CLACKAMAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS **Staff Presentation Worksheet**

Presentation Date: October 4, 2011

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Length: 1 hour

Presentation Title: 5-Year Housing Plan Continued: Strategies and Tools

Department: Health Housing and Human Services

Presenters: Cindy Becker and Trell Anderson

POLICY QUESTION

Which strategies and actions that support the development and preservation of affordable housing options do Commissioners want to review and consider for adoption?

ISSUE & BACKGROUND

On September 7, 2011 Commissioners held a planning session to discuss the background issues and general policy statements of a 5-Year Housing Plan. Included in the discussion was a presentation of "opportunity maps" and a policy directive to invest County housing resources in geographic areas that score high as opportunity areas - thus facilitating better access to jobs, education, public transportation, healthcare and community amenities for people living in affordable housing.

Included in the information provided to the Commissioners were proposed strategies/ actions for the Board to consider. These strategies were developed by an advisory committee that was created in the Spring/Summer of 2011 to help establish the opportunity indicators and recommend strategies.

The Board indicated that it was in general agreement with the Housing Plan and agreed to review the proposed strategies and actions at a future Study Session. Staff sent an electronic survey to the Commissioners to gauge their interest in pursuing the various strategies. Responses from four of the Commissioners are in the Attachment.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION

- 1. Do the Commissioners generally agree on the prioritization of the recommended strategy and action items?
- 2. Which strategies and tools should staff develop further, including cost benefit analysis, for Commissioners to consider for adoption?

OPTIONS AVAILABLE

- 1. Direct staff to research and come back with recommendations re: implementation of strategies and actions with a "High" priority ranking.
- 2. Direct staff to research and come back with recommendations re: implementation of strategies and actions with a "High" and "Medium" priority ranking.
- 3. Identify 2-3 specific strategies or actions for further development.
- 4. Establish additional criteria to further vet strategies and actions.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that strategies and actions with a "High" priority ranking be developed further (Option 1 above).

SUBMITTED BY:

| Division Director/Head Approval | Quel Beel | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Department Director/Head Approval | Over 1950 | |
| County Administrator Approval | | |