Thursday, August 01, 2019
6:45 PM – 8:30 PM
Development Services Building
Main Floor Auditorium, Room 115
150 Beavercreek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

AGENDA

6:45 p.m.  Pledge of Allegiance

Welcome & Introductions
Chair Jim Bernard & Mayor Brian Hodson, Co-Chairs

Housekeeping
• Approval of May 02, 2019 C4 Minutes

6:50 p.m.  Metro Regional Funds + Strategic Housing Plan
• Staff Memo

7:50 p.m.  C4 Retreat Recap
• Summary and Transcript
• C4 2019-2020 Draft Agenda Blueprint

8:10 p.m.  Updates/Other Business
• Legislative Update
• T2020 Update
• JPACT/MPAC Updates
• Other Business

8:30 p.m.  Adjourn
## Current Voting Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Chair/Commissioner</th>
<th>C4 Exec</th>
<th>C4 Metro</th>
<th>C4 Rural</th>
<th>JPACT</th>
<th>MPAC</th>
<th>R1ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clackamas County</td>
<td>Jim Bernard</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clackamas County</td>
<td>Paul Savas</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canby</td>
<td>Brian Hodson</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPOs</td>
<td>Laurie Swanson</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estacada</td>
<td>Sean Drinkwine</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Districts</td>
<td>Matthew Silva</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladstone</td>
<td>Tammy Stempel</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlets</td>
<td>Kenny Sernach</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy Valley</td>
<td>Markley Drake</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson City</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Oswego</td>
<td>Theresa Kohlhoff</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukie</td>
<td>Mark Gamba</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molalla</td>
<td>Keith Swigart</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon City</td>
<td>Dan Holladay</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivergrove</td>
<td>Walt Williams</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td>Stan Pulliam</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Districts</td>
<td>Paul Gornick</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tualatin</td>
<td>Paul Morrison</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Districts</td>
<td>Hugh Kalani</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Linn</td>
<td>Russ Axelrod</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilsonville</td>
<td>Tim Knapp</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Current Ex-Officio Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPAC Citizen Rep</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Council</td>
<td>Christine Lewis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of Portland</td>
<td>Emerald Bogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Transit</td>
<td>Julie Wehling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Transit</td>
<td>Dwight Brashear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Frequently Referenced Committees:

- **CTAC**: Clackamas Transportation Advisory Committee (C4 Transportation TAC)
- **JPACT**: Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (Metro)
- **MPAC**: Metro Policy Advisory Committee (Metro)
- **MTAC**: Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MPAC TAC)
- **R1ACT**: Region 1 Advisory Committee on Transportation (ODOT)
- **TPAC**: Transportation Policy Advisory Committee (JPACT TAC)
Thursday, May 02, 2019
Development Services Building
Main Floor Auditorium, Room 115
150 Beavercreek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

Attendance:

**Members:** Canby: Brian Hodson; **Clackamas County:** Jim Bernard; **CPOs:** Laurie Swanson (Molalla); Martin Meyers (Redland-Viola-Fischers Mill) (Alt.); **Gladstone:** Tammy Stevens; **Hamlets:** Rick Cook (Stafford); **Happy Valley:** Markley Drake; **Lake Oswego:** Theresa Kohlihoff; **MPAC Citizen:** Ed Gronke; **Sandy:** Stan Pulliam; **Transit:** Julie Wehling (Canby); Andi Howell (Sandy)(Alt.); Eve Nilenders (TriMet) (Alt.); **Tualatin:** Paul Morrison; **Water Districts:** Hugh Kalani; **West Linn:** Russ Axelrod;

**Staff:** Trent Wilson (PGA); Chris Lyons (PGA)

**Guests:** Jaimie Huff (Happy Valley); Jeff Gudman (Lake Oswego Community); Mike Bezner (DTD); Stephen Williams (DTD); Roseanne Johnson (HBA); Eric Underwood (PGE); Jon Makler (ODOT); Skip Ormsby (Community); Thelma Haggenmiller (Community); Zoe Monahan (Wilsonville); Dayna Webb (Oregon City); Dan Chandler (Admin); Megan McKibben (Congressman Schrader);

The C4 Meeting was recorded and the audio is available on the County’s website at [http://www.clackamas.us/c4/meetings.html](http://www.clackamas.us/c4/meetings.html). Minutes document action items approved at the meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Approval of May 2, 2019 C4 Minutes | Approved.  
June and July Meetings | June and July meetings are cancelled, with the caveat the  
June meeting is still reserved to address potential legislative  
action items. |
| Housing Needs Assessment Update | County staff presented an update on the countywide  
Housing Needs Assessment, showcasing several findings  
from various cities, and discussing some of the tools that  
agencies might use to begin addressing housing issues.  
More will be addressed at the June 14/15 C4 Retreat. |
| STIP 100% List | Jon Makler from ODOT presented on the 2021-2024 STIP  
program, showcasing the 100% list and how projects fit into  
each category. |
### Retreat Agenda Discussion

County staff shared a retreat agenda blueprint, and noted the upcoming registration period. C4 wished to hold open a few sessions to address any business that comes up between May and June, or legislative issues that need urgent attention.

### Updates/Other Business

- **Legislative Update**
- **T2020 Update**
- **JPACT/MPAC Updates**
- **Other Business**

Legislative Update – Government Affairs staff reminded C4 about the June 30 adjournment, potential upcoming hearing on I-205, update on the Special Transportation Funds, and continued need to speak with legislators about the Transportation Futures Study.

T2020 Update – The T2020 Task Force has narrowed the corridor list into 3 tiers and will continue working to winnow the list to roughly 5-6 corridors across the region.

JPACT/MPAC updates – No update from JPACT; MPAC working on parks & nature levy

Adjourned at 8:35 p.m.
Memorandum

To: C4
From: Jill Smith, Executive Director of Housing Authority of Clackamas County (HACC)
Re: Metro Housing Funds and Other Housing Items
Date: July 25, 2019

Overview
Following the 2019 C4 Retreat in June, C4 Members asked for the County’s housing team to return to the next C4 meeting and continue discussion related to housing funds and opportunities for city involvement on housing strategies.

Metro Bond Funding:
Housing Authority will share the DRAFT local implementations strategy with C4 and discuss the high level goals for the county. The Local Implement Strategy must be approved prior to funds being released for distribution from HACC, tentative for this fall. Open Solicitation will be opened for response this fall, and project/development readiness will be a big factor.
How can cities prepare for affordable housing development?

Discussion questions:
• Have cities gone back to their collective city councils and discussed what having an affordable housing development would look like (population/location) in your communities?
• Did you brainstorm what you are willing to do to make sure you’re ready for a site being developed in your communities?

H3S Strategic Housing Plan
Clackamas Health, Housing & Human Services (H3S) will be embarking on the development of a housing strategy to be led by the Housing Authority. This effort comes at a great time following the arrival of a countywide housing needs assessment and recommendations from the Affordable Housing and Homelessness Task Force, which can inform this process. H3S will be seeking involvement from our jurisdictional partners to inform this effort.

Discussion questions:
• How do cities want to be engaged in this process?

For additional information, please contact:
Jill Smith, Executive Director for the Housing Authority of Clackamas County: jsmith6@clackamas.us
Final Report

2019 Retreat
Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C4)

Friday, June 14 – Saturday, June 15
Retreat Attendees (C4 Members and Alternates)

- Jim Bernard, Co-Chair  
  Clackamas County, Chair
- Paul Savas  
  Clackamas County, Commissioner
- Brian Hodson, Co-Chair  
  City of Canby, Mayor
- Traci Hensley  
  City of Canby, Councilor
- Laurie Freeman Swanson  
  Molalla Community Planning Organization (CPO)
- Katy Dunsmuir  
  City of Estacada, Councilor
- Tammy Stempel  
  City of Gladstone, Mayor
- Kenny Sernach  
  Beavercreek Hamlet
- John Keith  
  Stafford Hamlet
- Markley Drake  
  City of Happy Valley, Councilor
- Theresa Kohlhoff  
  City of Lake Oswego, Councilor
- Wilda Parks  
  City of Milwaukie, Councilor
- Keith Swigart  
  City of Molalla, Mayor
- Dan Holladay  
  City of Oregon City, Mayor
- Rachel Lyles Smith  
  City of Oregon City, Commissioner
- Stan Pulliam  
  City of Sandy, Mayor
- Jan Lee  
  City of Sandy, Councilor
- Paul Gornick  
  Oak Lodge Water Services District, Director
- Paul Morrison  
  City of Tualatin, Councilor
- Hugh Kalani  
  Clackamas River Water
- Russ Axelrod  
  City of West Linn, Mayor
- Teri Cummings  
  City of West Linn, Councilor
- Tim Knapp  
  City of Wilsonville, Mayor
- Christine Lewis  
  Metro, Councilor
- Shirley Craddick  
  Metro, Councilor
- Dwight Brashear  
  SMART, Director

Retreat Support

- Erin Ruff  
  Facilitator
- Trent Wilson  
  C4 Staff Liaison
Retreat Attendees (Non-C4 Members)

- Martha Schrader  Clackamas County, Commissioner
- Ken Humberston  Clackamas County, Commissioner
- Sonya Fischer   Clackamas County, Commissioner
- Gary Schmidt    Clackamas County Administrator
- Dan Chandler    Clackamas County, Assistant County Administrator
- Chris Lyons     Clackamas County, Public & Government Affairs
- Trent Wilson    Clackamas County, Public & Government Affairs
- Dan Johnson     Clackamas County, Transportation & Development (DTD)
- Mike Bezner     Clackamas County, Transportation & Development (DTD)
- Karen Buehrig   Clackamas County, Transportation & Development (DTD)
- Stephen Williams Clackamas County, Transportation & Development (DTD)
- Jennifer Hughes Clackamas County, Transportation & Development (DTD)
- Jill Smith      Clackamas County, Housing Authority (HACC)
- Stephen McMurtrey Clackamas County, Housing Authority (HACC)
- Drenda Howatt   Clackamas County, Commission Staff
- Mary Jo Cartasegna Clackamas County, Commission Staff
- Emily Klepper   Clackamas County, Commission Staff
- Tracy Moreland  Clackamas County, Commission Staff
- Caroline Hill   Clackamas County, Commission Staff
- Erin Ruff       Clackamas County, Resolution Services
- Matt Tracy      City of Gladstone, Councilor
- Brett Sherman   City of Happy Valley, Councilor
- Jaimie Huff     City of Happy Valley, Policy Analyst
- Kathy Hyzy      City of Milwaukie, Councilor
- John Lewis      City of Oregon City, Public Works
- Dayna Webb      City of Oregon City, Public Works
- Mark Ottenad    City of Wilsonville
- Beth Goodman    ECONorthwest
C4 Retreat: Summary

Friday, June 14 – Transportation Day

Following the successful passage of the Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF) in February 2019 and creation of the $1.2 million Strategic Investment Fund (SIF), C4 members initiated the early discussions of establishing the desired outcomes of the SIF and began discussing the opportunities and hurdles of collaborating on the usage of the $1.2 million SIF.

**Key outcomes from the half-day discussion include:**

**Usage:** Whether or not to use the funding on road transfers or more strategic project needs throughout the county.

**Strategies and Criteria:** While no criteria were established from the discussion, it became clear that a variety of criteria were possible and that C4 members desired staff to return with draft criteria and strategies for using SIF dollars, based on discussion from the retreat.

Saturday, June 15 – Housing Day

C4 members began the day with a snap-shot of the draft countywide housing needs assessment and rolled into discussions about the available Metro housing bond dollars. The second half of the morning included an exercise for exploring housing policy tools that can be implemented to develop or attract new housing dollars, as well as discussion about potential next steps to address the housing issues at C4.

**Key outcomes from the half-day discussion include:**

- Cities agreed to share discussions from the retreat with their councils and discuss the housing tool kit to learn if there are potential housing tools that agencies are willing to entertain, either independently or as a group.
- Cities requested continued engagement on Metro housing bond funds and a desire to see affordable housing in every city in Clackamas County.
- Members requested the outcomes from the housing needs assessment and Affordable Housing and Homelessness Task Force return to C4 for continued support and engagement.

Session 10: Next Steps Discussion

In addition to the outcomes assigned above, C4 members also requested to send a letter to Metro regarding the T2020 corridors, and to return to Mt Hood Oregon Resort for the 2020 C4 retreat.
C4 Retreat: Flip Chart Transcription

Session 1 – Goals for C4

- Comprehensive housing plan
- Transportation equity
- Connecting transportation to housing (+1)
- Include satellite/rural communities in the process
- Collective advocacy on priorities
- Climate change (keep it in view)
- Get to know each other better
- Better understanding of Clackamas-wide issues (Sunrise/C2C/Orange Line)
- Legislative Update
- More focus on transit
  - As an aging and disabilities resource
  - Employee/employer resource
- Land Use
- ?? How should C4 include ODOT??
- ?? Are there new approaches to funding??
- Be bold, be brave, be clear.
- “Real Talk” about affordable housing
- “Stand together” on issues (as modeled with STIF funding cycle)
- 2020 Retreat should/could be all about transit.

Session 2 – SIF Part I

What does a strategic investment of these funds look like to you?

- Leveraging Funds (+5)
  - Grant opportunities
  - Development funding
  - Other regional/state funds
- Gap projects (+1)
- Accountability
- Multimodal and bike-ped
- Synergy of multiple agencies
- Long range goals
- Sinking funds
- Urban/rural balance
- Geographical sharing
- Equitable distribution
- Safety issues (+2)
- Co-investments/Match Funding (+1)
- Establish a lead agency to help developers and development
- Transfer of county roads (+1)
- Bond for bigger projects
• Consider road classifications
• Money should be for shovel ready projects
• Congestion relief
• ?? How to share funds??
• Contingency funds
• Anticipate growth
• ?? Can road funds be used to build charging stations??
• ??How to discern between maintenance and capacity??

Session 3 – SIF Part II

Action items:
• County to make a list of road transfer priorities and return to C4/cities
• 1st infusion of funds (1/2 year) to go into first full year of funding (increasing the first funding pot by 50%).

Multi-year Plan:
• Requires flexibility
• Supports cities that have biennial budgets
• Increase the amount for distribution/provides more funding for potentially larger projects

Bonding:
• Can buy bigger/multiple projects
• Can’t turnaround new projects quickly

Equity:
• Cities within UGB have more money/resources to tap into
• Can funding be tied to low-income housing
• Greatest need
• Rural over Urban, because of other urban resources
• Transit resource?

General:
• Leveraging dollars creates questions of equity
• Should there be a 1st year, flagship project so voters see the dollars at work?
• Where is the low hanging fruit?
• Where is the “biggest bang for the buck”?

Transfers:
• City led process versus county led process
  o City led process:
    ▪ Cities know their development needs
    ▪ Cities know their priorities and budget timelines
County led process:
  - Could result in a rush of cities being “at the table”
  - County could make a list of cities and return to C4.
  - ??Can both lead??

Table Group Reports on Criteria for funding:

- Paving condition index for county roads within cities (transfers)
- Prioritize projects by development (transfers)
- Determine where is the greatest need
- Safety as a top priority
- Project readiness
- Money should have mutual and/or cross-jurisdictional benefit
- Where is the best value visible to the voters
- Could there be a long range plan (up to 10 years), thus supporting readiness
- Target congestion/bottlenecks
- Target rural/underserved areas
- Identify project by project consideration

Session 4 – T2020 Update

** No notes for this section.

Session 5 – Housing Values (Breakfast Discussion)

- Quick implementation
- Equity
- Mixed income housing
- New growth, new land, new money
- Increase variety of housing type
- Integrated solutions
- Environmental efficiencies for homes
- Public, private partnerships
- Transit oriented development (has market risk)
- Supportive housing solutions
- Code and zoning flexibility
- Policy options:
  - Better enforcement of tools
  - Creation of CETs
- Determine how to add affordable housing to high income areas
- Put housing where we don’t have it, presently
- Put housing where the jobs are
- Have a project in every city (re Metro Bond Funds)
- Fight stigma of “affordable housing”
• Improve case management and transition support
• Increase education/have a better understanding of the cost of poverty
• No sprawl/urbanization in unincorporated areas
• Keep kids in homes (avoid couch surfing/homelessness)
• Focus on mixed housing types to avoid east coast mega housing project models
• Determine how to preserve existing affordable housing
• Recognize that we can’t build enough housing, and there is a need to incentivize the public sector
• Reduce steps for developers

Section 6 – What Do We Know?

** No notes for this section.

Section 7 – What Can We Do?

What needs to be in place?

• Integration with established neighborhoods
• Green spaces
• Helping developers "pencil out" a profit
• Access to services
• Places for people of all incomes in the neighborhood to meet and mingle
• transportation
• thoughtful development
• Public transportation
• trees
• Good transportation (other than car)
• Transportation
• Zoning
• Services nearby
• Land
• Costs (SDCs)
• Services nearby
• Educational outreach
• Access to jobs/help with resumes
• Commitment of local government
• connect Affordable Housing users with neighborhood in meaningful way
• inter-jurisdictional task force to assist, aide and coordinate on affordable housing opportunities
• Less red tape to be approved for services or assistance
• Life stability (Personal development)
• More public information
• Multi-modal transit options
• Partnerships
• Partnerships with churches, non-profits and business community
• Public transportation
• regional transportation connectivity
• Reliable transportation
• Services nearby
• Services nearby
• Transportation
• Transportation option
• Understanding the process for accessing specific services
• Walkability

**What population is in the greatest need?**

• Children
• Families
• Homeless
• Homeless and very low income
• Kids and parents
• Low income, single, houseless
• Low inventory for low income
• Low wage earners, families, mid wage earners, domestic violence victims, children, high school graduates, working people
• Lower income, working people
• Our jurisdiction's rate of poverty appears most prevalent among communities of unrelated individuals over the age of 15 and single mother households with children.
• People in recovery
• People with Disabilities
• People with Mental Health Challenges
• Seniors and older adults
• Single parent
• unsure, suspects families and mental health support
• wrap around with housing first model for those struggling with homelessness

**What is your community's greatest need?**

• Housing first
• Funds outside of Metro boundary
• Wraparound services, flexible services
• Buildable land
• High value jobs and industrial land
• Incentives to enhance workforce for social service providers (ex, case managers, home care, etc.)
• Link to jobs plus transit problematic
• Workforce development
• Access to Food
• Transportation
• Affordable Housing
• Access to Health Services
• High paying jobs
• Low percentage of commercial areas for employment, topographic challenges

Values?

• equity lens
• Compassion
• Connect housing with workforce development, services and transportation
• Welcoming/diverse communities
• Prioritize children
• Mixed income housing
• Housing is a climate crisis issue - housing with low energy use, solar, good insulation
• Focus on the lowest end first (ie. Homeless and homeless prevention)
• Housing First
• More public info
• Investing in community is valuable
• Remember living wage is a factor, as well as access to education
• Support and acceptance of need by the public
• Understand affordability in each community
• Better education throughout the community to counter damaging stereotypes
• Hand up vs. hand out education
• housing built should reflect wages people are making in that location
• No segregation within Mixed-income settings
• Families receiving subsidy who are capable of transition should receive support in order to make that transition
• We meet people where they are (low/no barrier)
• Integration
• Integrate all income levels, abilities and family size with the entire community
• integrate affordable units throughout the community
• Inclusive

What can make the application process easier?

• more knowledgeable assistance
• Make process easily accessible
• goals that are clearly stated
• Standardized zoning
• Help connect cities with planning money coming out of HB2001 (if/when it passes)
• Reduced SDCs and faster permitting of affordable housing projects
• Don't raise WES SDCs so dramatically!
• Assist cities in direction on zoning and/or code modification
• it’s confusing and degrading at times except for a few rock stars in building Dept.
• Limit the number of departments that review the application
• Flexible zoning/codes
• Identify needs
• Clearly define program criteria
• Be intentional
• Zoning changes - allow more types of housing and quicker processes
• Easy permitting and review process

Section 8 – What Could We Do? (i.e. Housing Toolkit Deck)

What’s Interesting?

• Suburban Tables
  o Everything but: employer assistance, farmworker housing, and limited tax exemptions
  o Allow Housing in Commercial Zones
  o Transit Oriented Development
  o Expanding Permits
  o Add ADUs
  o Utilizing public lands for affordable housing
  o Providing density bonuses
  o Credit enhancements
  o Urban renewal areas
  o SDC waivers

• Rural Tables
  o Change SDC tool from waiver to subsidy
  o Employer assisted living
  o Expedite permitting
  o Mixed use housing

What’s missing?

• Can Clackamas County form its own transit district to improve transit connectivity
• Upzones/rezones
• SDC dis-incentives
• CET (suburban and rural)
• Rent control
• Make design standards easier
• Vertical tax credits (rural)
• ??Desire to meet with Homebuilders Association and developers re tools.

We have more questions about…

• Suburban Tables
  o Community Land Trusts
  o Use of density bonuses
  o Reduction of parking requirements
  o New market tax credits
Session 9 – What Will We Do?

- Integrate “Task Force” work
- Integrate transportation/transit into housing decisions (related to T2020)
- C4 as a peer-to-peer learning place (have more education at C4 meetings)
- Translate work to communities (better communication and outreach)
- Build/study the development of housing that reflects the wages of nearby jobs
- “Instigate”
- Explore long range plans/programs with developers to tackle affordable housing development
- Create a pro-forma on the housing toolkits
- Develop an inventory of the livable space for multi-purpose housing/zoning
- Improve transit
- Instigate conversations about SDC rates (and rate of increase)
- Explore CET/New $$
- Work towards satellite housing resource offices
- Reach council consensus on moving forward with projects
- Have discussion with cities about affordable housing tools
- City members to instigate discussion with their own councils and return to C4
- Explore HNA results/findings with councils
- Explore transit connectors with schools

Session 10 – C4 Goal Setting

Goals (ranked by dots)

- 14 – Discuss transit improvements
  - Analysis/limitations/shuttle services
  - TriMet Service (bring to C4)
- 12 – All 3 T2020 corridors to advance and/or support CC
- 9 – “top 5” housing deck as a housing to-do list for C4
  - Education
  - Pros and Cons
- 8 – Be Goal 10 compliant
• 5 – Move forward with Futures Study
• 5 – reach agreement on SIF $$
• 4 – VRF
  o Have a 3 county comparison of $ and miles
  o Cost breakdown of maintenance per mile
• 4 – Define equity and then create accountability
• 4 – SIF projects that benefit multiple jurisdictions
• 2 – Education/Outreach
• 2 – have action steps from HNA
• 1 – BCC to explore dedicated developers for Metro Housing Funds
• 1 – Talk with councils about housing tools
• 0 – create a card exercise with transportation
• 0 – Integrate “Task Force” work

C4 T2020 Letter

• To Metro
• Re: Validation of and education for 3 corridor projects
• 13 member votes in favor of advancing

Retreat Feedback

• More brainstorming for the future
• Location
  o Have a more robust menu
  o More vegetarian options
  o More fruit
  o Better breakfast
  o Mics were poor
  o Have food on arrival (lunch?)
  o Choose snacks that improve health
  o More time for alcohol/socializing
• Packets were great
• Retreat should be longer
• Retreat should end earlier
• Cost is fine for next year
2019-2020 C4 Action Item List DRAFT

2019 Retreat Action Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Housing Funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Housing Strategic Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>Housing Needs Assessment Outcomes and Next Steps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>T2020 – Supporting Advancement of 3 Corridors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Strategic Investment Funds, including:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Decision process (bylaws)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Project Criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Timelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>I-205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation and Land Use</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Transit Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legislative</td>
<td>Transportation Futures Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meeting Proposals stemming from 2019 C4 Retreat

August 2019 – Metro Housing Funding and Long Range Housing Strategy

September 2019 – HNA (Final and Next Steps)

October 2019 – VRF Strategic Investment Funds

November 2019 – Hold for Regional Flex Funds Allocation approval and Hold for Next Steps discussions from previous 3 meetings

December 2019 – Legislative Strategies for I205 and Transportation Futures Study

January 2020 – Hold

February 2020 – Hold

March 2020 – Leadership turnover (Exec Committee Selections + Special District/Hamlet/CPO)

April 2020 – C4 Retreat Planning + Hold for Issues

May 2020 – C4 Retreat Agenda Blueprint Approval + Hold for Issues

June 2020 – C4 Retreat (Need to Confirm)