

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS #2019-08
Willamette Facilities Plan
RESPONSE TO CLARIFYING QUESTIONS
March 7, 2019

Note that these are questions submitted by interested firms to the above referenced solicitation. The below answers are for clarification purposes only and in no way alter or amend the RFP as published.

1. *Section 3.3.7 of the RFQ states that “Biosolids disposal and requirements for administrative lab facilities, beyond site location, will not be part of the project”.*
 - a. *Our understanding of this statement is that biosolids disposal alternatives (end uses, following dewatering) do not need to be evaluated. Please confirm that this is the case.*

Answer: Confirmed.
 - b. *Will ideas for biosolids treatment (that may facilitate beneficial reuse of the dewatered biosolids) be welcomed in the proposal?*

Answer: No comment on what consultants should include in proposal.
 - c. *Please clarify whether solids processing considerations at Kellogg Creek (such as solids digestion, dewatering, storage and additional processing) are potentially a part of the scope.*

Answer: As stated in the RFP, the addition of centrifuge dewatering is planned at KC WRRF in the next several years with the caveat that the ammonia load from centrate will need to be considered.

2. *The executive summary for the 2019 collection systems master plan was provided as an attachment to the RFQ.*
 - a. *Could the District consider providing the complete report for review as part of the RFQ?*

Answer: The District will not be making it available. It is very large and specific to the collection system. The Executive Summary gives information necessary for consultants to prepare a proposal.
 - b. *Could the District consider providing the collection system model native file (SWMM input file, with format “*.inp”) as part of the RFQ?*

Answer: No. Not necessary to prepare a proposal for the WFP project.

3. *Table 1 (item 3.2) of the RFQ provides a summary of estimated flows, but does not provide estimated organic and nutrients loads (e.g. BOD, TSS, ammonia) to the plants. (Please note the answer to these questions may be available in the collection systems master plan requested in item 2).*
 - a. *Has influent quality (such as BOD, TSS, ammonia and phosphorus) been recently characterized, and been estimated for current through buildout conditions?*

Answer: Yes, it has. For the proposals, per capita loads and dry weather concentrations can be assumed to be typical for municipal wastewater.

b. *Have alternative population growth scenarios been developed?*

Answer: We will be using a recently developed population projection for the project.

4. *We would like to gain a better understanding of the scope of work and schedule for the Tri-City Outfall project, to gain a better understanding of the inter-relationship between that project and this one. Will the District consider making the scope of work and schedule for that project available for review as part of the RFQ?*

Answer: The scope of work for the outfall project is currently being developed. The anticipated schedule is available on line.

5. *We would like to gain a better understanding of the effluent disposal considerations for Kellogg Creek WRRF, and believe the predesign report/study for the recent outfall project will be helpful in that regard. Will the District consider providing that as part of the RFQ?*

Answer: No. The recent outfall project did not address reuse.

End of Clarifying Questions #2