CLACKAMAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Study Session Worksheet

Presentation Date: January 24, 2012

Approx Start Time: 10:30 Approx Length: 30 Minutes

Presentation Title: Creating an Annual Work Plan for Land Use Planning

Department: Administration, Planning

Presenters: Dan Chandler, Mike McCallister

Other Invitees: Barbara Cartmill, Cam Gilmour

WHAT ACTION ARE YOU REQUESTING FROM THE BOARD?

Approval of a process for creating an annual work plan for long range land use planning. If approved, staff would implement an abbreviated process for the next fiscal year, and propose a more thorough process for subsequent years.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Annual adoption of a work plan for land use planning would:

- Increase efficiency;
- Enhance public participation; and
- Allow the BCC to set land use planning priorities to help achieve a Network of Thriving Communities, as contemplated by the County Strategic Plan

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (current year and ongoing):

This proposed project is a key example of how, through the strategic use of resources, the County may do more with less. We anticipate there will be significant cost efficiencies over time, allowing the County to effectively respond to the needs of the community.

The Planning Department Can Save By Prioritizing and Consolidating Code Changes.

The base cost of a simple text amendment, in staff time and other resources is between \$15,000 and \$20,000. This includes drafting time, hearings, notices and preparation of orders by County Counsel. By planning ahead to consolidate non-controversial amendments, the county can effectively receive two or three for the price of one.

The cost of a controversial proposal, with a high level of public participation, could exceed \$200,000.

The County Can Save By Limiting Codification to One or Twice Per Year. Currently, on the effective date of each text amendment, the County rewrites the code to "codify" the amendments. This is a staff-intensive process, and results in duplicated effort.

With a formal work plan process, the county can cut this process back to once a year.

An Annual Cleanup Ordinance Can Lessen Time Spent on Appeals and Facilitate Development.

Currently, Clackamas County has code provisions that LUBA has determined don't reflect the county's actual intent, or that may have been rendered superfluous by other code changes. One portion of the annual work plan would likely be a cleanup ordinance. The State Office of Legislative Counsel does a similar cleanup bill during most legislative sessions. This would lessen the time that the county, and more importantly the public, spend resolving those issues on a case-by-case basis.

LEGAL/POLICY REQUIREMENTS:

Annual long range planning work plans are effectively mandatory in Washington County (by county charter) and in Washington State (by statute). While an annual plan is not mandatory for Clackamas County; on balance, annual planning programs work well for reasons set forth in the attached memorandum.

Most full legislative sessions result in changes to state statutes, which should (sometimes must) be reflected in changes to the County Zoning and Development Ordinance. An annual work plan will allow the county to plan for those changes, and potentially consolidate them with other non-controversial amendments.

PUBLIC/GOVERNMENTAL PARTICIPATION:

The proposed work plan program would provide increased opportunities for the public and all of the county's partners to participate in the planning process through more involvement in deciding *what* the County is going to do.

OPTIONS:

- 1. Direct staff to work toward preparation of an annual land use planning work program for Fiscal Year 2012/13, and a more detailed program for subsequent years.
- 2. Direct staff to continue with the current system.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the BCC direct the Planning Department to work toward preparation of an annual land use planning work program.

ATTACHMENTS:

Memorandum on Long Range Land Use Planning.

SUBMITTED BY:

Division Director/Head Approval
Department Director/Head Approval
County Administrator Approval

For information on this issue or copies of attachments, please contact Dan Chandler @ 503-742-5394

Fiscal Impact Form

	RESOURCES: Is this item in your current	t work plar	n and budg	et?			
	☐ YES	•					
	X NO						
	Each year's work plan will	l be incorp	orated in t	he annual l	oudget.		
	START-UP EXPENSES AT There will be some expension work can be combined with work plan for each year.	ses involv	ed in creat	ing each ye	ear's work pla		
	ONGOING OPERATING As detailed above, we ant the county to be more effic some expense involved in believes those costs will be	icipate tha cient in pro the additi	at the annu ocessing p ional outre	al work pla lan and tex ach for eac	n process will t amendment h year's plan.	l ultimately s. There w However,	allow ill be staff
	ANTICIPATED RESULTS	<u>}:</u>					
	More efficient and effective time, maintenance of an u			•		•	
	COSTS & BENEFITS:						
Cos	ts:	·					
	Item					. •	<i>*</i>
	ILEIII	Hours	Start-up Capital	Other Start-up	Annual Operations	Annual Capital	TOTAL
	nem	Hours		1			TOTAL
	iteiii	Hours		1			TOTAL
Total	Start-up Costs	Hours		1			TOTAL
		Hours		1			TOTAL
Ongo	Start-up Costs	Hours		1			TOTAL
Ongo	Start-up Costs Ding Annual Costs	Hours		1			TOTAL
Ongo	Start-up Costs Ding Annual Costs efits/Savings:		Capital Start-up	Start-up Other	Operations	Capital	
Ongo	Start-up Costs Ding Annual Costs efits/Savings:		Capital Start-up	Start-up Other	Operations	Capital	

Total Start-up Benefit/Savings
Ongoing Annual Benefit/Savings