IN THE JUSTICE COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF CLACKAMAS

		Case N	0	
	Plaintiff (Landlord or Agent) v.	HEAR	complied with a court-approved agreement and that as a result of these responses and you must explain in section 3.) agreement. posed to do what is explained below, which the plaintiff did e agreement as changed. The change we agreed to is explained the plaintiff did that is explained below. 130. The lack of good faith is explained below. as described in ORS 90.135. force me to move out and my alleged conduct or performance following the date of the agreement. I did not pay that rent becar flose claims arise from the planitiff's violation of the Residen of the court order and are explained below.	
Defendant (Tenant or Occupant) Address of Property: STREET ADDRESS CITY STATE ZP 1. The plaintiff has filed a statement with the court saying that I have not complied with a court-approved agreement and that as a result plaintiff is entitled to possession of the property. 2. I deny the plaintiff is entitled to possession of the property because: (The reason must be one of the following, you must check one or more of these responses and you must explain in section 3.) a. The plaintiff is wrong. As explained below, I did comply with the agreement. b. Before I could comply with the agreement, the plaintiff was supposed to do what is explained below, which the plaintiff did not. c. The plaintiff and I changed the agreement and I complied with the agreement as changed. The change we agreed to is explained below. d. The plaintiff prevented me from keeping the agreement. The way the plaintiff did that is explained below. d. The plaintiff is required by law or contract to have good cause to force me to move out and my alleged conduct or performance does not meet the standard of good cause, as explained below. h. The plaintiff is claiming I did not pay rent for a period of time following the date of the agreement. I did not pay that rent becar I have claims for money against the palintiff to fisher the rent. Those claims arise from the plaintiff's violation of the Resident Landlord and Tenant Act or the rental agreement since the date of the court order and are explained below. 1. Here is my explanation for the reason or reasons checked above: I have claims for money against the palintiff to offset the rent. Those claims arise from the plaintiff's violation of the Resident Landlord and Tenant Act or the rental agreement since the date of the court order and are explained below. I understand that if I lose in court, I may be responsible for the plaintiff's costs, disbursements, any attorney fees and a prevailing part fee is my explanation for the reason or reasons checked above: Signature of Defend				
	` '			
Addı		CITY	STATE ZIP	
1.		not complied w	vith a court-approved agreement and that as a resu	ılt the
2.		ore of these resp	ponses and you must explain in section 3.)	
	\Box a. The plaintiff is wrong. As explained below, I did comply with t	the agreement.		
not. □ c. The plaintiff and I changed the agreement and I complied with the agreement as changed. The change we agreed to is explained below. □ d. The plaintiff prevented me from keeping the agreement. The way the plaintiff did that is explained below. □ e. The agreement was not made in good faith as required by ORS 90.130. The lack of good faith is explained below. □ f. The portion of the agreement described below was unconscionable as described in ORS 90.135. □ g. The plaintiff is required by law or contract to have good cause to force me to move out and my alleged conduct or performance does not meet the standard of good cause, as explained below. □ h. The plaintiff is claiming I did not pay rent for a period of time following the date of the agreement. I did not pay that rent be I have claims for money against the palintiff to offset the rent. Those claims arise from the plantiff's violation of the Residual Candlord and Tenant Act or the rental agreement since the date of the court order and are explained below.				
	\Box d. The plaintiff prevented me from keeping the agreement. The way the plaintiff did that is explained below.			
	☐ e. The agreement was not made in good faith as required by ORS 90.130. The lack of good faith is explained below.			
	\Box f. The portion of the agreement described below was unconscionable as described in ORS 90.135.			
	cause			
				ential
3.	Here is my explanation for the reason or reasons checked above:			
			☐ See Attack	hed
I HE	fee. CREBY DECLARE that the above statement is true to the best of			·
ust i	as evacated in court and is subject to penalty for perjury.			
Dat	re	Signature of D	Defendant / Agent / Attorney OSB#	
Ado	dress/City/State/Zip of Defendant / Agent / Attorney	Printed / Type	ed Name of Defendant / Agent / Attorney	
Em	ail Address	Telephone Nu	ımber	