Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C4) Minutes

Thursday, August 04, 2016

Time: 6:45 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.

Development Service Building Main Floor Auditorium, Room 115 150 Beavercreek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

Attendance -

Members: Clackamas County: Paul Savas (Co-chair); Chair John Ludlow (Alt); Canby:Brian Hodson (Co-chair); CPOs: Laurie Freeman Swanson; Marjorie Stewart (Alt.); Fire Districts: John Blanton; Gladstone: Kevin Johnson (Interim); Hamlets: Rick Cook; Happy Valley: Markley Drake; Milwaukie: Mark Gamba; Wilda Parks (Alt.); Oregon City: Dan Holladay; Sandy: Jeremy Pietzold; Sanitary: Terry Gibson; Transit Agencies: Stephan Lashbrook (Urban Alt.); Andi Howell (Rural Alt.); Water Districts: Hugh Kalani; West Linn;Brenda Perry; Wilsonville: Tim Knapp

C4 Staff: Gary Schmidt (PGA); Trent Wilson (PGA): Chris Lyons (PGA)

Guests: Jaimie Lorenzini (Happy Valley); Megan McKibben (Cong. Schrader); Annette Mattson (PGE); Eileen Stein (West Linn); Doug Riggs (West Linn); Seth Atkinson (Sandy); John Lewis (Oregon City); Karen Buehrig (CC); Steve Williams (CC); Rick Watanabe (CC); Mark Ottenad (Wilsonville);

Pledge of Allegiance

Welcome & Introductions Commissioner Paul Savas & Mayor Brian Hodson, Co-Chairs

Motion to move "County Road Maintenance Funding" to the first agenda item.

Mayor Knapp motioned and Councilor Perry seconded. Motion approved.

City members expressed concern about the County's process to pursue city support for the upcoming county road maintenance funding fuel tax measure that the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) plan to place on the November 2016 ballot. Concerns from the cities included: frustration about the language in the Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) requiring cities to sign a resolution of support to participate in a percentage share of the revenue, equity for citizens in cities where cities might not sign a resolution of support, concern about the word "support" within the IGA and lack of clarity about how "support" might be interpreted, and frustration about how the IGA was created.

Attending commissioners replied to the concerns by citing the reasoning behind the County's approach, namely that the cities requested in June for an IGA to exist and that, politically, support by the cities would be required for the proposed measure to have the possibility of passing. Commissioners also responded to cities by committing to bring back to the BCC a proposal to eliminate the IGA language requiring a resolution of support, and to remove the language of the word "support" in the IGA.

Housekeeping

Approved May 05, 2016 C4 Minutes

C4 Retreat Recap

C4 staff briefly recapped the retreat and outlined the outcomes, namely:

Housing:

- Bring back an outside (non-public) panel of presenters to a future C4 meeting to increase education on available resources
- Create a C4 Land Use Advisory Subcommittee (LUASC) (comprised of staff) to review discussion and bring back to C4 options for county-wide coordination and support.

Transportation:

- Work towards a more formalized process of coordination amongst Clackamas County jurisdictions to increase project competitiveness during grant cycles
- Create a more formalized project ranking list, after first discussing the subject of agreed upon criteria
- Discuss funding alternatives for local projects, which all currently compete for the same, limited funding streams

C4 staff sought approval by city members to reach out to city staff to support with the member makeup of the LUASC. C4 members voted to approve.

C4 members requested clarity about when the LUASC would report back to C4 and debated the type of work product they would produce (policy implementation vs staff recommendation). C4 staff clarified that the direction from the C4 retreat was for this group to remain a staff level body that would only be recommending

possible policy options that C4 would have the option to pursue or not. The earliest the LUASC would return with a recommendation is December 2016.

Transportation Project Coordination

Karen Buehrig, Clackamas County Transportation Planning Supervisor, presented an outcome by the C4 Metro Subcommittee regarding "guiding principles" for coordination to support Clackamas jurisdictions applying for funding in the Metro Transportation Improvement Program/Regional Flex Funds Allocation (MTIP/RFFA). While seeking feedback from C4 about whether the larger C4 body agreed with principles and whether C4 would be interested in pursuing similar coordination principles for other funding opportunities, confusion occurred when members began seeking clarity about why C4 was being asked to "agree and approve" what the C4 Metro Subcommittee had already approved. Staff offered that the C4 Metro Subcommittee was a subcommittee of C4 and that only the full C4 could approve actions. Cities countered that the subcommittee level was the proper venue to make decisions as those decisions only concerned the membership of the subcommittee, not the entire C4 membership. Conversation halted when the bylaws did not provide clarity to this point, and members agreed the bylaws required attention to address this question.

Adjourn