

# Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C4) Minutes

**Thursday, Sept. 01, 2016**

**Time:** 6:45 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.

Development Service Building  
Main Floor Auditorium, Room 115  
150 Beavercreek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

## **Attendance:**

**Members: Clackamas County:** Paul Savas (Co-Chair); **Canby:** Brian Hodson; **CPOs:** Laurie Swanson; **Estacada:** Paulina Menchaca (Alt.); **Fire Districts:** John Blanton; **Gladstone:** Kevin Johnson; **Lake Oswego:** Jeff Gudman; **Metro:** Shirley Craddick (Alt); **Milwaukie:** Mark Gamba; Wilda Parks (Alt.); **Sandy:** Jeremy Pietzold; **Sanitary Districts:** Terry Gibson (Oak Lodge Sanitary); **Transit Agencies:** Julie Wehling (Canby); Andi Howell (Sandy – Rural Alt); Vanessa Vissar (TriMet); Stephan Lashbrook (SMART - Urban Alt.); **West Linn:** Brenda Perry; **Wilsonville:** Tim Knapp

**C4 Staff:** Gary Schmidt (PGA); Trent Wilson (PGA); Caren Anderson (PGA)

**Guests:** Jaimie Lorenzini (Happy Valley); Annette Mattson (PGE); Zoe Monahan (Tualatin); Seth Atkinson (Sandy); Mark Ottenad (Wilsonville); John Lewis (Oregon City); Megan McKibben (Congressman Schrader); Geoffrey Urbach (Summit Strategies); Ben Bryant (Happy Valley); Nancy Gibson (Water District); Stephen Williams (County DTD); Karen Buehrig (County DTD)

## **Pledge of Allegiance**

## **Welcome & Introductions**

Commissioner Paul Savas & Mayor Brian Hodson, Co-Chairs

## **Approval of August 04, 2016 C4 Minutes**

Approved

## **Executive Committee Update**

Commissioner Savas discussed the agenda change that occurred last meeting and the letter sent out by the co-chairs. He indicated the C4 Executive Committee prefers advance notification of agenda changes so materials can be provided and others invited to the discussion.

Members noted the August discussion was helpful and needed given the sequence of events; that it was an appropriate use, function and responsibility of the group; a more open process for placing items on the agenda and bylaw revision is necessary.

### **Transportation Project Prioritization Process**

As a result of the C4 retreat and ongoing discussions by the committee, Steve Williams, Clackamas County Transportation and Development, facilitated a process to determine a way of prioritizing transportation projects. He presented two purposes outlined below.

**Purpose A:** Process to prioritize that would score or rank based on the transportation service priority giving larger projects the greater focus. The minuses to this process is that it would not work well for small to medium size projects and does not focus on competitive grant processes.

**Purpose B: Process:** Process to prioritize that would focus on small or particular projects. This would allow for more competitive processes and would break down the county into sub areas. The focus would be on competitiveness criteria of each of the grants with the intent of putting forward the best possible project. The minuses to this process is that it would not work well for large projects.

Major points of discussion included:

Purpose A – Received the most yellow cards from members

Sources for funding are focused on different sized projects

Need to look at what amount of money is available and the source  
Need to look at Clackamas County projects

Cannot pick a prioritization system without knowing what the priority projects are  
Eliminates projects that are proactive

Benefit for the entire county as opposed to highly competitive projects in the urban area

Purpose B – Received the most green cards from members

Allows for picking projects and working collaboratively

Align large and small projects with appropriate funding sources

Focus on criteria that will make the project ready and most competitive Urban and Rural projects have different sets of needs

Put the most qualified project forward and submit a suitable application

Steve will bring back a modified version of Purpose B that is geographically organized and allows larger projects to be considered as appropriate based on funding streams. It was requested that this version include data, criteria, projects that have historically received the different types of grants and match levels.

### **R1ACT Annual Review**

The R1ACT Charter will be discussed at the September R1ACT meeting. Feedback included:

More rural area representation needed.

Other comments about the County's strategy included:

Submit one application from Clackamas County instead of multiples.

What can be done to implement in our county similar to Washington County?

### **C4 Bylaws Discussion**

Staff will be cleaning up and presenting revised bylaws at the November C4 meeting. Let staff know of items that need to be edited or changed.

### **Monthly Updates:**

#### **CMAQ Letters**

Two cities in Oregon have been added to the eligibility list to receive Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds – Salem and Eugene. Previously, Metro received these funds on behalf of the urban area, but the addition of Salem and Eugene as CMAQ recipients will result in a reduction of CMAQ funds available for the metro region. Clackamas County and Wilsonville submitted letters opposing the redistribution.

#### **Metro Mayors Consortium**

There was no meeting in August, but there is interest by the group to be active in Salem during the legislative session. The MMC has selected a lobbyist for their representation.

## **JPACT/MPAC Update**

JPACT meets next week and MPAC has not met for a couple months.

### **Other:**

Mayor Gamba asked when the affordable housing conversation would be on the agenda. Commissioner Savas indicated that the county is working on gathering information based on initiatives and an ad hoc subcommittee of C4 will be pulled together to discuss and report back to C4 in December. Commissioner Savas will have staff send out a link to two articles relating to homelessness.

Meeting adjourned at 8:16 p.m.