





BUSINESS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Development Services Building 150 Beavercreek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

Meeting Summary Oak Lodge and Gladstone Community Project Concord Property and Library Planning Task Force Meeting

Thursday, June 18, 2020 5:30pm – 7:00pm Zoom Meeting

APPROVED

Task Force Members Present: Doug Jones, Denis Hickey, Chaunda Wild, Mark Elliot, Ron Campbell, Gary Bokowski, Michael Schmeer, Kim Parmon, Grover Bornefeld, Paul Boundy, Jan Lindstrom, Rose Ojeda, Anna Hoesly, Jan Lindstrom

Task Force Members Excused: Kristi Switzer, Michael Newgard

Staff and Officials Present: Commissioner Savas; Laura Zentner, BCS Director; Mitzi Olson, Gladstone and Oak Lodge Library Director; Scott Archer, NCPRD Director, Jessica Botteron, Administrative Specialist; Kandi Ho, NCPRD Recreation Manager, Amber Johnson and Ellen Rogalin, Public and Government Affairs (PGA); Tracy Grambusch, BCS; Kathryn Krygier, Project Manager

Design Team Members Present: Jennifer Hoffman, Jim Kalvelage; Opsis Architecture; Ken Ballard; Opsis, Ray Johnston; Johnston Architects, Kurt Lango; Lango Hanson Architects, Allison Brown; JLA Public Involvement

A video of this meeting is available on the Clackamas County website at https://www.clackamas.us/meetings/communityproject/oakgladproj

The meeting began at 5:33pm.

Welcome and Review agenda

Doug Jones did a roll call of task force members. He said the goal of this meeting is to discuss a draft evaluation of design alternatives.

Kathryn Krygier introduced staff. She noted at all of the questions submitted will be attached to the meeting summary. Jennifer Hoffman introduced the design team.

Evaluation Survey Results

Allison Brown reviewed the task force review of the survey they took using the criteria to evaluate the three design alternatives. She noted the purpose of the survey is to better understand each alternative and to gain a thorough understanding of each alternatives.

The task force members discussed the survey results and discussed various issues with the design team. The survey results are attached to this meeting summary. A video of the meeting including the discussion can be found on the project website at this link:

https://www.clackamas.us/meetings/communityproject/oakgladproj

Allison reminded the group of the design team will be taking all of their comments and suggestions and are working hard to incorporate them into the public open house and survey.

Evaluation Workshop

Allison summarized the survey results. Option 2 had the highest votes. Allison asked the task force to focus on what other two options should move forward to the public. Kathryn suggested the task force could consider only taking two options to the public. Allision stated the team would assume three options would be considered but this could also be discussed at next week's meeting.

The task force discussed what other options should go to the public for feedback and decided that Option 2, Option 3, and Option 1B should be presented to the public.

Next Steps

June 17 th 5:30-7:00 pm Zoom Meeting	July 1 st 5:30-7:00 pm Zoom Meeting	July-Aug. TBD Board: Policy Session, July 14 st , 2:00pm via	Aug. TBD Zoom Meeting TF: Review public input
TF: Criteria Evaluation	TF: Input on draft survey	zoom Public: Open House – July 6-August 2 nd	
Sept. TBD TF: Final evaluation with criteria, selection of preferred alternative	Sept Oct. TBD Design team: Refinement of preferred alt.	Oct. TBD TF: Make recommendation to Board to accept preferred alternative	
Board: Issues project update	TF: Review refined alternative	Board: Consider TF recommendation Public: Open House – share refined/approved alternatives	

Public Comment

Commissioner Savas – The Commissioner thanked everyone for their work. He said the task force might consider if they want to include their rankings or rationale about their choices in the information the public receives—to help inform the public.

Geoffrey Janke (Concord Partnership) – Goeoffry said he thought that three options was an appropriate number of alternatives to take to the public. He noted that giving people more options will give them confidence and will show that a variety the options have been considered. He said he loves the fact that 1B, 2, 3 are the ones being considered. He would like to hear from the parks department if losing the opportunity for a park in option 3 would be impactful.

Meeting Adjourned at 7:15pm

Next Task Force Meeting: July 2nd at 5:30pm