

## Clackamas County Continuum of Care

### Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

## **Clackamas County Continuum of Care- FY2018 Ranking Process**

Clackamas County CoC monitors project performance during APR review prior to submission, through regularly scheduled data quality and bed utilization reports, and as part of the evaluation, review, scoring and ranking process. Before working on updating score cards, Coordinated Entry, PIT count, and equity analysis data was examined to determine local needs.

After the FY2017 Ranking Process, the CoC Steering Committee (CoCSC), determined they needed a better way to evaluate brand new project applicants (New application), renewal projects that had not started (New renewal) or had not completed a full program year (First-year renewal), and youth-specific renewal projects (Youth renewal) in addition to standard renewal projects.

At regular CoCSC meetings on 3/28/18, 5/10/18, and 6/14/18, the CoCSC updated all five score cards. **Objective Criteria, used in review, ranking and selection** to determine future program success, was different based on the type of score card used:

- New Application Projects- evaluated basic threshold requirements (such as HMIS, CE, Housing First and Equal Access), HUD application requirements (such as eligible activities, project type), local goals (Increase RRH and Dedicated CH beds, DV bonus project, serves underserved population), and narratives (agency administrative capacity, success with federal grants, and experience working with homeless population)
- New Renewal Projects- evaluated preparation steps needed to be a successful CoC program (CE participation, staff hired, HMIS training completed, CoC participation, staff trained in SOAR)
- First-year Renewal Projects- evaluated based on progress toward standard renewal goals (fund expenditure, HMIS data entry, **bed utilization, and exits to permanent housing on track**; staff trained in SOAR; and project manual created)
- Standard Renewal Projects- evaluated unresolved HUD findings, on-time APR submission, eLOCCS drawdown rates, HMIS data quality, bed utilization rates, exits to permanent housing, and increasing participant incomes.
- Youth Renewal Projects- evaluated based on the same criteria as Standard Renewal with 2 exceptions: The income measure was adapted to include increased/maintained income AND/OR attending school or training program; an additional bonus point was available to youth-specific programs if they meet the goal of at least 75% of participants having a Primary Care Provider or Medical Home.

**Up-to-date past performance data were** used for all applications for which the data were available, including all First-year, Youth and Standard renewal projects. Data were pulled from projects' most recently completed program year. **Target population, housing component type, number of households to be served, and cost effectiveness** were some of the **objective criteria** included on all score cards. All renewal projects were scored on narrative responses to questions related to local goals, equity efforts, and innovative strategies. A bonus point was given to renewal projects that met each of the following criteria:

- 100% Dedicated Chronically Homeless beds
- Increases overall RRH beds
- Committed to using Housing First approach
- Serves underserved population, as identified by the Equity Analysis

**Bed utilization rates, exits to permanent housing destinations, and increasing participant income**, are the **factors related to achieving positive housing outcomes and factors related to improving system performance** that were explicitly evaluated using the attached score card. In order to ensure projects are in **compliance with**

## Clackamas County Continuum of Care

### Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**24 CFR part 578**, eLOCCS drawdown rates and timely APR submittal are considered, while funds recaptured by HUD and monitoring/audit findings are scored. The score card was filled in by CoC staff using HMIS and project application data, and project staff provided answers to the narrative section.

Each of the **score cards** used is attached below. All new and renewal project applications were submitted through Esnaps to the CoC by the deadline set by the CoC, August 16<sup>th</sup>, 2018. The projects included in our FY2018 CoC Application were monitored, evaluated, reviewed, scored, accepted, and ranked on August 27<sup>th</sup> and August 29<sup>th</sup>, 2018 by the CoCSC. **Minutes of this and other CoCSC meetings are available to the public.**

The CoC has a long-standing relationship with victim service providers in our region, and has a **specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers (VSP)**. Once the blank score card was finalized by CoCSC, it was emailed to VSPs to fill in **using data generated from their comparable database**. This year, the CoC received applications from two VSPs, Clackamas Women's Services (CWS) and Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization (IRCO). All applications submitted by VSPs, IRCO's DV Bonus project application and CWS's two new and two renewal project applications, were screened for the **degree to which they improve safety for the population served**. The narratives in their applications and score cards clearly demonstrated the deep thinking and commitment each organization has for the safety of their participants.

The CoCSC recognizes the particular **vulnerability of abuse/victimization or a history of victimization/abuse, domestic violence, and sexual assault** that folks served through VSPs have experienced and **added a scored category in the New Application Project score card to encourage new applicants to apply for programs with a target population of DV survivors, including survivors of sexual assault**. This approach was successful, and IRCO's application to serve a highly diverse group of DV survivors was ranked 6th out of 20 project applications.

There were a few projects that could not be evaluated or had outcomes that could not be compared with the other CoC projects. Coordinated Housing Access (CHA) and Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) could not be evaluated in a meaningful way to compare with the other projects because these projects do not serve participants in the same way as TH, RRH or PSH projects. CHA, our Coordinated Entry, screens for the other programs and HMIS is used to collect and analyze data. For CHA and HMIS, The CoCSC decided that projects which are necessary for the success of the whole continuum need to be included at the top of Tier 1.

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

**Project Ranking Criteria– 2018**

**New Applications Project Score Card (including bonus)**

| Assistance Type | Target Population | # of Units Proposed | Households to Serve |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                 |                   |                     |                     |

**Participation in and compliance with CoC System and HUD Priorities (Minimum Threshold)**

1. Willing and able to participate in CHA
2. Willing and able to participate in HMIS (or an equivalent, if DV provider)
3. Agrees to all CoC policies and practices
4. Housing First
5. Equal Access

**HUD Application Requirements (10 points)**

1. Application includes only eligible activities and expenses
2. Program component type is allowed under the FY2018 NOFA
3. All Budget Line Items must be allowable under the FY2018 NOFA and clearly explained
4. Application amount plus match (Budget) reasonably reflects the proposed scope of work
5. Application is complete by the designated due date with no missing items

**Other HUD and CoC Goals: Application supports the following goals (10 points)**

1. 20% of funding to RRH, 80% of funding for PSH
2. Dedicated CH beds/ Increase overall RRH beds
3. Project is applying for DV bonus
4. Project serves an underserved population, as identified by the Coordinated Housing Access Equity Evaluation. Underserved populations include people who identify as: Asian, Multi-racial and/or Latinx/Hispanic

**Narrative (25 points)**

1. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than ½ page) describing your agency’s administrative capacity (data-tracking, software/HMIS) to implement this program. (5 points)
2. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than ½ page) describing your agency’s experience and documented success working with complicated federal grants. Please include information about compliance with federal regulations, ability to draw down all funds, and ability to keep all beds full while complying with federal and local regulations. (10 points)
3. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing your agency and staff’s experience working with homeless populations, including your agency’s guiding principles. Please include information about how your agency has demonstrated participant success securing and maintaining permanent housing and increasing cash and non-cash income. (10 points)

Clackamas County Continuum of Care

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**New Renewal Project Scorecard**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

| Assistance Type | Target Population | Number of Units (single site)/ Proposed Project Participation (scattered site) | Households Served |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
|                 |                   |  |                   |

**Budget Information:**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Amount of HUD CoC Contract/Award:</b><br>Not including Admin  | \$ |
| <b>HUD CoC Admin:</b>  | \$ |
|  |    |
|  |    |
| <b>Total Program Budget:</b><br>Please list all cash funding sources including and beyond stated match | \$ |

Clackamas County Continuum of Care

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**New Renewal Project Scorecard**

|           |                                       |                           |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>1.</b> | <b>Project Narrative: Local Needs</b> | <b>Maximum points: 12</b> |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|

- Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program meets the four goals of the County’s Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness: preventing homelessness (or preventing returns to homelessness) (1 point), reducing the impacts of homelessness on children (1 point), contribute to a robust continuum of effective housing and services (1 point), and participating in strengthening the homeless services system (1 point). (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total).*
- Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program addresses equity. The CoC draft definition of equity is: **an on-going process of learning to acknowledge our biases, being flexible, and adapting services and policies to eliminate discrimination and disparities in the delivery of human services. The goal of equity is to provide opportunity and outcomes free from biases and favoritism for all program participants and staff.** Specifically: What are you doing to ensure equity, diversity, and inclusion for all program participants? (2 points) What is your organization doing to increase its cultural competency (please consider the full range of characteristics that contribute to a person’s culture)? (2 points) **Keep in mind, very few programs received full points on this question last year. Please consider your answer carefully.** (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total)*
- Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) answering the following question: What innovative strategies will you use to meet the unique needs of homeless households in Clackamas County?(2 point)*
- Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing your agency’s history of securing additional funds to leverage the work this and other HUD programs. (not scored, will use in tie-break situations)*

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**New Renewal Project Scorecard**

*The following data are based on HUD Performance Measurements and local need. Data sources are APRs for each project’s most recently completed program year, Project Applications, Coordinated Housing Access, and Point-in-Time data.*

|                               |                           |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>2. Project Performance</b> | <b>Maximum points: 31</b> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|

This section is based on HUD’s Performance Criteria, as articulated in the competition NOFA.

| Criteria  | Possible Points | Points Awarded |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Compliance:</b> Steps needed to be incorporated into CHA are complete<br>No steps taken=0, some steps taken-mostly incorporated=2-5, fully incorporated=6  | 6               |                |
| <b>Drawdowns:</b> Projects that are within one month of starting their operating year have hired staff for this project (projects with more than a month until implementation, full points)<br>No steps taken=0 points; job description written, job posted, interviews completed=2-4; staff hired=5  | 5               |                |
| <b>HMIS Data Quality:</b> New staff trained in HMIS policies and procedures. If new staff is responsible for HMIS data entry, also trained in data entry.<br>(projects with more than a month until implementation, full points)<br>Not trained=0, Trained in all aspects required for the position=5 | 5               |                |
| <b>Bed Utilization:</b> A completed Housing Inventory form has been submitted to HMIS Coordinator, indicating the number and types of beds/units available through this project.<br>Not submitted=0, Submitted, but not finalized=1-4 (depending on how complete), Submitted and finalized=5          | 5               |                |
| <b>Ending Homelessness:</b> The agency participates in CoC and is a voting member (attended at least 3 meetings in the past 12 months).<br>Not attending CoC=0, Attending CoC but not a voting member=2, Voting member of CoC=5   | 5               |                |
| <b>Increased or Maintained Income:</b> At least one staff person is registered or completed SOAR training.<br>Did not meet goal=0, met goal=5   | 5               |                |

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**New Renewal Project Scorecard**

|           |                     |                          |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>3.</b> | <b>HUD Criteria</b> | <b>Maximum points: 4</b> |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------|

- Project is 100% Dedicated Chronically Homeless beds (1 point)
- Project increases overall RRH beds (1 point)
- Project committed to using Housing First approach (1 point)
- Project serves an underserved population, as identified by the Coordinated Housing Access Equity Evaluation  
Underserved populations include people who identify as: Asian, Multi-racial and/or Latinx/Hispanic (1 point)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**First Year Renewal Project Score Card**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

| Assistance Type | Target Population | Number of Units (single site)/ Proposed Project Participation (scattered site) | Households Served |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
|                 |                   |  |                   |

**Participant Demographics (pulled from APR):**

|                            |  |                                    |  |
|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Gender:</b>             |  | <b>Race:</b>                       |  |
| Male                       |  | White                              |  |
| Female                     |  | Black/African-American             |  |
| Transgender                |  | Asian                              |  |
| Other                      |  | American Indian/Alaska Native      |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  | Native Hawaiian/Pac. Islander      |  |
|                            |  | Multiple Races                     |  |
| <b>Age:</b>                |  | Don't know/refused/missing         |  |
| 0-12                       |  |                                    |  |
| 13-17                      |  | <b>Domestic Violence Survivor:</b> |  |
| 18-24                      |  | Yes                                |  |
| 25-61                      |  | No                                 |  |
| 62+                        |  | Don't know/refused/missing         |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  |                                    |  |
|                            |  | <b>Veterans</b>                    |  |
| <b>Ethnicity:</b>          |  |                                    |  |
| Hispanic/Latino            |  | <b>Chronically Homeless</b>        |  |
| Not Hispanic/Latino        |  |                                    |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  |                                    |  |

**Budget Information:**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Amount of HUD CoC Contract/Award:</b><br>Not including Admin  | \$ |
| <b>HUD CoC Admin:</b>  | \$ |
| <b>Total Program Budget:</b><br>Please list all cash funding sources including and beyond stated match | \$ |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |



Clackamas County Continuum of Care

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**First Year Renewal Project Score Card**

|           |                                       |                           |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>1.</b> | <b>Project Narrative: Local Needs</b> | <b>Maximum points: 12</b> |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|

- 1. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program meets the four goals of the County’s Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness: preventing homelessness (or preventing returns to homelessness) (1 point), reducing the impacts of homelessness on children (1 point), contribute to a robust continuum of effective housing and services (1 point), and participating in strengthening the homeless services system (1 point). (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total).*
- 2. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program addresses equity. The CoC draft definition of equity is: **an on-going process of learning to acknowledge our biases, being flexible, and adapting services and policies to eliminate discrimination and disparities in the delivery of human services. The goal of equity is to provide opportunity and outcomes free from biases and favoritism for all program participants and staff.** Specifically: What are you doing to ensure equity, diversity, and inclusion for all program participants? (2 points) What is your organization doing to increase its cultural competency (please consider the full range of characteristics that contribute to a person’s culture)? (2 points) **Keep in mind, very few programs received full points on this question last year. Please consider your answer carefully.** (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total)*
- 3. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) answering the following question: What innovative strategies will you use to meet the unique needs of homeless households in Clackamas County?(2 point)*
- 4. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing your agency’s history of securing additional funds to leverage the work this and other HUD programs. (not scored, will use in tie-break situations)*

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**First Year Renewal Project Score Card**

|                               |                           |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>2. Project Performance</b> | <b>Maximum points: 31</b> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|

This section is based on HUD’s Performance Criteria, as articulated in the competition NOFA.

| Criteria  | Possible Points | Points Awarded |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Compliance:</b> Project has made progress on creating a project manual   | 5               |                |
| <b>Expended Funds:</b> Project on track to expend all funds by end of Program Year. (from HUD) Calculate percent prorated based on program year progress.<br>Less than 45%=0 points, 46%-55%=1, 56-65%=2, 66-75%=3, more than 75%=4   | 4               |                |
| <b>HMIS Data Quality:</b> Had 0% null/missing on all HMIS data elements<br>More than 10%=0, 8-9%=1, 6-7%= 2, 5-6%= 3, 4-5%=4, fewer than 4%=5<br>All individuals elements listed must be less than 5% null.   | 5               |                |
| <b>Bed Utilization:</b> Programs are on track to meet Bed Utilization goals<br>New PSH projects: 6-8 months (2 placements), 9-12 months (5 placements)<br>Less than 30%= 0, 30-40%=1, 40-50%=2, 50-60%=3, 60-75%=4, more than 75%=5<br><b>OR</b><br>All other new projects: 6-8 months (5 placements), 9-12 months (15 placements)<br>Less than 50%= 0, 51-60%=1, 61-70%=2, 71-80%=3, 81-90%=4, more than 90%=5<br>All projects operating for fewer than 6 months= 5 points | 7               |                |
| <b>Ending Homelessness:</b> The PSH program met the local goal of leasing up the first participant within three months after the first staff person hired.<br>Did not meet goal=0, met goal=5<br><b>OR</b><br>The RRH program met the local goal of leasing up the first participant within one month after first staff person hired.<br>Did not meet goal=0, met goal=5  | 5               |                |
| <b>Increased or Maintained Income:</b> At least one staff person at agency is registered or completed SOAR training.<br>Did not meet goal=0, met goal=5   | 5               |                |

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**First Year Renewal Project Scorecard**

|           |                                      |                          |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>3.</b> | <b>HUD Criteria and Bonus Points</b> | <b>Maximum points: 4</b> |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|

- Project is 100% Dedicated Chronically Homeless beds (1 point)
- Project increases overall RRH beds (1 point)
- Project committed to using Housing First approach (1 point)
- Project serves an underserved population, as identified by the Coordinated Housing Access Equity Evaluation  
Underserved populations include people who identify as: Asian, Multi-racial and/or Latinx/Hispanic (1 point)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**Standard Renewal Project Scorecard**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

| Assistance Type | Target Population | Number of Units (single site)/ Proposed Project Participation (scattered site) | Households Served |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
|                 |                   |  |                   |

**Participant Demographics (pulled from APR):**

|                            |  |                                    |  |
|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Gender:</b>             |  | <b>Race:</b>                       |  |
| Male                       |  | White                              |  |
| Female                     |  | Black/African-American             |  |
| Transgender                |  | Asian                              |  |
| Other                      |  | American Indian/Alaska Native      |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  | Native Hawaiian/Pac. Islander      |  |
|                            |  | Multiple Races                     |  |
| <b>Age:</b>                |  | Don't know/refused/missing         |  |
| 0-12                       |  |                                    |  |
| 13-17                      |  | <b>Domestic Violence Survivor:</b> |  |
| 18-24                      |  | Yes                                |  |
| 25-61                      |  | No                                 |  |
| 62+                        |  | Don't know/refused/missing         |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  | Number currently fleeing           |  |
|                            |  | <b>Veterans</b>                    |  |
| <b>Ethnicity:</b>          |  |                                    |  |
| Hispanic/Latino            |  | <b>Chronically Homeless</b>        |  |
| Not Hispanic/Latino        |  | CH households listed on APR        |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  |                                    |  |

**Budget Information:**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Amount of HUD CoC Contract/Award:</b><br>Not including Admin  | \$ |
| <b>HUD CoC Admin:</b>  | \$ |
| <b>Total Program Budget:</b><br>Please list all cash funding sources including and beyond stated match | \$ |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |

Clackamas County Continuum of Care

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

Standard Renewal Project Scorecard

|    |                                |                    |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | Project Narrative: Local Needs | Maximum points: 12 |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|

1. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program meets the four goals of the County’s Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness: preventing homelessness (or preventing returns to homelessness) (1 point), reducing the impacts of homelessness on children (1 point), contribute to a robust continuum of effective housing and services (1 point), and participating in strengthening the homeless services system (1 point). (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total).
2. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program addresses equity. The CoC draft definition of equity is: **an on-going process of learning to acknowledge our biases, being flexible, and adapting services and policies to eliminate discrimination and disparities in the delivery of human services. The goal of equity is to provide opportunity and outcomes free from biases and favoritism for all program participants and staff.** Specifically: What are you doing to ensure equity, diversity, and inclusion for all program participants? (2 points) What is your organization doing to increase its cultural competency (please consider the full range of characteristics that contribute to a person’s culture)? (2 points) **Keep in mind, very few programs received full points on this question last year. Please consider your answer carefully.** (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total)
3. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) answering the following question: What innovative strategies are you using to meet the unique needs of homeless households in Clackamas County?(2 point)
4. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than ½ page) describing your agency’s history of securing additional funds to leverage the work this and other HUD programs. (not scored, will use in tie-break situations)

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**Standard Renewal Project Scorecard**

The following data are based on HUD Performance Measurements and local need. Data sources are APRs for each project’s most recent program year, Project Applications, Coordinated Housing Access, and Point-in-Time data.

|                               |                           |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>2. Project Performance</b> | <b>Maximum points: 31</b> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|

This section is based on HUD’s Performance Criteria, as articulated in the competition NOFA.

| Criteria  | Possible Points | Points Awarded |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Compliance:</b> Project <u>does not</u> currently have unresolved HUD audit findings or is in process of resolving.  | 5               |                |
| <b>Compliance:</b> Was the APR for the most recently completed program year submitted to HUD on time?   | 4               |                |
| <b>Drawdowns:</b> Project spent all CoC funds in contract year. (from HUD)<br>Less than 90%=0 points, 90-94%=1, 95-100%=2<br>If project is still in the initial contract period – 2 points  | 2               |                |
| <b>HMIS Data Quality:</b> Had 0% null/missing on all HMIS data elements on (APR questions 6a-6c)<br>More than 8%=0, 6-8%=1, 4-6%= 2, 2-4%= 3, more than 0-2%=4 , 0%=5<br>All individuals elements listed must be less than 5% null.   | 5               |                |
| <b>Bed Utilization:</b> Average Bed utilization was at least 95%<br>Less than 80%= 0, 80-85%=1, 86-90%=2, 90-94%=3, 95-99%=4, more than 99%=5<br>For RRH programs: Proposed project participation vs Households Served  | 5               |                |
| <b>Ending Homelessness:</b> The PSH program met the local goal of at least 95% of clients remaining in permanent housing placement or exited to permanent housing. (APR Q5a.8, Q23a & b)<br>Less than 80%=0, 80-84%=1, 85-89%=2, 90-94%=3, 95-99%=4, more than 99%=5<br><b>OR</b><br>The TH program met the local goal of at least 95% of clients exiting to permanent housing (APR Q23a & b).<br>Less than 80%=0, 80-84%=1, 85-89%=2, 90-94%=3, 95-99%=4, more than 99%=5<br><b>OR</b><br>The RRH program met the local goal of at least 80% of clients who exited the program to permanent housing, maintain permanent housing 6 months after program exit.<br>Less than 58%=0, 58-64%=1, 65-71%=2, 72-79%=3, 80-86%=4, more than 86%=5 | 5               |                |
| <b>Increased or Maintained Income:</b> All homeless programs met the local goal of at least 75% of adult clients having increased <u>total income</u> at end of operating year or at exit (APR 19a3).<br>Less than 60%=0, 60-64%=1, 65-69%=2, 70-74%=3, 75-80%=4, more than 80%=5   | 5               |                |

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**Standard Renewal Project Scorecard**

|           |                                      |                          |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>3.</b> | <b>HUD Criteria and Bonus Points</b> | <b>Maximum points: 4</b> |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|

- Project is 100% Dedicated Chronically Homeless beds (1 point)
- Project increases overall RRH beds (1 point)
- Project committed to using Housing First approach (1 point)
- Project serves an underserved population, as identified by the Coordinated Housing Access Equity Evaluation  
Underserved populations include people who identify as: Asian, Multi-racial and/or Latinx/Hispanic (1 point)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Total:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria– 2018

**Youth Renewal Project Scorecard**

**Project Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

| <b>Assistance Type</b> | <b>Target Population</b> | <b>Number of Units (single site)/ Proposed Project Participation (scattered site)</b> | <b>Households Served</b> |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
|                        |                          |   |                          |

**Participant Demographics (pulled from APR):**

|                            |  |                                    |  |
|----------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Gender:</b>             |  | <b>Race:</b>                       |  |
| Male                       |  | White                              |  |
| Female                     |  | Black/African-American             |  |
| Transgender                |  | Asian                              |  |
| Other                      |  | American Indian/Alaska Native      |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  | Native Hawaiian/Pac. Islander      |  |
|                            |  | Multiple Races                     |  |
| <b>Age:</b>                |  | Don't know/refused/missing         |  |
| 0-12                       |  |                                    |  |
| 13-17                      |  | <b>Domestic Violence Survivor:</b> |  |
| 18-24                      |  | Yes                                |  |
| 25-61                      |  | No                                 |  |
| 62+                        |  | Don't know/refused/missing         |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  | Number currently fleeing           |  |
|                            |  | <b>Veterans</b>                    |  |
| <b>Ethnicity:</b>          |  |                                    |  |
| Hispanic/Latino            |  | <b>Chronically Homeless</b>        |  |
| Not Hispanic/Latino        |  | CH households listed on APR        |  |
| Don't know/refused/missing |  |                                    |  |

**Budget Information:**

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Amount of HUD CoC Contract/Award:</b>                               | \$ |
| Not including Admin  |    |
| <b>HUD CoC Admin:</b>  | \$ |
| <b>Total Program Budget:</b>   | \$ |
| Please list all cash funding sources including and beyond stated match |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |
|  |    |



Clackamas County Continuum of Care

Project Ranking Criteria- 2018

Youth Renewal Project Scorecard

|    |                                |                    |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. | Project Narrative: Local Needs | Maximum points: 12 |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------------|

1. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program meets the four goals of the County's Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness: preventing homelessness (or preventing returns to homelessness) (1 point), reducing the impacts of homelessness on children (1 point), contribute to a robust continuum of effective housing and services (1 point), and participating in strengthening the homeless services system (1 point). (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total).
2. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) describing how your program addresses equity. The CoC draft definition of equity is: **an on-going process of learning to acknowledge our biases, being flexible, and adapting services and policies to eliminate discrimination and disparities in the delivery of human services. The goal of equity is to provide opportunity and outcomes free from biases and favoritism for all program participants and staff.**  
Specifically: What are you doing to ensure equity, diversity, and inclusion for all program participants? (2 points) What is your organization doing to increase its cultural competency (please consider the full range of characteristics that contribute to a person's culture)? (2 points) **Keep in mind, very few programs received full points on this question last year. Please consider your answer carefully.** (1 point for quality of answers, 5 points total)
3. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than 1 page) answering the following question: What innovative strategies are you using to meet the unique needs of homeless households in Clackamas County?(2 point)
4. Please provide a brief narrative (no more than ½ page) describing your agency's history of securing additional funds to leverage the work this and other HUD programs. (not scored, will use in tie-break situations)

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria- 2018

**Youth Renewal Project Scorecard**

|                               |                           |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>2. Project Performance</b> | <b>Maximum points: 31</b> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|

This section is based on HUD's Performance Criteria, as articulated in the competition NOFA.

| Criteria  | Possible Points | Points Awarded |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| <b>Compliance:</b> Project <u>does not</u> currently have unresolved HUD audit findings or is in process of resolving.  | 5               |                |
| <b>Compliance:</b> Was the APR for the most recently completed program year submitted to HUD on time?   | 4               |                |
| <b>Drawdowns:</b> Project spent all CoC funds in contract year. (from HUD)<br>Less than 90%=0 points, 90-94%=1, 95-100%=2<br>If project is still in the initial contract period – 2 points  | 2               |                |
| <b>HMIS Data Quality:</b> Had 0% null/missing on all HMIS data elements on (APR questions 6a-6c)<br>More than 8%=0, 6-8%=1, 4-6%= 2, 2-4%= 3, more than 0-2%=4 , 0%=5<br>All individuals elements listed must be less than 5% null.   | 5               |                |
| <b>Bed Utilization:</b> Average Bed utilization was at least 95%<br>Less than 80%= 0, 80-85%=1, 86-90%=2, 90-94%=3, 95-99%=4, more than 99%=5<br>For RRH programs: Proposed project participation vs Households Served  | 5               |                |
| <b>Ending Homelessness:</b> The PSH program met the local goal of at least 95% of clients remaining in permanent housing placement or exited to permanent housing. (APR Q5a.8, Q23a & b)<br>Less than 80%=0, 80-84%=1, 85-89%=2, 90-94%=3, 95-99%=4, more than 99%=5<br><b>OR</b><br>The TH program met the local goal of at least 95% of clients exiting to permanent housing (APR Q23a & b).<br>Less than 80%=0, 80-84%=1, 85-89%=2, 90-94%=3, 95-99%=4, more than 99%=5<br><b>OR</b><br>The RRH program met the local goal of at least 80% of clients who exited the program to permanent housing, maintain permanent housing 6 months after program exit.<br>Less than 58%=0, 58-64%=1, 65-71%=2, 72-79%=3, 80-86%=4, more than 86%=5 | 5               |                |
| <b>Income and Education:</b> All youth homeless programs meet the local goal of at least 75% of youth participants having increased or maintained income AND/OR attending high school, post-secondary education, or other training program at end of operating year or at exit (APR Q19a3).<br>Less than 60%=0, 60-64%=1, 65-69%=2, 70-74%=3, 75-80%=4, more than 80%=5   | 5               |                |

**Clackamas County Continuum of Care**

Project Ranking Criteria- 2018

**Youth Renewal Project Scorecard**

|    |                                      |                          |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 3. | <b>HUD Criteria and Bonus Points</b> | <b>Maximum points: 5</b> |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|

- At least 75% of youth have a Primary Care Provider or Medical Home (1 point) \_\_\_\_\_
- Project is 100% Dedicated Chronically Homeless beds (1 point) \_\_\_\_\_
- Project increases overall RRH beds (1 point) \_\_\_\_\_
- Project committed to using Housing First approach (1 point) \_\_\_\_\_
- Project serves an underserved population, as identified by the Coordinated Housing Access Equity Evaluation  
Underserved populations include people who identify as: Asian, Multi-racial and/or Latinx/Hispanic (1 point) \_\_\_\_\_

**Total:** \_\_\_\_\_