Steering Committee Meeting - CoC Program Rating and Ranking

8/29/18

Attendees: Mary Rumbaugh, Nicki Turk, Angela Trimble, Shelly Mead, Chris Hoy, Abby Ahern, Erin Skinner

New information was discovered and the Steering Committee reconvened.

Priority Ranking

If HUD decides to fund the DV bonus project (IRCO), that project and the dollar amount would be removed from the ranking. In this case, the ranking would play out mostly as we concluded in the first ranking meeting.

If HUD decides not to fund the DV bonus project (IRCO), all current Tier 2 projects (CWS New TH/RRH and \$75,084 of Housing our Heroes) and an additional \$20,832 (all from Housing our Heroes) would not be funded by HUD. If this happens, CWS New TH/RRH would not be funded at all and Housing our Heroes would lose a total of \$96,598 out of a total application budget of \$313,545.

Notes from Discussion:

- The first thing HUD looks at is the DV Bonus
- One option would be to change the ranking of the IRCO DV Bonus, moving into Tier 2
- Historically we have always put bonus projects at the bottom of the ranking. However this DV bonus project is different than bonus projects of the past. This DV bonus is part of a \$50 million allocation, where standard bonuses use any money that is "left over" after HUD funds all Tier 1 and Tier 2 projects for all CoCs. We have a much higher change of being awarded the DV bonus than a regular bonus project
- Historically the lower a CoC ranks a bonus on its priority list, the less likely the bonus is to be funded.
- If ranking is moved out of the natural scoring order, we need to make sure the Steering Committee has a reason for the move

Decision: The CoC Steering Committee voted unanimously in favor of moving forward with the ranking as originally scored. Committee members recognize the potential risk, but feel confident that the CoC will be awarded the DV Bonus Project.

Bonus Application

There was a miscalculation in the dollar amount available to the CWS New TH/RRH, should the DV bonus project (IRCO's) be funded. During the meeting, we calculated \$96,012 would be available for the New CWS TH/RRH. In fact, only \$66,097 is available. CWS originally applied for \$162,109 for this project. How should we move forward if CWS is not able to operate that program on this scaled down level?

Notes from Discussion:

• Ask CWS if any of their projects are scalable and let them choose. They all ranked similarly within a point or two of each other.

Steering Committee Meeting – CoC Program Rating and Ranking

• CWS does quite a bit of Coordinated Entry at this point and adding IRCO as a second DV provider will increase this workload.

Decision: The decision will be left to CWS. All members agreed to check with CWS as to which program they would be able to operate successfully with significantly less money.