

# Clackamas County Coordinating Committee (C4) DRAFT Minutes

Thursday, Jan. 7, 2016

Development Service Building  
Main Floor Auditorium, Room 115  
150 Beaver Creek Road, Oregon City, OR 97045

Attendance

**Members: Clackamas County:** Paul Savas (Co-chair); **Canby:** Brian Hodson (Co-Chair); Traci Hensley (Alt.); **CPOs:** Laurie Swanson; Marjorie Stewart (Alt.); **Damascus:** Diana Helm; **Estacada:** Brent Dodrill; **Fire Districts:** John Blanton; **Hamlets:** Rick Cook; **Happy Valley:** Markley Drake; **Metro:** Carlotta Collette; **Milwaukie:** Mark Gamba; **Molalla:** Jimmy Thompson; **Oregon City:** Dan Holladay; **Sandy:** Carl Exner (Alt.); **Sanitary:** Susan Keil; **Transit Agencies:** Vanessa Vissar (Urban); Stephan Lashbrook (Urban Alt.); Julie Wehling (Rural); Andi Howell (Rural Alt.); **Villages:** Joe Mazzara; **Water Districts:** Dick Jones; **West Linn:** Jenni Tan; **Wilsonville:** Tim Knapp

**Staff:** Gary Schmidt (PGA); Trent Wilson (PGA);

**Guests:** Shirley Craddick (Metro); Mark Ottenad (Wilsonville); Councilor Brenda Perry (West Linn); Jaimie Lorenzini (Happy Valley); Ben Bryant (Happy Valley); John Lewis (Oregon City); David Barenberg (West Linn Consultant); Seth Atkinson (Sandy); David Queener (Clackamas County); Guy Rodrique (State Parks); Dayna Webb (Oregon City); Nancy Ream Enabnit (Sandy); Bill Avison (Avison Lumber); Megan McKibben (Cong. Schrader); Fran Mazzara; Taina Edwards (Portland Adventist Academy); Ethan Allen (Portland Adventist Academy)

## DRAFT Minutes

### Pledge of Allegiance

### Welcome & Introductions

*Commissioner Paul Savas & Mayor Brian Hodson, Co-Chairs*

### Housekeeping

December 3, 2015 C4 Minutes approved.

Recognition C4 Membership Changes for 2016:

C4 observed a moment of silence recognizing the passing of Bob Reeves: Previously serving on the C4 Executive Committee and representing both the Villages and Hoodland Fire District at C4.

Per the bylaws, the start of an even number year requires the organization and authority members of C4 to review and renew their C4 Member and Alternate. That includes the: CPOs, Hamlets, Villages, Fire Districts, Sanitary Districts, and Water Districts. Memberships are as follows:

- CPOs, no change
  - Laurie Freeman Swanson, Member
  - Marjarie Stewart, Alternate
- Villages, no change
  - Joe Mazzara, member
  - Marci Slater, Alternate
- Hamlets
  - Rick Cook will now be the C4 Member
  - Alternate is pending as this group rotates between Hamlets. The Mulino Hamlet will hold the Alternate seat.
- Fire Districts
  - John Blanton will remain the member
  - Bob Reeves was the proposed alternate and the district is currently considering a new alternate
- Sanitary District
  - Terry Gibson remains the member
  - Susan Kiel remains the alternate
- Water Districts – have not yet submitted their formal decisions.
- The Urban Transit seat alternates annually by informal agreement between SMART and TriMet. TriMet will be the member in 2016 and SMART the alternate.

2016 C4 Retreat Poll: A separate handout was provided for C4 members to provide feedback to the C4 Executive Committee about their interest in a retreat in 2016.

**STIP: Introduction – How can C4 Best Support the Members of the R1ACT?**

*Presented by Karen Buehrig*

County staff introduces purpose behind discussion; for C4 to provide direction and guidance to the R1ACT members of Clackamas County on the prioritization of criteria when grading proposed STIP projects. Staff noted that C4 did not have a role

in making any decisions outside of providing guidance. The agencies submitting projects within Clackamas County were also invited to the meeting to provide a summary of their project.

**STIP: Criteria Discussion**

Staff provided a form within the agenda packet that summarized the criteria provided by ODOT. When asked why the criteria form was “drastically different” from the one provided by ODOT, staff replied that the C4 insert was a simplified version designed for the purpose of easing discussion and that many of the criteria were either duplicated or overlapped between transit and non-transit projects. The goal of the C4 meeting was to keep the criteria discussion at a much higher “concept” level, and for C4 to inform the R1ACT members their preference on criteria, in general.

Using a dot voting system (with 3 dots provided to each member), C4 Members ranked the criteria as follows:

Access:	12
Economic Development:	12
Connectivity:	11
Safety:	9
Leverage:	9
Environmental Stewardship:	5
Project Readiness:	2
Social/Health Benefits:	0

Open floor discussion highlighted general agreement that about the top three criteria, and also noted overlap between several criteria (i.e. that greater access can lead to connectivity, or even safety and economic benefits, etc.). Some members noted surprise that “safety” was not ranked higher, and frustration that “leverage” was ranked as high as it was. One member emphasized a federal transportation campaign from the 1980s that provided “access” with regard to handicap commuters as a potential funding consideration and also highlighting an additional overlap between “access” and “safety”.

Regarding a question about the funding distribution in the previous STIP funding cycle, staff discovered the following awards/disbursement by region:

Clackamas County: \$12 million

Hood River:	\$ 9.7 million
Multnomah County:	\$26.7 million
Washington County:	\$16.9 million
Other:	\$3 million

### **STIP: Clackamas County Projects**

*Presented by staff from submitting agencies*

Order of presentation was randomly selected by staff in advance of the meeting.

Presentations were as follows:

1. 1. Jimmy Thompson, Representing Molalla projects: 211 and 213 bicycle and safety enhancements
2. Guy Rodrique, representing State Parks project: Cazadero Trail bridge and trail construction
3. John Lewis, representing Oregon City project: Oregon City Main Street Improvements
4. Mark Ottenad, representing Wilsonville project: Town Center to Barber St pedestrian overpass
5. David Queener, representing Clackamas County project: Sunnyside road/pedestrian improvement
6. Karen Buehrig, representing Clackamas County project: Pedestrian Crossing Safety Project
7. Andi Howell, representing Sandy Transit project: Transit vehicle replacement
8. Lance Calvert, representing West Linn project: Hwy 43 Multimodal Transportation Project

### **STIP: Questions and Discussion**

Mayor Gamba (MG): What is the total cost of construction for the I-5 pedestrian bridge in Wilsonville?

Stephan Lashbrook: Wilsonville staff left because of health reasons, total cost of project is \$8.5 million, but I don't know how much Wilsonville is matching.

Councilor Collette (CC): After hearing all the projects, it would be my hope that we can encourage the R1ACT members to think about the collective benefits of these projects. They all seem so great, but I know not every project can receive funding. Ideally, we can see several Clackamas County projects emerge from this process.

Councilor Exner: Do any of the projects roll into other counties or jurisdictions, creating a multijurisdictional component?

Mayor Knapp: Yes, the Wilsonville overpass would connect pedestrian paths to the Tonquin Trail and the Canby Trail.

Andi Howell: The transit projects connect with multiple jurisdictions, especially the Sandy Transit project which connects with Gresham/Multnomah County.

Rick Cook: Is there a formula for how each jurisdiction determines their match?

CC: There is a 10.27% minimum match, but each jurisdiction determines the amount they wish to contribute.

MG: While the match is not necessarily a "criteria", many believe it is still a determinate. I suppose it could be considered as "leverage" if we are thinking about criteria.

Bill Avison: The scale of the project is a big determinate, and sometimes that impacts what a community can match.

Commissioner Savas: A match shows that a project has "skin in the game", but it makes it more challenging for rural communities to compete against "match" criteria because they have fewer resources than the urban communities. Keep in mind that the STIP is the only state funding resource for rural communities to access these types of project funds.

Fran Mazzara (Guest): How is a community like Welches supposed to compete for these dollars; we don't have staff to put together grant applications for projects like what we heard today? Karen Buehrig: Being part of the County, Welches would/could receive support for County staff for such projects. In fact, we have worked with Welches in the past on many projects and are happy to do so again in the future.

### **Monthly Updates**

R1ACT – no updates

Metro Mayors Consortium – no updates

JPACT/MPAC Update:

Mayor Gamba (MPAC): Future meetings will discuss the Metro Parks System Plan and we will also have an equitable housing summit update.

Mayor Knapp (JPACT): Discussion continues about Regional Flexible Funds

Carlotta Collette: Provides handout re FAST Act summary.

### **Adjourn**