$752,900
530	GA	Hall	County	\$80,290
531	GA	Henry	County	\$249,580
532	GA	Paulding	County	\$80,370
533	GA	Albany	City	\$131,480
534	GA	Alpharetta	City	\$138,600
535	GA	Athens-Clarke, Unified Government of	City	\$177,160
536	GA	Atlanta	City	\$528,330
537	GA	Augusta-Richmond, Consolidated Government of	City	\$241,400
538	GA	Brookhaven	City	\$116,800
539	GA	Columbus	City	\$236,000
540	GA	Dunwoody	City	\$116,840
541	GA	East Point	City	\$76,290
542	GA	Gainesville	City	\$76,780
543	GA	Johns Creek	City	\$136,030
544	GA	Macon	City	\$198,870
545	GA	Marietta	City	\$132,490
546	GA	Milton	City	\$76,350
547	GA	Newnan	City	\$76,470
548	GA	Peachtree City	City	\$76,390
549	GA	Peachtree Corners	City	\$76,610
550	GA	Rome	City	\$76,460
551	GA	Roswell	City	\$147,480
552	GA	Sandy Springs	City	\$166,910
553	GA	Savannah	City	\$199,050
554	GA	Smyrna	City	\$116,410
555	GA	South Fulton	City	\$152,310
556	GA	Stonecrest	City	\$117,060
557	GA	Tucker	City	\$76,430



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
558	GA	Valdosta	City	\$121,120
559	GA	Warner Robins	City	\$135,070
560	GA	Woodstock	City	\$76,200
561	HI	Hawaii	County	\$228,640
562	HI	Honolulu	County	\$844,500
563	HI	Kauai	County	\$77,540
564	HI	Maui	County	\$80,750
565	IA	Cerro Gordo	County	\$76,530
566	IA	Clinton	County	\$76,610
567	IA	Dallas	County	\$77,020
568	IA	Dubuque	County	\$76,330
569	IA	Johnson	County	\$77,580
570	IA	Linn	County	\$76,710
571	IA	Marshall	County	\$76,390
572	IA	Muscatine	County	\$76,520
573	IA	Polk	County	\$79,550
574	IA	Warren	County	\$76,660
575	IA	Ames	City	\$128,040
576	IA	Ankeny	City	\$124,300
577	IA	Bettendorf	City	\$76,290
578	IA	Cedar Falls	City	\$76,450
579	IA	Cedar Rapids	City	\$185,610
580	IA	Council Bluffs	City	\$122,870
581	IA	Davenport	City	\$155,580
582	IA	Des Moines	City	\$245,640
583	IA	Dubuque	City	\$123,070
584	IA	Iowa City	City	\$136,380
585	IA	Marion	City	\$76,340
586	IA	Sioux City	City	\$140,390
587	IA	Urbandale	City	\$76,590
588	IA	Waterloo	City	\$129,180
589	IA	West Des Moines	City	\$131,740
590	ID	Ada	County	\$79,620
591	ID	Bingham	County	\$76,610
592	ID	Bonner	County	\$76,600
593	ID	Bonneville	County	\$76,930
594	ID	Canyon	County	\$77,320
595	ID	Jefferson	County	\$76,010



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
596	ID	Kootenai	County	\$77,580
597	ID	Latah	County	\$76,350
598	ID	Nez Perce	County	\$76,480
599	ID	Twin Falls	County	\$76,250
600	ID	Boise City	City	\$263,780
601	ID	Caldwell	City	\$119,140
602	ID	Coeur d'Alene	City	\$117,700
603	ID	Idaho Falls	City	\$127,230
604	ID	Meridian	City	\$162,570
605	ID	Nampa	City	\$150,180
606	ID	Pocatello	City	\$118,810
607	ID	Post Falls	City	\$76,280
608	ID	Rexburg	City	\$76,280
609	ID	Twin Falls	City	\$115,570
610	IL	Cook	County	\$1,072,210
611	IL	DuPage	County	\$449,660
612	IL	Kane	County	\$286,090
613	IL	Lake	County	\$532,900
614	IL	Madison	County	\$273,700
615	IL	McHenry	County	\$269,860
616	IL	St. Clair	County	\$235,430
617	IL	Tazewell	County	\$79,520
618	IL	Will	County	\$317,610
619	IL	Winnebago	County	\$79,530
620	IL	Addison, Village of	City	\$76,300
621	IL	Arlington Heights, Village of	City	\$133,720
622	IL	Aurora	City	\$211,320
623	IL	Bartlett, Village of	City	\$76,310
624	IL	Belleville	City	\$76,500
625	IL	Berwyn	City	\$114,870
626	IL	Bloomington	City	\$138,240
627	IL	Bolingbrook, Village of	City	\$131,770
628	IL	Buffalo Grove, Village of	City	\$76,460
629	IL	Calumet City	City	\$76,180
630	IL	Carol Stream, Village of	City	\$76,420
631	IL	Carpentersville, Village of	City	\$76,200
632	IL	Champaign	City	\$144,960
633	IL	Chicago	City	\$2,207,610



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
634	IL	Cicero, Town of	City	\$134,860
635	IL	Crystal Lake	City	\$76,420
636	IL	Decatur	City	\$131,690
637	IL	DeKalb	City	\$76,410
638	IL	Des Plaines	City	\$123,530
639	IL	Downers Grove, Village of	City	\$117,090
640	IL	Elgin	City	\$162,280
641	IL	Elmhurst	City	\$76,690
642	IL	Evanston	City	\$136,420
643	IL	Glenview, Village of	City	\$76,820
644	IL	Hanover Park, Village of	City	\$76,200
645	IL	Hoffman Estates, Village of	City	\$114,480
646	IL	Joliet	City	\$187,350
647	IL	Lombard, Village of	City	\$76,600
648	IL	Moline	City	\$76,520
649	IL	Mount Prospect, Village of	City	\$116,860
650	IL	Naperville	City	\$191,690
651	IL	Normal, Town of	City	\$115,180
652	IL	Northbrook, Village of	City	\$76,390
653	IL	Oak Lawn, Village of	City	\$118,340
654	IL	Oak Park, Village of	City	\$114,770
655	IL	Orland Park, Village of	City	\$119,480
656	IL	Palatine, Village of	City	\$124,440
657	IL	Park Ridge	City	\$76,360
658	IL	Peoria	City	\$166,910
659	IL	Plainfield, Village of	City	\$76,500
660	IL	Quincy	City	\$76,450
661	IL	Rock Island	City	\$76,310
662	IL	Rockford	City	\$194,270
663	IL	Romeoville, Village of	City	\$76,390
664	IL	Schaumburg, Village of	City	\$140,980
665	IL	Skokie, Village of	City	\$127,120
666	IL	Springfield	City	\$170,500
667	IL	Streamwood, Village of	City	\$76,250
668	IL	Tinley Park, Village of	City	\$115,960
669	IL	Urbana	City	\$76,520
670	IL	Waukegan	City	\$141,100
671	IL	Wheaton	City	\$115,730



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
672	IL	Wheeling, Village of	City	\$76,360
673	IN	Allen	County	\$79,000
674	IN	Elkhart	County	\$80,390
675	IN	Hancock	County	\$77,620
676	IN	Hendricks	County	\$80,860
677	IN	Johnson	County	\$78,180
678	IN	Kosciusko	County	\$77,790
679	IN	Lake	County	\$307,890
680	IN	LaPorte	County	\$78,820
681	IN	Porter	County	\$79,580
682	IN	St. Joseph	County	\$78,920
683	IN	Anderson	City	\$117,520
684	IN	Bloomington	City	\$141,730
685	IN	Carmel	City	\$153,650
686	IN	Columbus	City	\$117,430
687	IN	Elkhart	City	\$121,080
688	IN	Evansville	City	\$172,120
689	IN	Fishers	City	\$146,540
690	IN	Fort Wayne	City	\$281,950
691	IN	Gary	City	\$129,280
692	IN	Greenwood	City	\$122,730
693	IN	Hammond	City	\$133,260
694	IN	Indianapolis	City	\$787,040
695	IN	Jeffersonville	City	\$76,770
696	IN	Kokomo	City	\$122,580
697	IN	Lafayette	City	\$134,590
698	IN	Lawrence	City	\$76,690
699	IN	Merrillville, Town of	City	\$76,300
700	IN	Mishawaka	City	\$115,070
701	IN	Muncie	City	\$127,260
702	IN	New Albany	City	\$76,360
703	IN	Noblesville	City	\$125,610
704	IN	Portage	City	\$76,280
705	IN	Richmond	City	\$76,330
706	IN	South Bend	City	\$157,480
707	IN	Terre Haute	City	\$123,690
708	IN	West Lafayette	City	\$76,750
709	IN	Westfield	City	\$76,500



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
710	KS	Butler	County	\$77,230
711	KS	Cowley	County	\$76,200
712	KS	Crawford	County	\$76,360
713	KS	Finney	County	\$76,320
714	KS	Ford	County	\$76,190
715	KS	Geary	County	\$76,320
716	KS	Johnson	County	\$80,080
717	KS	Leavenworth	County	\$76,440
718	KS	Sedgwick	County	\$79,350
719	KS	Shawnee	County	\$76,710
720	KS	Hutchinson	City	\$76,430
721	KS	Kansas City	City	\$197,600
722	KS	Lawrence	City	\$147,530
723	KS	Leavenworth	City	\$76,340
724	KS	Lenexa	City	\$123,700
725	KS	Manhattan	City	\$117,730
726	KS	Olathe	City	\$180,830
727	KS	Overland Park	City	\$231,030
728	KS	Salina	City	\$76,650
729	KS	Shawnee	City	\$122,950
730	KS	Topeka	City	\$176,910
731	KS	Wichita	City	\$381,670
732	KY	Boone	County	\$78,710
733	KY	Bullitt	County	\$77,720
734	KY	Campbell	County	\$78,090
735	KY	Hardin	County	\$77,630
736	KY	Kenton	County	\$79,220
737	KY	Laurel	County	\$77,190
738	KY	McCracken	County	\$77,450
739	KY	Oldham	County	\$77,210
740	KY	Pulaski	County	\$77,280
741	KY	Warren	County	\$76,960
742	KY	Bowling Green	City	\$135,720
743	KY	Covington	City	\$76,440
744	KY	Elizabeth, Town of	City	\$76,250
745	KY	Florence	City	\$76,230
746	KY	Georgetown	City	\$76,340
747	KY	Hopkinsville	City	\$76,180



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
748	KY	Lexington-Fayette, Urban County Government of	City	\$329,300
749	KY	Louisville/Jefferson, Metropolitan Government of	City	\$690,810
750	KY	Owensboro	City	\$123,460
751	KY	Richmond	City	\$76,280
752	LA	Ascension	County	\$79,260
753	LA	Calcasieu	County	\$79,460
754	LA	Jefferson	County	\$359,040
755	LA	Lafourche	County	\$78,330
756	LA	Livingston	County	\$79,590
757	LA	Ouachita	County	\$78,700
758	LA	Rapides	County	\$77,830
759	LA	St. Landry	County	\$77,820
760	LA	St. Tammany	County	\$271,950
761	LA	Tangipahoa	County	\$79,540
762	LA	Alexandria	City	\$76,750
763	LA	Baton Rouge	City	\$432,850
764	LA	Bossier City	City	\$126,320
765	LA	Houma	City	\$76,230
766	LA	Kenner	City	\$124,990
767	LA	Lafayette	City	\$266,390
768	LA	Lake Charles	City	\$142,110
769	LA	Monroe	City	\$76,890
770	LA	New Orleans	City	\$381,470
771	LA	Shreveport	City	\$224,220
772	MA	Barnstable	County	\$81,070
773	MA	Amherst, Town of	City	\$76,440
774	MA	Andover, Town of	City	\$76,430
775	MA	Arlington, Town of	City	\$76,470
776	MA	Attleboro	City	\$76,560
777	MA	Barnstable, Town of	City	\$76,690
778	MA	Beverly	City	\$76,510
779	MA	Billerica, Town of	City	\$76,490
780	MA	Boston	City	\$659,990
781	MA	Braintree, Town of	City	\$76,390
782	MA	Brockton	City	\$151,670
783	MA	Brookline, Town of	City	\$120,650
784	MA	Cambridge	City	\$182,140



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
785	MA	Chelmsford, Town of	City	\$76,320
786	MA	Chelsea	City	\$76,360
787	MA	Chicopee	City	\$116,600
788	MA	Everett	City	\$76,560
789	MA	Fall River	City	\$145,730
790	MA	Fitchburg	City	\$76,400
791	MA	Framingham	City	\$132,170
792	MA	Haverhill	City	\$123,100
793	MA	Holyoke	City	\$76,420
794	MA	Lawrence	City	\$139,690
795	MA	Leominster	City	\$76,490
796	MA	Lowell	City	\$159,570
797	MA	Lynn	City	\$145,930
798	MA	Malden	City	\$120,570
799	MA	Marl	City	\$76,570
800	MA	Medford	City	\$117,420
801	MA	Methuen, Town of	City	\$112,740
802	MA	Natick, Town of	City	\$76,340
803	MA	New Bedford	City	\$148,890
804	MA	Newton	City	\$145,080
805	MA	Peabody	City	\$115,700
806	MA	Pittsfield	City	\$76,570
807	MA	Plymouth, Town of	City	\$121,930
808	MA	Quincy	City	\$149,380
809	MA	Revere	City	\$116,740
810	MA	Salem	City	\$76,490
811	MA	Shrewsbury, Town of	City	\$76,290
812	MA	Somerville	City	\$131,920
813	MA	Springfield	City	\$197,920
814	MA	Taunton	City	\$119,000
815	MA	Waltham	City	\$129,590
816	MA	Watertown	City	\$76,220
817	MA	Westfield	City	\$76,430
818	MA	Weymouth, Town of	City	\$117,020
819	MA	Woburn	City	\$76,570
820	MA	Worcester	City	\$232,230
821	MD	Anne Arundel	County	\$488,150
822	MD	Baltimore	County	\$712,040



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
823	MD	Carroll	County	\$80,670
824	MD	Charles	County	\$80,380
825	MD	Frederick	County	\$81,250
826	MD	Harford	County	\$266,890
827	MD	Howard	County	\$326,870
828	MD	Montgomery	County	\$764,010
829	MD	Prince George's	County	\$681,220
830	MD	St. Mary's	County	\$78,910
831	MD	Annapolis	City	\$76,480
832	MD	Baltimore	City	\$549,550
833	MD	Bowie	City	\$117,040
834	MD	College Park	City	\$76,350
835	MD	Frederick	City	\$137,000
836	MD	Gaithersburg	City	\$130,110
837	MD	Hagerstown	City	\$76,550
838	MD	Laurel	City	\$76,020
839	MD	Rockville	City	\$134,050
840	MD	Salisbury	City	\$76,290
841	ME	Androscoggin	County	\$76,570
842	ME	Aroostook	County	\$77,330
843	ME	Cumberland	County	\$79,760
844	ME	Hancock	County	\$76,920
845	ME	Kennebec	County	\$79,330
846	ME	Knox	County	\$76,420
847	ME	Oxford	County	\$76,950
848	ME	Penobscot	County	\$79,010
849	ME	Somerset	County	\$76,720
850	ME	York	County	\$80,520
851	ME	Auburn	City	\$75,880
852	ME	Bangor	City	\$76,320
853	ME	Biddeford	City	\$75,790
854	ME	Brunswick, Town of	City	\$75,800
855	ME	Lewiston	City	\$76,350
856	ME	Portland	City	\$133,250
857	ME	Sanford	City	\$75,730
858	ME	Scar, Town of	City	\$75,810
859	ME	South Portland	City	\$75,990
860	ME	Westbrook	City	\$75,730



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
861	MI	Genesee	County	\$283,080
862	MI	Jackson	County	\$80,490
863	MI	Kent	County	\$326,600
864	MI	Livingston	County	\$81,390
865	MI	Macomb	County	\$81,190
866	MI	Monroe	County	\$80,090
867	MI	Oakland	County	\$434,190
868	MI	Ottawa	County	\$229,720
869	MI	St. Clair	County	\$80,370
870	MI	Wayne	County	\$487,490
871	MI	Ann Arbor	City	\$182,360
872	MI	Battle Creek	City	\$118,280
873	MI	Bloomfield charter, Township of	City	\$76,510
874	MI	Canton charter, Township of	City	\$145,160
875	MI	Chesterfield, Township of	City	\$76,500
876	MI	Clinton charter, Township of	City	\$149,860
877	MI	Commerce charter, Township of	City	\$76,430
878	MI	Dearborn	City	\$166,370
879	MI	Dearborn Heights	City	\$118,960
880	MI	Detroit	City	\$582,030
881	MI	East Lansing	City	\$76,850
882	MI	Farmington Hills	City	\$140,530
883	MI	Flint	City	\$144,050
884	MI	Georgetown, Charter Township of	City	\$112,810
885	MI	Grand Blanc charter, Township of	City	\$76,340
886	MI	Grand Rapids	City	\$235,280
887	MI	Holland charter, Township of	City	\$76,380
888	MI	Independence charter, Township of	City	\$76,200
889	MI	Kalamazoo	City	\$135,750
890	MI	Kentwood	City	\$118,670
891	MI	Lansing	City	\$168,150
892	MI	Lincoln Park	City	\$76,260
893	MI	Livonia	City	\$155,220
894	MI	Macomb, Township of	City	\$138,090
895	MI	Meridian charter, Township of	City	\$76,480
896	MI	Midland	City	\$76,620
897	MI	Muskegon	City	\$76,450
898	MI	Novi	City	\$126,900



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
899	MI	Orion charter, Township of	City	\$76,300
900	MI	Pittsfield charter, Township of	City	\$76,400
901	MI	Pontiac	City	\$123,740
902	MI	Portage	City	\$76,800
903	MI	Redford charter, Township of	City	\$76,600
904	MI	Rochester Hills	City	\$131,400
905	MI	Roseville	City	\$76,600
906	MI	Royal Oak	City	\$119,370
907	MI	Saginaw	City	\$76,670
908	MI	Saginaw charter, Township of	City	\$76,420
909	MI	Shelby, Charter Township of	City	\$133,950
910	MI	Southfield	City	\$142,010
911	MI	St. Clair Shores	City	\$117,070
912	MI	Sterling Heights	City	\$176,580
913	MI	Taylor	City	\$122,780
914	MI	Troy	City	\$153,850
915	MI	Warren	City	\$187,140
916	MI	Waterford, Charter Township of	City	\$126,150
917	MI	West Bloomfield, Charter Township of	City	\$122,750
918	MI	Westland	City	\$135,220
919	MI	Wyoming	City	\$133,940
920	MI	Ypsilanti charter, Township of	City	\$113,970
921	MN	Anoka	County	\$240,860
922	MN	Carver	County	\$78,560
923	MN	Dakota	County	\$79,810
924	MN	Hennepin	County	\$282,750
925	MN	Ramsey	County	\$80,780
926	MN	Scott	County	\$78,460
927	MN	St. Louis	County	\$78,900
928	MN	Stearns	County	\$78,580
929	MN	Washington	County	\$80,160
930	MN	Wright	County	\$79,610
931	MN	Apple Valley	City	\$114,820
932	MN	Blaine	City	\$126,550
933	MN	Bloomington	City	\$150,730
934	MN	Brooklyn Park	City	\$137,300
935	MN	Burnsville	City	\$123,700
936	MN	Coon Rapids	City	\$121,480



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
937	MN	Cottage Grove	City	\$76,230
938	MN	Duluth	City	\$144,340
939	MN	Eagan	City	\$130,890
940	MN	Eden Prairie	City	\$128,580
941	MN	Edina	City	\$119,340
942	MN	Inver Grove Heights	City	\$76,160
943	MN	Lakeville	City	\$123,680
944	MN	Mankato	City	\$76,630
945	MN	Maple Grove	City	\$128,850
946	MN	Maplewood	City	\$76,530
947	MN	Minneapolis	City	\$424,330
948	MN	Minnetonka	City	\$119,890
949	MN	Moorhead	City	\$76,470
950	MN	Plymouth	City	\$139,830
951	MN	Richfield	City	\$76,260
952	MN	Rochester	City	\$172,870
953	MN	Roseville	City	\$76,370
954	MN	Shakopee	City	\$76,550
955	MN	St. Cloud	City	\$131,730
956	MN	St. Louis Park	City	\$114,140
957	MN	St. Paul	City	\$317,800
958	MN	Woodbury	City	\$129,800
959	MO	Cass	County	\$78,410
960	MO	Christian	County	\$77,850
961	MO	Clay	County	\$78,540
962	MO	Franklin	County	\$78,530
963	MO	Greene	County	\$79,080
964	MO	Jackson	County	\$79,620
965	MO	Jasper	County	\$77,570
966	MO	Jefferson	County	\$235,510
967	MO	St. Charles	County	\$79,500
968	MO	St. Louis	County	\$735,340
969	MO	Blue Springs	City	\$117,010
970	MO	Cape Girardeau	City	\$76,480
971	MO	Chesterfield	City	\$76,990
972	MO	Columbia	City	\$176,550
973	MO	Florissant	City	\$112,080
974	MO	Independence	City	\$163,880



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
975	MO	Jefferson City	City	\$76,770
976	MO	Joplin	City	\$119,050
977	MO	Kansas City	City	\$477,390
978	MO	Lee's Summit	City	\$150,390
979	MO	O'Fallon	City	\$142,430
980	MO	Springfield	City	\$220,930
981	MO	St. Charles	City	\$129,280
982	MO	St. Joseph	City	\$133,950
983	MO	St. Louis	City	\$330,620
984	MO	St. Peters	City	\$118,770
985	MO	University City	City	\$76,120
986	MO	Wentzville	City	\$76,500
987	MO	Wildwood	City	\$76,120
988	MS	DeSoto	County	\$77,890
989	MS	Harrison	County	\$77,830
990	MS	Jackson	County	\$79,900
991	MS	Jones	County	\$77,380
992	MS	Lafayette	County	\$76,940
993	MS	Lamar	County	\$76,910
994	MS	Lowndes	County	\$77,090
995	MS	Madison	County	\$78,810
996	MS	Pearl River	County	\$76,860
997	MS	Rankin	County	\$80,400
998	MS	Biloxi	City	\$76,850
999	MS	Clinton	City	\$75,910
1000	MS	Greenville	City	\$76,050
1001	MS	Gulfport	City	\$133,890
1002	MS	Hattiesburg	City	\$76,920
1003	MS	Jackson	City	\$201,980
1004	MS	Meridian	City	\$76,380
1005	MS	Olive Branch	City	\$76,380
1006	MS	Southaven	City	\$116,540
1007	MS	Tupelo	City	\$76,530
1008	MT	Cascade	County	\$75,790
1009	MT	Flathead	County	\$77,670
1010	MT	Gallatin	County	\$76,860
1011	MT	Lake	County	\$76,060
1012	MT	Lewis and Clark	County	\$76,220



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1013	MT	Lincoln	County	\$75,680
1014	MT	Missoula	County	\$76,470
1015	MT	Park	County	\$75,580
1016	MT	Ravalli	County	\$76,490
1017	MT	Yellowstone	County	\$76,600
1018	MT	Anaconda-Deer Lodge	City	\$75,330
1019	MT	Belgrade	City	\$75,330
1020	MT	Billings	City	\$165,490
1021	MT	Bozeman	City	\$116,320
1022	MT	Butte-Silver Bow	City	\$76,200
1023	MT	Great Falls	City	\$121,420
1024	MT	Havre	City	\$75,330
1025	MT	Helena	City	\$76,250
1026	MT	Kalispell	City	\$75,940
1027	MT	Missoula	City	\$134,040
1028	NC	Brunswick	County	\$79,640
1029	NC	Buncombe	County	\$80,700
1030	NC	Davidson	County	\$80,360
1031	NC	Gaston	County	\$79,790
1032	NC	Iredell	County	\$79,640
1033	NC	Johnston	County	\$229,210
1034	NC	Mecklenburg	County	\$81,120
1035	NC	Randolph	County	\$79,870
1036	NC	Union	County	\$81,610
1037	NC	Wake	County	\$329,670
1038	NC	Apex, Town of	City	\$117,810
1039	NC	Asheville	City	\$158,820
1040	NC	Burlington	City	\$120,610
1041	NC	Cary, Town of	City	\$210,400
1042	NC	Chapel Hill, Town of	City	\$129,010
1043	NC	Charlotte	City	\$777,930
1044	NC	Concord	City	\$153,940
1045	NC	Durham	City	\$297,140
1046	NC	Fayetteville	City	\$245,040
1047	NC	Gastonia	City	\$137,620
1048	NC	Greensboro	City	\$314,150
1049	NC	Greenville	City	\$146,850
1050	NC	Hickory	City	\$76,750



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1051	NC	High Point	City	\$165,110
1052	NC	Holly Springs, Town of	City	\$76,320
1053	NC	Huntersville, Town of	City	\$120,070
1054	NC	Indian Trail, Town of	City	\$76,350
1055	NC	Jacksonville	City	\$139,090
1056	NC	Kannapolis	City	\$113,050
1057	NC	Mooresville, Town of	City	\$113,610
1058	NC	Raleigh	City	\$450,390
1059	NC	Rocky Mount	City	\$118,650
1060	NC	Salisbury	City	\$76,370
1061	NC	Wake Forest, Town of	City	\$76,560
1062	NC	Wilmington	City	\$172,690
1063	NC	Wilson	City	\$76,800
1064	NC	Winston-Salem	City	\$274,010
1065	ND	Barnes	County	\$75,370
1066	ND	Burleigh	County	\$75,770
1067	ND	Cass	County	\$75,660
1068	ND	Grand Forks	County	\$75,480
1069	ND	McKenzie	County	\$75,530
1070	ND	Ramsey	County	\$75,400
1071	ND	Rolette	County	\$75,450
1072	ND	Walsh	County	\$75,370
1073	ND	Ward	County	\$75,750
1074	ND	Williams	County	\$75,420
1075	ND	Bismarck	City	\$133,550
1076	ND	Dickinson	City	\$75,870
1077	ND	Fargo	City	\$176,940
1078	ND	Grand Forks	City	\$120,420
1079	ND	Jamestown	City	\$75,550
1080	ND	Mandan	City	\$75,790
1081	ND	Minot	City	\$76,670
1082	ND	Wahpeton	City	\$75,290
1083	ND	West Fargo	City	\$76,250
1084	ND	Williston	City	\$76,010
1085	NE	Cass	County	\$75,850
1086	NE	Dakota	County	\$75,750
1087	NE	Dawson	County	\$75,830
1088	NE	Douglas	County	\$78,070



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1089	NE	Gage	County	\$75,740
1090	NE	Lancaster	County	\$76,020
1091	NE	Lincoln	County	\$76,220
1092	NE	Sarpy	County	\$78,430
1093	NE	Saunders	County	\$75,720
1094	NE	Scotts Bluff	County	\$76,260
1095	NE	Bellevue	City	\$120,630
1096	NE	Columbus	City	\$75,850
1097	NE	Fremont	City	\$75,940
1098	NE	Grand Island	City	\$116,440
1099	NE	Hastings	City	\$75,880
1100	NE	Kearney	City	\$76,190
1101	NE	Lincoln	City	\$300,090
1102	NE	Norfolk	City	\$75,890
1103	NE	Omaha	City	\$461,600
1104	NE	Papillion	City	\$75,830
1105	NH	Belknap	County	\$77,160
1106	NH	Carroll	County	\$76,710
1107	NH	Cheshire	County	\$77,630
1108	NH	Coos	County	\$76,080
1109	NH	Grafton	County	\$78,280
1110	NH	Hills	County	\$80,440
1111	NH	Merrimack	County	\$78,630
1112	NH	Rockingham	County	\$244,460
1113	NH	Strafford	County	\$77,200
1114	NH	Sullivan	County	\$76,450
1115	NH	Concord	City	\$76,720
1116	NH	Derry, Town of	City	\$76,090
1117	NH	Dover	City	\$76,150
1118	NH	Hudson, Town of	City	\$75,840
1119	NH	Londonderry, Town of	City	\$75,920
1120	NH	Manchester	City	\$163,660
1121	NH	Merrimack, Town of	City	\$75,970
1122	NH	Nashua	City	\$144,610
1123	NH	Rochester	City	\$76,080
1124	NH	Salem, Town of	City	\$76,090
1125	NJ	Bergen	County	\$695,630
1126	NJ	Burlington	County	\$349,100



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1127	NJ	Camden	County	\$244,430
1128	NJ	Essex	County	\$257,630
1129	NJ	Gloucester	County	\$234,830
1130	NJ	Monmouth	County	\$380,600
1131	NJ	Morris	County	\$422,170
1132	NJ	Ocean	County	\$81,110
1133	NJ	Somerset	County	\$81,640
1134	NJ	Union City	County	\$285,940
1135	NJ	Atlantic City	City	\$76,540
1136	NJ	Bayonne	City	\$124,910
1137	NJ	Belleville, Township of	City	\$76,210
1138	NJ	Berkeley, Township of	City	\$76,410
1139	NJ	Bloomfield, Township of	City	\$112,160
1140	NJ	Brick, Township of	City	\$128,690
1141	NJ	Bridgewater, Township of	City	\$76,720
1142	NJ	Camden	City	\$131,910
1143	NJ	Cherry Hill, Township of	City	\$134,260
1144	NJ	Clifton	City	\$140,650
1145	NJ	East Brunswick, Township of	City	\$76,710
1146	NJ	East Orange	City	\$123,800
1147	NJ	Edison, Township of	City	\$159,920
1148	NJ	Egg Harbor, Township of	City	\$76,600
1149	NJ	Elizabeth	City	\$177,390
1150	NJ	Evesham, Township of	City	\$76,580
1151	NJ	Ewing, Township of	City	\$76,350
1152	NJ	Fort Lee	City	\$76,320
1153	NJ	Franklin, Township of	City	\$126,920
1154	NJ	Freehold, Township of	City	\$76,270
1155	NJ	Galloway, Township of	City	\$76,250
1156	NJ	Gloucester, Township of	City	\$121,580
1157	NJ	Hackensack	City	\$76,730
1158	NJ	Hamilton, Township of	City	\$142,770
1159	NJ	Hills, Township of	City	\$76,380
1160	NJ	Hoboken	City	\$118,080
1161	NJ	Howell, Township of	City	\$113,320
1162	NJ	Irvington, Township of	City	\$116,760
1163	NJ	Jackson, Township of	City	\$115,540
1164	NJ	Jersey City	City	\$291,330



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1165	NJ	Kearny, Town of	City	\$76,420
1166	NJ	Lakewood, Township of	City	\$173,640
1167	NJ	Linden	City	\$76,500
1168	NJ	Manalapan, Township of	City	\$76,330
1169	NJ	Manchester, Township of	City	\$76,500
1170	NJ	Marlboro, Township of	City	\$76,350
1171	NJ	Middletown, Township of	City	\$123,080
1172	NJ	Monroe, Township of (Gloucester County)	City	\$76,180
1173	NJ	Monroe, Township of (Middlesex County)	City	\$76,590
1174	NJ	Montclair, Township of	City	\$76,400
1175	NJ	Mount Laurel, Township of	City	\$76,680
1176	NJ	New Brunswick, Township of	City	\$121,880
1177	NJ	Newark	City	\$317,540
1178	NJ	North Bergen, Township of	City	\$120,810
1179	NJ	North Brunswick, Township of	City	\$76,450
1180	NJ	Old Bridge, Township of	City	\$121,610
1181	NJ	Parsippany-Troy Hills, Township of	City	\$122,450
1182	NJ	Passaic	City	\$126,930
1183	NJ	Paterson	City	\$190,900
1184	NJ	Pennsauken, Township of	City	\$76,290
1185	NJ	Perth Amboy	City	\$114,270
1186	NJ	Piscataway, Township of	City	\$122,360
1187	NJ	Plainfield	City	\$112,690
1188	NJ	Sayreville	City	\$76,430
1189	NJ	South Brunswick, Township of	City	\$76,670
1190	NJ	Teaneck, Township of	City	\$76,380
1191	NJ	Toms River, Township of	City	\$147,200
1192	NJ	Trenton	City	\$145,700
1193	NJ	Union City	City	\$123,130
1194	NJ	Union, Township of	City	\$120,360
1195	NJ	Vineland	City	\$122,730
1196	NJ	Washington, Township of	City	\$76,620
1197	NJ	Wayne, Township of	City	\$118,460
1198	NJ	West New York, Town of	City	\$111,500
1199	NJ	West Orange, Township of	City	\$76,600
1200	NJ	Winslow, Township of	City	\$76,270
1201	NJ	Woodbridge, Township of	City	\$153,300



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1202	NM	Bernalillo	County	\$78,950
1203	NM	Dona Ana	County	\$78,650
1204	NM	McKinley	County	\$77,520
1205	NM	Otero	County	\$76,280
1206	NM	Rio Arriba	County	\$76,350
1207	NM	San Juan	County	\$77,580
1208	NM	Sandoval	County	\$76,540
1209	NM	Santa Fe	County	\$77,200
1210	NM	Taos	County	\$76,180
1211	NM	Valencia	County	\$77,550
1212	NM	Alamogordo	City	\$76,060
1213	NM	Albuquerque	City	\$512,750
1214	NM	Carlsbad	City	\$76,110
1215	NM	Clovis	City	\$76,310
1216	NM	Farmington	City	\$76,680
1217	NM	Hobbs	City	\$76,410
1218	NM	Las Cruces	City	\$160,190
1219	NM	Rio Rancho	City	\$149,420
1220	NM	Roswell	City	\$76,680
1221	NM	Santa Fe	City	\$144,530
1222	NV	Churchill	County	\$75,870
1223	NV	Clark	County	\$844,640
1224	NV	Douglas	County	\$76,700
1225	NV	Elko	County	\$76,100
1226	NV	Humboldt	County	\$75,600
1227	NV	Lyon	County	\$76,190
1228	NV	Nye	County	\$76,720
1229	NV	Pershing	County	\$75,230
1230	NV	Washoe	County	\$78,680
1231	NV	White Pine	County	\$75,330
1232	NV	Boulder	City	\$75,520
1233	NV	Carson City	City	\$120,450
1234	NV	Elko	City	\$75,750
1235	NV	Fernley	City	\$75,730
1236	NV	Henderson	City	\$307,600
1237	NV	Las Vegas	City	\$583,470
1238	NV	Mesquite	City	\$75,720
1239	NV	North Las Vegas	City	\$262,780



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1240	NV	Reno	City	\$280,500
1241	NV	Sparks	City	\$155,620
1242	NY	Dutchess	County	\$259,770
1243	NY	Erie	County	\$248,410
1244	NY	Monroe	County	\$81,200
1245	NY	Niagara	County	\$80,400
1246	NY	Oneida	County	\$80,830
1247	NY	Onondaga	County	\$278,080
1248	NY	Orange	County	\$372,460
1249	NY	Saratoga	County	\$81,630
1250	NY	Ulster	County	\$81,150
1251	NY	Westchester	County	\$282,250
1252	NY	Albany	City	\$164,050
1253	NY	Amherst, Town of	City	\$179,240
1254	NY	Babylon, Town of	City	\$235,330
1255	NY	Bethlehem, Town of	City	\$76,160
1256	NY	Binghamton	City	\$76,690
1257	NY	Brighton, Town of	City	\$76,350
1258	NY	Brookhaven, Town of	City	\$429,790
1259	NY	Buffalo	City	\$293,740
1260	NY	Cheektowaga, Town of	City	\$143,440
1261	NY	Clarkstown, Town of	City	\$140,500
1262	NY	Clay, Town of	City	\$118,800
1263	NY	Clifton Park, Town of	City	\$76,290
1264	NY	Colonie, Town of	City	\$146,350
1265	NY	Cortlandt, Town of	City	\$76,420
1266	NY	Greece, Town of	City	\$144,840
1267	NY	Greenburgh, Town of	City	\$149,090
1268	NY	Guilderland, Town of	City	\$76,220
1269	NY	Hamburg, Town of	City	\$118,990
1270	NY	Haverstraw, Town of	City	\$76,230
1271	NY	Hempstead, Town of	City	\$649,790
1272	NY	Henrietta, Town of	City	\$76,850
1273	NY	Huntington, Town of	City	\$233,490
1274	NY	Irondequoit, Town of	City	\$111,250
1275	NY	Islip, Town of	City	\$328,290
1276	NY	Lancaster, Town of	City	\$76,520
1277	NY	Long Beach	City	\$76,100



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1278	NY	Mount Pleasant, Town of	City	\$76,680
1279	NY	Mount Vernon	City	\$126,920
1280	NY	New Rochelle	City	\$133,340
1281	NY	New York	City	\$6,875,730
1282	NY	Niagara Falls	City	\$76,720
1283	NY	North Hempstead, Town of	City	\$263,550
1284	NY	Orangetown, Town of	City	\$76,720
1285	NY	Ossining, Town of	City	\$76,300
1286	NY	Oyster Bay, Town of	City	\$304,060
1287	NY	Penfield, Town of	City	\$76,310
1288	NY	Perinton, Town of	City	\$76,620
1289	NY	Poughkeepsie, Town of	City	\$76,620
1290	NY	Ramapo, Town of	City	\$184,790
1291	NY	Riverhead, Town of	City	\$76,280
1292	NY	Rochester	City	\$248,150
1293	NY	Rye, Town of	City	\$76,660
1294	NY	Schenectady	City	\$126,660
1295	NY	Smithtown, Town of	City	\$163,460
1296	NY	Southampton, Town of	City	\$126,880
1297	NY	Syracuse	City	\$195,980
1298	NY	Tonawanda, Town of	City	\$128,620
1299	NY	Troy	City	\$114,100
1300	NY	Union, Town of	City	\$117,440
1301	NY	Utica	City	\$125,390
1302	NY	Webster, Town of	City	\$76,520
1303	NY	West Seneca, Town of	City	\$76,510
1304	NY	White Plains	City	\$126,510
1305	NY	Yonkers	City	\$227,490
1306	NY	Yorktown, Town of	City	\$76,250
1307	OH	Butler	County	\$248,500
1308	OH	Clermont	County	\$225,940
1309	OH	Cuyahoga	County	\$557,250
1310	OH	Franklin	County	\$81,940
1311	OH	Hamilton	County	\$473,280
1312	OH	Lake	County	\$81,130
1313	OH	Montgomery	County	\$305,670
1314	OH	Stark	County	\$302,340
1315	OH	Summit	County	\$304,340



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1316	OH	Warren	County	\$253,250
1317	OH	Akron	City	\$225,370
1318	OH	Beavercreek	City	\$76,640
1319	OH	Brunswick	City	\$76,140
1320	OH	Canton	City	\$131,670
1321	OH	Cincinnati	City	\$334,440
1322	OH	Cleveland	City	\$386,700
1323	OH	Cleveland Heights	City	\$76,450
1324	OH	Columbus	City	\$778,900
1325	OH	Cuyahoga Falls	City	\$112,560
1326	OH	Dayton	City	\$187,930
1327	OH	Delaware	City	\$76,410
1328	OH	Dublin	City	\$76,910
1329	OH	Elyria	City	\$115,220
1330	OH	Euclid	City	\$76,640
1331	OH	Fairfield	City	\$76,610
1332	OH	Findlay	City	\$76,520
1333	OH	Gahanna	City	\$76,250
1334	OH	Grove	City	\$76,460
1335	OH	Hamilton	City	\$122,250
1336	OH	Hilliard	City	\$76,280
1337	OH	Huber Heights	City	\$76,400
1338	OH	Kettering	City	\$119,280
1339	OH	Lakewood	City	\$110,300
1340	OH	Lancaster	City	\$76,420
1341	OH	Lima	City	\$76,310
1342	OH	Lorain	City	\$122,270
1343	OH	Mansfield	City	\$76,760
1344	OH	Marion	City	\$76,250
1345	OH	Mentor	City	\$76,760
1346	OH	Middletown	City	\$113,080
1347	OH	Newark	City	\$76,750
1348	OH	North Ridgeville	City	\$76,130
1349	OH	Parma	City	\$132,830
1350	OH	Reynoldsburg	City	\$76,300
1351	OH	Springfield	City	\$121,530
1352	OH	Strongsville	City	\$76,610
1353	OH	Toledo	City	\$285,750



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1354	OH	Upper Arlington	City	\$76,220
1355	OH	Warren	City	\$76,400
1356	OH	Westerville	City	\$76,500
1357	OH	Youngstown	City	\$124,150
1358	OK	Canadian	County	\$77,220
1359	OK	Creek	County	\$77,390
1360	OK	Grady	County	\$76,830
1361	OK	Le Flore	County	\$76,660
1362	OK	Logan	County	\$76,590
1363	OK	Oklahoma	County	\$79,740
1364	OK	Pottawatomie	County	\$77,470
1365	OK	Rogers	County	\$78,010
1366	OK	Tulsa	County	\$79,610
1367	OK	Wagoner	County	\$76,900
1368	OK	Bartlesville	City	\$76,320
1369	OK	Broken Arrow	City	\$156,940
1370	OK	Edmond	City	\$146,020
1371	OK	Enid	City	\$114,420
1372	OK	Lawton	City	\$146,710
1373	OK	Midwest City	City	\$117,820
1374	OK	Moore	City	\$119,560
1375	OK	Muskogee	City	\$76,370
1376	OK	Norman	City	\$171,050
1377	OK	Oklahoma City	City	\$612,250
1378	OK	Owasso	City	\$76,270
1379	OK	Stillwater	City	\$76,760
1380	OK	Tulsa	City	\$408,420
1381	OR	Clackamas	County	\$329,650
1382	OR	Deschutes	County	\$78,310
1383	OR	Douglas	County	\$78,850
1384	OR	Jackson	County	\$79,600
1385	OR	Lane	County	\$79,830
1386	OR	Linn	County	\$77,780
1387	OR	Marion	County	\$80,470
1388	OR	Umatilla	County	\$77,740
1389	OR	Washington	County	\$324,390
1390	OR	Yamhill	County	\$78,660
1391	OR	Albany	City	\$117,460



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1392	OR	Beaverton	City	\$152,000
1393	OR	Bend	City	\$152,740
1394	OR	Corvallis	City	\$122,590
1395	OR	Eugene	City	\$212,520
1396	OR	Grants Pass	City	\$76,430
1397	OR	Gresham	City	\$158,600
1398	OR	Hillsboro	City	\$163,840
1399	OR	Keizer	City	\$76,270
1400	OR	Lake Oswego	City	\$76,440
1401	OR	Medford	City	\$143,430
1402	OR	Oregon City	City	\$76,260
1403	OR	Portland	City	\$602,650
1404	OR	Salem	City	\$214,750
1405	OR	Springfield	City	\$123,250
1406	OR	Tigard	City	\$118,610
1407	PA	Allegheny	County	\$751,200
1408	PA	Berks	County	\$324,850
1409	PA	Bucks	County	\$404,580
1410	PA	Chester	County	\$479,860
1411	PA	Cumberland	County	\$274,270
1412	PA	Delaware	County	\$406,930
1413	PA	Lancaster	County	\$411,780
1414	PA	Lehigh	County	\$252,780
1415	PA	Luzerne	County	\$287,780
1416	PA	Montgomery	County	\$594,260
1417	PA	Northampton	County	\$264,030
1418	PA	Washington	County	\$233,750
1419	PA	Westmoreland	County	\$308,180
1420	PA	York	County	\$377,890
1421	PA	Abington, Township of (Montgomery County)	City	\$118,250
1422	PA	Allentown	City	\$170,070
1423	PA	Altoona	City	\$76,540
1424	PA	Bensalem, Township of	City	\$122,290
1425	PA	Bethlehem	City	\$135,180
1426	PA	Bristol, Township of	City	\$114,490
1427	PA	Cheltenham, Township of	City	\$76,230
1428	PA	Erie	City	\$151,020
1429	PA	Harrisburg	City	\$120,600



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1430	PA	Haverford, Township of	City	\$110,660
1431	PA	Hempfield, Township of (Westmoreland County)	City	\$76,460
1432	PA	Lancaster	City	\$122,800
1433	PA	Lower Merion, Township of	City	\$126,250
1434	PA	Lower Paxton, Township of	City	\$114,320
1435	PA	Manheim, Township of (Lancaster County)	City	\$76,560
1436	PA	Middletown, Township of (Bucks County)	City	\$76,530
1437	PA	Millcreek, Township of (Erie County)	City	\$115,710
1438	PA	Norris, Town of	City	\$76,190
1439	PA	Northampton, Township of	City	\$76,280
1440	PA	Penn Hills, Township of	City	\$76,340
1441	PA	Philadelphia	City	\$1,316,810
1442	PA	Pittsburgh	City	\$337,960
1443	PA	Reading	City	\$145,490
1444	PA	Scranton	City	\$134,690
1445	PA	State College, Borough of	City	\$76,560
1446	PA	Upper Darby, Township of	City	\$134,340
1447	PA	Wilkes-Barre	City	\$76,590
1448	PA	York	City	\$76,680
1449	PR	Aguada	City	\$76,280
1450	PR	Aguadilla	City	\$118,070
1451	PR	Arecibo	City	\$142,090
1452	PR	Bayamon	City	\$213,340
1453	PR	Cabo Rojo	City	\$76,600
1454	PR	Caguas	City	\$173,030
1455	PR	Canovanas	City	\$76,440
1456	PR	Carolina	City	\$191,230
1457	PR	Cayey	City	\$76,470
1458	PR	Cidra	City	\$76,340
1459	PR	Dorado	City	\$76,240
1460	PR	Guayama	City	\$76,340
1461	PR	Guaynabo	City	\$147,260
1462	PR	Gurabo	City	\$76,400
1463	PR	Hatillo	City	\$76,330
1464	PR	Humacao	City	\$115,070
1465	PR	Isabela	City	\$76,450



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1466	PR	Juana Diaz	City	\$76,560
1467	PR	Juncos	City	\$76,290
1468	PR	Las Piedras	City	\$76,220
1469	PR	Manati	City	\$76,420
1470	PR	Mayaguez	City	\$134,110
1471	PR	Moca	City	\$76,260
1472	PR	Ponce	City	\$183,120
1473	PR	Rio Grande	City	\$76,580
1474	PR	San Juan	City	\$357,770
1475	PR	San Lorenzo	City	\$76,250
1476	PR	San Sebastian	City	\$76,320
1477	PR	Toa Alta	City	\$122,670
1478	PR	Toa Baja	City	\$130,000
1479	PR	Trujillo Alto	City	\$122,800
1480	PR	Vega Alta	City	\$76,200
1481	PR	Vega Baja	City	\$115,150
1482	RI	Coventry, Town of	City	\$76,130
1483	RI	Cranston	City	\$136,300
1484	RI	Cumberland, Town of	City	\$76,180
1485	RI	East Providence	City	\$76,630
1486	RI	North Providence, Town of	City	\$76,090
1487	RI	Pawtucket	City	\$128,950
1488	RI	Providence	City	\$227,730
1489	RI	South Kings, Town of	City	\$76,120
1490	RI	Warwick	City	\$139,610
1491	RI	Woonsocket	City	\$76,470
1492	SC	Aiken	County	\$80,820
1493	SC	Anderson	County	\$227,180
1494	SC	Beaufort	County	\$80,150
1495	SC	Berkeley	County	\$80,300
1496	SC	Greenville	County	\$393,510
1497	SC	Horry	County	\$307,990
1498	SC	Lexington	County	\$297,180
1499	SC	Richland	County	\$278,170
1500	SC	Spartanburg	County	\$282,710
1501	SC	York	County	\$226,460
1502	SC	Charleston	City	\$195,380
1503	SC	Columbia	City	\$198,460



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1504	SC	Florence	City	\$76,570
1505	SC	Goose Creek	City	\$76,520
1506	SC	Greenville	City	\$144,320
1507	SC	Greer	City	\$76,210
1508	SC	Hilton Head Island, Town of	City	\$76,420
1509	SC	Mount Pleasant, Town of	City	\$142,750
1510	SC	Myrtle Beach	City	\$76,580
1511	SC	North Charleston	City	\$171,700
1512	SC	Rock Hill	City	\$133,080
1513	SC	Spartanburg	City	\$76,580
1514	SC	Summerville, Town of	City	\$113,980
1515	SC	Sumter	City	\$76,610
1516	SD	Brookings	County	\$75,360
1517	SD	Clay	County	\$75,500
1518	SD	Lake	County	\$75,390
1519	SD	Lawrence	County	\$75,460
1520	SD	Lincoln	County	\$75,840
1521	SD	Meade	County	\$75,960
1522	SD	Minnehaha	County	\$76,450
1523	SD	Oglala Lakota	County	\$75,490
1524	SD	Pennington	County	\$76,130
1525	SD	Union	County	\$75,590
1526	SD	Aberdeen	City	\$76,020
1527	SD	Brookings	City	\$75,860
1528	SD	Huron	City	\$75,490
1529	SD	Mitchell	City	\$75,560
1530	SD	Pierre	City	\$75,510
1531	SD	Rapid City	City	\$135,960
1532	SD	Sioux Falls	City	\$224,030
1533	SD	Spearfish	City	\$75,440
1534	SD	Watertown	City	\$75,810
1535	SD	Yankton	City	\$75,570
1536	TN	Blount	County	\$79,600
1537	TN	Hamilton	County	\$80,940
1538	TN	Knox	County	\$279,650
1539	TN	Putnam	County	\$77,840
1540	TN	Rutherford	County	\$77,990
1541	TN	Sevier	County	\$78,460



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1542	TN	Shelby	County	\$79,610
1543	TN	Sullivan	County	\$78,530
1544	TN	Sumner	County	\$77,880
1545	TN	Williamson	County	\$77,700
1546	TN	Bartlett	City	\$117,930
1547	TN	Brentwood	City	\$76,730
1548	TN	Chattanooga	City	\$234,200
1549	TN	Clarksville	City	\$197,220
1550	TN	Cleveland	City	\$76,790
1551	TN	Collierville, Town of	City	\$113,610
1552	TN	Columbia	City	\$76,480
1553	TN	Franklin	City	\$145,990
1554	TN	Gallatin	City	\$76,540
1555	TN	Germantown	City	\$76,430
1556	TN	Hendersonville	City	\$120,260
1557	TN	Jackson	City	\$132,680
1558	TN	Johnson City	City	\$134,060
1559	TN	Kingsport	City	\$121,880
1560	TN	Knoxville	City	\$239,720
1561	TN	La Vergne	City	\$76,330
1562	TN	Lebanon	City	\$76,430
1563	TN	Memphis	City	\$590,500
1564	TN	Mount Juliet	City	\$76,310
1565	TN	Murfreesboro	City	\$190,230
1566	TN	Nashville-Davidson, Metropolitan Government of	City	\$644,440
1567	TN	Smyrna, Town of	City	\$117,300
1568	TN	Spring Hill	City	\$109,170
1569	TX	Bexar	County	\$464,940
1570	TX	Brazoria	County	\$268,260
1571	TX	Collin	County	\$219,010
1572	TX	Denton	County	\$251,160
1573	TX	Fort Bend	County	\$456,500
1574	TX	Harris	County	\$1,636,340
1575	TX	Hidalgo	County	\$357,000
1576	TX	Montgomery	County	\$457,580
1577	TX	Tarrant	County	\$306,040
1578	TX	Travis	County	\$293,610
1579	TX	Williamson	County	\$235,100



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1580	TX	Abilene	City	\$172,590
1581	TX	Allen	City	\$151,800
1582	TX	Amarillo	City	\$227,860
1583	TX	Arlington	City	\$369,400
1584	TX	Austin	City	\$855,340
1585	TX	Baytown	City	\$140,370
1586	TX	Beaumont	City	\$168,420
1587	TX	Bedford	City	\$76,680
1588	TX	Brownsville	City	\$217,400
1589	TX	Bryan	City	\$140,860
1590	TX	Burleson	City	\$76,610
1591	TX	Carrollton	City	\$177,310
1592	TX	Cedar Hill	City	\$76,610
1593	TX	Cedar Park	City	\$132,620
1594	TX	College Station	City	\$167,660
1595	TX	Conroe	City	\$145,460
1596	TX	Coppell	City	\$76,610
1597	TX	Copperas Cove	City	\$76,170
1598	TX	Corpus Christi	City	\$322,260
1599	TX	Dallas	City	\$1,132,070
1600	TX	Denton	City	\$182,710
1601	TX	DeSoto	City	\$114,690
1602	TX	Duncanville	City	\$76,340
1603	TX	Edinburg	City	\$153,020
1604	TX	El Paso	City	\$595,490
1605	TX	Euless	City	\$117,300
1606	TX	Farmers Branch	City	\$76,570
1607	TX	Flower Mound, Town of	City	\$132,150
1608	TX	Fort Worth	City	\$785,490
1609	TX	Friendswood	City	\$76,330
1610	TX	Frisco	City	\$224,480
1611	TX	Galveston	City	\$118,860
1612	TX	Garland	City	\$251,490
1613	TX	Georgetown	City	\$128,440
1614	TX	Grand Prairie	City	\$220,600
1615	TX	Grapevine	City	\$122,650
1616	TX	Haltom City	City	\$76,540
1617	TX	Harlingen	City	\$132,290



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1618	TX	Houston	City	\$2,006,020
1619	TX	Huntsville	City	\$76,640
1620	TX	Hurst	City	\$76,390
1621	TX	Irving	City	\$284,550
1622	TX	Keller	City	\$76,540
1623	TX	Killeen	City	\$184,930
1624	TX	Kyle	City	\$76,480
1625	TX	La Porte	City	\$76,250
1626	TX	Lancaster	City	\$76,350
1627	TX	Laredo	City	\$271,290
1628	TX	League City	City	\$155,210
1629	TX	Leander	City	\$116,720
1630	TX	Lewisville	City	\$158,370
1631	TX	Little Elm	City	\$76,480
1632	TX	Longview	City	\$141,720
1633	TX	Lubbock	City	\$274,430
1634	TX	Mansfield	City	\$129,070
1635	TX	McAllen	City	\$190,200
1636	TX	McKinney	City	\$219,230
1637	TX	Mesquite	City	\$182,880
1638	TX	Midland	City	\$180,140
1639	TX	Midlothian	City	\$76,150
1640	TX	Mission	City	\$140,450
1641	TX	Missouri City	City	\$128,070
1642	TX	New Braunfels	City	\$143,530
1643	TX	North Richland Hills	City	\$125,800
1644	TX	Odessa	City	\$165,070
1645	TX	Pasadena	City	\$191,680
1646	TX	Pearland	City	\$164,610
1647	TX	Pflugerville	City	\$120,850
1648	TX	Pharr	City	\$135,910
1649	TX	Plano	City	\$307,870
1650	TX	Port Arthur	City	\$118,760
1651	TX	Richardson	City	\$177,400
1652	TX	Rockwall	City	\$76,650
1653	TX	Rosenberg	City	\$76,330
1654	TX	Round Rock	City	\$169,320
1655	TX	Rowlett	City	\$119,050



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1656	TX	San Angelo	City	\$151,890
1657	TX	San Antonio	City	\$1,220,460
1658	TX	San Juan	City	\$76,170
1659	TX	San Marcos	City	\$127,080
1660	TX	Schertz	City	\$76,400
1661	TX	Sherman	City	\$76,610
1662	TX	Sugar Land	City	\$164,210
1663	TX	Temple	City	\$140,420
1664	TX	Texarkana	City	\$76,440
1665	TX	Texas City	City	\$114,390
1666	TX	The Colony	City	\$76,380
1667	TX	Tyler	City	\$162,770
1668	TX	Victoria	City	\$126,590
1669	TX	Waco	City	\$187,120
1670	TX	Waxahachie	City	\$76,430
1671	TX	Weslaco	City	\$76,470
1672	TX	Wichita Falls	City	\$155,820
1673	TX	Wylie	City	\$114,380
1674	UT	Box Elder	County	\$76,950
1675	UT	Cache	County	\$77,620
1676	UT	Davis	County	\$248,800
1677	UT	Salt Lake	County	\$80,390
1678	UT	Summit	County	\$76,520
1679	UT	Tooele	County	\$76,190
1680	UT	Uintah	County	\$76,240
1681	UT	Utah	County	\$80,710
1682	UT	Washington	County	\$77,810
1683	UT	Weber	County	\$79,480
1684	UT	Bountiful	City	\$76,530
1685	UT	Cedar City	City	\$76,240
1686	UT	Draper	City	\$117,010
1687	UT	Eagle Mountain	City	\$76,330
1688	UT	Herriman	City	\$111,860
1689	UT	Kearns Metro, Township of	City	\$76,130
1690	UT	Layton	City	\$134,230
1691	UT	Lehi	City	\$132,310
1692	UT	Logan	City	\$117,590
1693	UT	Midvale	City	\$76,210



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1694	UT	Millcreek	City	\$121,210
1695	UT	Murray	City	\$116,350
1696	UT	Ogden	City	\$145,720
1697	UT	Orem	City	\$150,350
1698	UT	Pleasant Grove	City	\$76,260
1699	UT	Provo	City	\$165,350
1700	UT	Riverton	City	\$76,480
1701	UT	Roy	City	\$76,240
1702	UT	Salt Lake City	City	\$264,650
1703	UT	Sandy	City	\$148,400
1704	UT	Saratoga Springs	City	\$76,170
1705	UT	South Jordan	City	\$132,100
1706	UT	Spanish Fork	City	\$76,440
1707	UT	Springville	City	\$76,190
1708	UT	St. George	City	\$149,500
1709	UT	Taylorsville	City	\$118,550
1710	UT	Tooele	City	\$76,180
1711	UT	West Jordan	City	\$158,100
1712	UT	West Valley City	City	\$179,460
1713	VA	Albemarle	County	\$78,940
1714	VA	Arlington	County	\$267,820
1715	VA	Chesterfield	County	\$341,610
1716	VA	Fairfax	County	\$963,530
1717	VA	Hanover	County	\$78,700
1718	VA	Henrico	County	\$333,630
1719	VA	Loudoun	County	\$350,900
1720	VA	Prince William	County	\$421,130
1721	VA	Spotsylvania	County	\$79,560
1722	VA	Stafford	County	\$80,130
1723	VA	Alexandria	City	\$197,700
1724	VA	Blacksburg, Town of	City	\$76,680
1725	VA	Charlottesville	City	\$76,840
1726	VA	Chesapeake	City	\$260,480
1727	VA	Danville	City	\$76,580
1728	VA	Hampton	City	\$179,420
1729	VA	Harrisonburg	City	\$117,870
1730	VA	Leesburg, Town of	City	\$76,710
1731	VA	Lynchburg	City	\$140,890



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1732	VA	Manassas	City	\$76,480
1733	VA	Newport News	City	\$221,810
1734	VA	Norfolk	City	\$271,840
1735	VA	Portsmouth	City	\$151,150
1736	VA	Richmond	City	\$262,500
1737	VA	Roanoke	City	\$156,480
1738	VA	Suffolk	City	\$144,950
1739	VA	Virginia Beach	City	\$418,550
1740	VT	Addison	County	\$76,270
1741	VT	Caledonia	County	\$76,030
1742	VT	Chittenden	County	\$76,390
1743	VT	Franklin	County	\$76,680
1744	VT	Orange	County	\$75,960
1745	VT	Orleans	County	\$75,940
1746	VT	Rutland	County	\$76,480
1747	VT	Washington	County	\$77,100
1748	VT	Windham	County	\$76,120
1749	VT	Windsor	County	\$76,590
1750	VT	Bennington, Town of	City	\$75,560
1751	VT	Brattleboro, Town of	City	\$75,460
1752	VT	Burlington	City	\$76,670
1753	VT	Colchester, Town of	City	\$75,610
1754	VT	Essex, Town of	City	\$75,770
1755	VT	Hartford, Town of	City	\$75,380
1756	VT	Milton, Town of	City	\$75,340
1757	VT	Rutland	City	\$75,600
1758	VT	South Burlington	City	\$75,760
1759	VT	Williston, Town of	City	\$75,410
1760	WA	Clark	County	\$296,170
1761	WA	Grant	County	\$78,440
1762	WA	King	County	\$474,460
1763	WA	Kitsap	County	\$245,220
1764	WA	Pierce	County	\$497,770
1765	WA	Snohomish	County	\$436,900
1766	WA	Spokane	County	\$224,600
1767	WA	Thurston	County	\$81,040
1768	WA	Whatcom	County	\$79,500
1769	WA	Yakima	County	\$80,470



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1770	WA	Auburn	City	\$141,710
1771	WA	Bellevue	City	\$203,560
1772	WA	Bellingham	City	\$148,080
1773	WA	Bothell	City	\$76,740
1774	WA	Bremerton	City	\$76,690
1775	WA	Burien	City	\$112,300
1776	WA	Edmonds	City	\$76,430
1777	WA	Everett	City	\$169,950
1778	WA	Federal Way	City	\$147,860
1779	WA	Issaquah	City	\$76,450
1780	WA	Kennewick	City	\$138,680
1781	WA	Kent	City	\$179,480
1782	WA	Kirkland	City	\$144,580
1783	WA	Lacey	City	\$115,460
1784	WA	Lake Stevens	City	\$76,130
1785	WA	Lakewood	City	\$123,080
1786	WA	Longview	City	\$76,370
1787	WA	Lynnwood	City	\$76,450
1788	WA	Marysville	City	\$124,740
1789	WA	Mount Vernon	City	\$76,250
1790	WA	Olympia	City	\$122,030
1791	WA	Pasco	City	\$131,590
1792	WA	Puyallup	City	\$76,570
1793	WA	Redmond	City	\$143,150
1794	WA	Renton	City	\$157,700
1795	WA	Richland	City	\$123,260
1796	WA	Sammamish	City	\$121,420
1797	WA	Seattle	City	\$681,520
1798	WA	Shoreline	City	\$117,070
1799	WA	Spokane	City	\$255,210
1800	WA	Spokane Valley	City	\$154,350
1801	WA	Tacoma	City	\$246,170
1802	WA	Vancouver	City	\$223,720
1803	WA	Wenatchee	City	\$76,300
1804	WA	Yakima	City	\$149,970
1805	WI	Brown	County	\$80,630
1806	WI	Dane	County	\$266,890
1807	WI	Marathon	County	\$78,300



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1808	WI	Milwaukee	County	\$79,820
1809	WI	Outagamie	County	\$79,420
1810	WI	Racine	County	\$79,040
1811	WI	Walworth	County	\$78,630
1812	WI	Washington	County	\$79,610
1813	WI	Waukesha	County	\$238,860
1814	WI	Winnebago	County	\$78,580
1815	WI	Appleton	City	\$134,180
1816	WI	Beloit	City	\$76,280
1817	WI	Brookfield	City	\$76,600
1818	WI	Eau Claire	City	\$130,210
1819	WI	Fond du Lac	City	\$76,620
1820	WI	Franklin	City	\$76,280
1821	WI	Green Bay	City	\$159,610
1822	WI	Greenfield	City	\$76,240
1823	WI	Janesville	City	\$125,580
1824	WI	Kenosha	City	\$149,790
1825	WI	La Crosse	City	\$119,590
1826	WI	Madison	City	\$291,340
1827	WI	Menomonee Falls, Village of	City	\$76,470
1828	WI	Milwaukee	City	\$522,370
1829	WI	New Berlin	City	\$76,430
1830	WI	Oak Creek	City	\$76,280
1831	WI	Oshkosh	City	\$128,580
1832	WI	Racine	City	\$133,580
1833	WI	Sheboygan	City	\$76,730
1834	WI	Sun Prairie	City	\$76,190
1835	WI	Waukesha	City	\$130,460
1836	WI	Wausau	City	\$76,500
1837	WI	Wauwatosa	City	\$76,940
1838	WI	West Allis	City	\$120,530
1839	WV	Berkeley	County	\$78,320
1840	WV	Cabell	County	\$76,770
1841	WV	Harrison	County	\$76,780
1842	WV	Jefferson	County	\$76,900
1843	WV	Kanawha	County	\$79,470
1844	WV	Mercer	County	\$77,060
1845	WV	Monongalia	County	\$77,550



#	State/Territory	Entity Name	Level of Government	Allocation
1846	WV	Putnam	County	\$76,950
1847	WV	Raleigh	County	\$76,950
1848	WV	Wood	County	\$76,820
1849	WV	Beckley	City	\$75,680
1850	WV	Charleston	City	\$77,040
1851	WV	Clarksburg	City	\$75,630
1852	WV	Fairmont	City	\$75,670
1853	WV	Huntington	City	\$76,800
1854	WV	Martinsburg	City	\$75,690
1855	WV	Morgantown	City	\$76,250
1856	WV	Parkersburg	City	\$76,150
1857	WV	Weirton	City	\$75,670
1858	WV	Wheeling	City	\$76,050
1859	WY	Campbell	County	\$75,520
1860	WY	Carbon	County	\$75,510
1861	WY	Converse	County	\$75,480
1862	WY	Fremont	County	\$75,980
1863	WY	Goshen	County	\$75,440
1864	WY	Laramie	County	\$76,200
1865	WY	Lincoln	County	\$75,670
1866	WY	Natrona	County	\$75,740
1867	WY	Park	County	\$76,030
1868	WY	Teton	County	\$75,450
1869	WY	Casper	City	\$119,850
1870	WY	Cheyenne	City	\$125,720
1871	WY	Evanston	City	\$75,410
1872	WY	Gillette	City	\$76,140
1873	WY	Green River	City	\$75,380
1874	WY	Jackson, Town of	City	\$75,410
1875	WY	Laramie	City	\$76,110
1876	WY	Riverton	City	\$75,380
1877	WY	Rock Springs	City	\$75,820
1878	WY	Sheridan	City	\$75,670



DRAFT ALLOCATION OF FUNDS

**Office of State and Community Energy Programs (SCEP)
U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)**

**ATTACHMENT 1B: DOE FORMULA GRANT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS TO STATES FOR THE
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT (EECBG) PROGRAM**

This document presents the draft formula grant funding allocations by State and Territory (Table 1b) for the EECBG Program - Sec. 40552(b) of the [Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act \(IIJA\)](#) of 2021.

**Table 1b. DRAFT EECBG Program Formula Grant Funding Allocations to States
Listed Alphabetically by State and Territory Entity Name**

#	Abbreviation	Entity Name	State/Territory	Allocation
Total (States and Territories)				\$123,200,000
1	AL	Alabama	State	\$2,207,540
2	AK	Alaska	State	\$1,627,450
3	AZ	Arizona	State	\$2,068,370
4	AR	Arkansas	State	\$1,961,110
5	CA	California	State	\$4,522,300
6	CO	Colorado	State	\$2,075,410
7	CT	Connecticut	State	\$2,035,680
8	DE	Delaware	State	\$1,615,060
9	DC	District of Columbia	DC	\$1,696,300
10	FL	Florida	State	\$3,477,450
11	GA	Georgia	State	\$2,989,230
12	HI	Hawaii	State	\$1,639,120
13	ID	Idaho	State	\$1,742,300
14	IL	Illinois	State	\$2,909,890
15	IN	Indiana	State	\$2,448,930
16	IA	Iowa	State	\$2,004,050
17	KS	Kansas	State	\$1,914,100
18	KY	Kentucky	State	\$2,237,940
19	LA	Louisiana	State	\$2,149,350
20	ME	Maine	State	\$1,668,790
21	MD	Maryland	State	\$2,101,450
22	MA	Massachusetts	State	\$2,494,680
23	MI	Michigan	State	\$2,795,370
24	MN	Minnesota	State	\$2,248,830
25	MS	Mississippi	State	\$2,000,650
26	MO	Missouri	State	\$2,360,230



SCEP

STATE & COMMUNITY ENERGY PROGRAMS

#	Abbreviation	Entity Name	State/Territory	Allocation
27	MT	Montana	State	\$1,673,750
28	NE	Nebraska	State	\$1,779,420
29	NV	Nevada	State	\$1,767,140
30	NH	New Hampshire	State	\$1,641,260
31	NJ	New Jersey	State	\$2,414,180
32	NM	New Mexico	State	\$1,758,250
33	NY	New York	State	\$3,351,520
34	NC	North Carolina	State	\$2,999,170
35	ND	North Dakota	State	\$1,653,240
36	OH	Ohio	State	\$3,130,030
37	OK	Oklahoma	State	\$2,065,790
38	OR	Oregon	State	\$1,941,990
39	PA	Pennsylvania	State	\$3,021,720
40	RI	Rhode Island	State	\$1,675,110
41	SC	South Carolina	State	\$2,176,180
42	SD	South Dakota	State	\$1,654,010
43	TN	Tennessee	State	\$2,484,530
44	TX	Texas	State	\$4,848,610
45	UT	Utah	State	\$1,811,730
46	VT	Vermont	State	\$1,594,420
47	VA	Virginia	State	\$2,646,050
48	WA	Washington	State	\$2,273,890
49	WV	West Virginia	State	\$1,809,210
50	WI	Wisconsin	State	\$2,330,720
51	WY	Wyoming	State	\$1,617,080
52	AS	American Samoa	Territory	\$1,550,030
53	PR	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Territory	\$1,855,570
54	MP	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	Territory	\$1,548,710
55	GU	Guam	Territory	\$1,572,930
56	VI	U.S. Virgin Islands	Territory	\$1,562,180



DRAFT ALLOCATION OF FUNDS

**Office of State and Community Energy Programs (SCEP)
U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)**

**ATTACHMENT 1B: DOE FORMULA GRANT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS TO STATES FOR THE
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION BLOCK GRANT (EECBG) PROGRAM**

This document presents the draft formula grant funding allocations by State and Territory (Table 1b) for the EECBG Program - Sec. 40552(b) of the [Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act \(IIJA\)](#) of 2021.

**Table 1b. DRAFT EECBG Program Formula Grant Funding Allocations to States
Listed Alphabetically by State and Territory Entity Name**

#	Abbreviation	Entity Name	State/Territory	Allocation
Total (States and Territories)				\$123,200,000
1	AL	Alabama	State	\$2,207,540
2	AK	Alaska	State	\$1,627,450
3	AZ	Arizona	State	\$2,068,370
4	AR	Arkansas	State	\$1,961,110
5	CA	California	State	\$4,522,300
6	CO	Colorado	State	\$2,075,410
7	CT	Connecticut	State	\$2,035,680
8	DE	Delaware	State	\$1,615,060
9	DC	District of Columbia	DC	\$1,696,300
10	FL	Florida	State	\$3,477,450
11	GA	Georgia	State	\$2,989,230
12	HI	Hawaii	State	\$1,639,120
13	ID	Idaho	State	\$1,742,300
14	IL	Illinois	State	\$2,909,890
15	IN	Indiana	State	\$2,448,930
16	IA	Iowa	State	\$2,004,050
17	KS	Kansas	State	\$1,914,100
18	KY	Kentucky	State	\$2,237,940
19	LA	Louisiana	State	\$2,149,350
20	ME	Maine	State	\$1,668,790
21	MD	Maryland	State	\$2,101,450
22	MA	Massachusetts	State	\$2,494,680
23	MI	Michigan	State	\$2,795,370
24	MN	Minnesota	State	\$2,248,830
25	MS	Mississippi	State	\$2,000,650
26	MO	Missouri	State	\$2,360,230



SCEP

STATE & COMMUNITY ENERGY PROGRAMS

#	Abbreviation	Entity Name	State/Territory	Allocation
27	MT	Montana	State	\$1,673,750
28	NE	Nebraska	State	\$1,779,420
29	NV	Nevada	State	\$1,767,140
30	NH	New Hampshire	State	\$1,641,260
31	NJ	New Jersey	State	\$2,414,180
32	NM	New Mexico	State	\$1,758,250
33	NY	New York	State	\$3,351,520
34	NC	North Carolina	State	\$2,999,170
35	ND	North Dakota	State	\$1,653,240
36	OH	Ohio	State	\$3,130,030
37	OK	Oklahoma	State	\$2,065,790
38	OR	Oregon	State	\$1,941,990
39	PA	Pennsylvania	State	\$3,021,720
40	RI	Rhode Island	State	\$1,675,110
41	SC	South Carolina	State	\$2,176,180
42	SD	South Dakota	State	\$1,654,010
43	TN	Tennessee	State	\$2,484,530
44	TX	Texas	State	\$4,848,610
45	UT	Utah	State	\$1,811,730
46	VT	Vermont	State	\$1,594,420
47	VA	Virginia	State	\$2,646,050
48	WA	Washington	State	\$2,273,890
49	WV	West Virginia	State	\$1,809,210
50	WI	Wisconsin	State	\$2,330,720
51	WY	Wyoming	State	\$1,617,080
52	AS	American Samoa	Territory	\$1,550,030
53	PR	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Territory	\$1,855,570
54	MP	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	Territory	\$1,548,710
55	GU	Guam	Territory	\$1,572,930
56	VI	U.S. Virgin Islands	Territory	\$1,562,180