Library District of Clackamas County Advisory Committee

Minutes - **UNAPPROVED**

September 23, 2019

Clackamas County Development Services Building, Rm. 119

ATTENDANCE

Advisory Committee Voting Members

MEMBER	LIBRARY	ATTENDANCE	NOTES
Kathleen Myron	Canby Public Library	Present	
Grover Bornefeld	Clackamas County Library - Oak Lodge	Present	
Connie Redmond	Estacada Public Library	Present	
Natalie Smith	Gladstone Public Library	Present	Vice Chair
Al Matecko	Happy Valley Public Library	Present	Chair
Jacquie Siewert-Schade	Lake Oswego Public Library	Absent (excused)	
Karol Miller	Ledding Library of Milwaukie	Present	Alternate – Krista Downs was absent (excused)
VACANT	Molalla Public Library		
Nick Dierckman	Oregon City Public Library	Present	
Kathleen Draine	Sandy / Hoodland Public Library	Present	
Aeric Estep	West Linn Public Library	Present	
Megan Chuinard	Wilsonville Public Library	Present	

Others Present

NAME	NOTES
Pat Duke	Director, Wilsonville Public Library
Denise Fonseca	Canby LDAC Alternate
Jack Frick	Resident of Sandy, OR
Irene Green	Director, Canby Public Library
Doris Grolbert	Director, Happy Valley Public Library
Thelma Haggenmiller	Resident of Oak Grove, OR
Brian Hodson	Mayor, City of Canby
Melissa Kelly	Director, Lake Oswego Public Library
Michele Kinnamon	Director, Estacada Public Library
Kathryn Kohl	Manager, Clackamas County Library Network LDAC Liaison
Tony Konkol	City Manager, City of Oregon City

NAME	NOTES
Jan Lindstrom	Resident, Concord Task Force member
Sarah McIntyre	Director, Sandy and Hoodland Public Libraries
Diane Morrow	Happy Valley Library Board member, Happy Valley LDAC Alternate
Katie Newell	Director, Ledding Library of Milwaukie
Mitzi Olson	Manager, Oak Lodge Library Director, Gladstone Public Library
Rick Peterson	Library Manager, West Linn Public Library
Roger Reif	Canby Library Board member
Paul Savas	Clackamas County Commissioner
Martha Schrader	Clackamas County Commissioner
Leslie Shirk	Oak Lodge LDAC Alternate
Kristine Simpson	Resident of Canby, OR
Greg Williams	Deputy Director, Clackamas County Business and Community Services
Laura Zentner	Director, Clackamas County Business and Community Services

Call to order, introductions, and roll call

A quorum being present, the meeting was called to order by Chair Al M. at 7:00 PM.

New Business:

Canby /Oregon City service area maps discussion:

Denise Fonseca and Kathleen Myron shared a handout (attached to these minutes) entitled "The Canby Public Library / Oregon City Public Library Service Area Dispute" with the group which included the following:

- a list of statements from the Canby Public Library Board (not dated).
- a letter Denise Fonseca sent to Commissioner Paul Savas on September 17, 2019,
- a letter dated August 16, 2019 from Samuel (Trip) Goodall, the Superintendent of the Canby School District, to the Board of County Commissioners.
- Five pages from the March 6, 2016 report by Himmel & Wilson, Library Consultant (specifically the cover page, pages 5 and 7 of the report, and two pages with the maps for the Oregon City Library Service Area and the Canby Library Service Area which were included in the report).

Denise Fonseca presented about Canby Library funding concerns. She read aloud the letter she wrote to Commissioner Savas on 9/17/19 which was included in the handout.

Kathleen Myron shared the statement from the Canby Public Library Board (which was included in pages one and two of the handout).

Denise Fonseca moved that "we as LDAC members acknowledge that the cities of Canby and Oregon City have met to discuss the dispute involving their respective library service boundaries. LDAC requests that the Board of County Commissioners direct County staff to provide technical information requested by the cities, and support the efforts of the two cities to develop, outside the library task force process, a resolution to the dispute." (this statement was included on the 2nd page of the handout). The motion was not seconded.

Highlights from the ensuing discussion include:

- Tony Konkol, City Manager for Oregon City, relayed that he had met with staff from Canby regarding the boundary concern, and had shared his desire to examine the boundaries as part of the Library District Task Force. He expressed he did not support the proposed motion, but reiterated his support for an examination of service area boundaries as part of the Library District Task Force's work. He expressed concern about relying on school district boundaries to define library service areas, and shared that some Gladstone residents are served by the Oregon City School District.
- There was conversation about how the original service area maps were determined.
- There was discussion about LDAC's role in reviewing service area boundaries.
    - Al M. read aloud from section 3.4 (Changes in District Territory) from the Library District IGA where it states "…the District Advisory Committee shall review the Service Area Maps and the Formula and recommend any amendments to this Agreement necessary to adjust for such changes" and it was subsequently clarified that this section applies to annexation or withdrawal of territory from the District. Greg W. mentioned that it is his understanding that LDAC would review the maps only in these situations. Kathleen D. did not agree with this interpretation.
    - Al M. observed that LDAC, as an advisory body doesn't have the power to make the maps, but could provide recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners.
- Commissioner Savas expressed interest in gathering more details about the history of district formation. He spoke about how the intent of the Library District is to make cooperative and collaborative decisions and how all the cities in the County are doing their best to serve their constituents.
- Kathleen M. shared her experience living in Clackamas County, and recalled the tough situations Oregon City and Canby have faced. She expressed concern about waiting until the start of the Library District Task Force to address these issues. She expressed surprise and disappointment at the reaction of LDAC members during this meeting, and feels that Canby is only asking for a return of funding/service area from Oregon City.
- Al M. suggested that administration in Canby and Oregon City could sit down to discuss. Tony reiterated a preference for discussing boundary issues in the Library District Task Force. Other LDAC members expressed empathy for Canby's situation.
- It was shared that the topic of service area maps could be discussed as a top priority for the Library District Task Force. There is nothing to prevent this from happening. Tony

K. expressed support for examination of library service area maps being prioritized by the task force.

- Commissioner Savas thanked the group for bringing these issues forward and is committed to the work of the Library District Task Force.
- Denise F. said that Canby is looking at all their options right now to sustain the library, and will provide any information needed for the task force.
- Karol M. asked if the original Library District maps were created based on data, and Doris G. mentioned they were data driven with negotiation.
- There was a discussion about the need for a 2/3rds vote versus a 100% vote – as related to Section 3.3 of the Library District IGA. The threshold required for any change would need to be determined based on the type of amendment being proposed.
- Greg W. shared his concern that a change in one library's service area could have cascading effects which could impact all district libraries.
- Irene G. shared that she had emphasized, at the meeting between the Oregon City and Canby administrators and Library Directors, that Canby could not wait for the recommendations from the Library District Task Force.
- Megan C. mentioned the plan for the task force and how it is an open process and an opportunity to discuss the issues we have been discussing during this meeting and how it is really challenging to come to a decision about Canby's issue in one evening. She mentioned that the task force will likely want to discuss how some cities are able to contribute financially to their library and some are not. She also mentioned that the original motion was made by an alternate LDAC member, and would need to be made by the primary member instead.
- Doris G. expressed that she understands the urgency and looking at data is problematic because the usage data will change due to Canby's closure on Sundays. She suggested we may need to look at past usage data for a more accurate reflection. She also stated that we are still a County of haves and have nots where some cities can or cannot contribute to their libraries, and the whole idea of the district was to make sure that every library had enough service population to sustain library services.
- Al M. suggested having a conversation between Commissioner Savas, Oregon City representatives and Canby representatives before the next fiscal year. Commissioner Savas reiterated his commitment to exploring options and understanding the district maps. Tony K. expressed that he is always willing to sit down and discuss. Mayor Hodson, from Canby, expressed his appreciation for the presentations from Canby representatives and welcomes future data and conversations on this topic.
- Al M. proposed that this topic be prioritized by the task force.
- Sarah M. mentioned that when the District was created we created it at the lowest possible rate to sustain us at that time, but we knew that it wouldn't be sufficient in ten years. She had to reduce the hours at Hoodland by eight hours a week, and this issue is coming for all of us.
- **Kathleen M. said that she would like to officially withdraw the motion and move forward with Commissioner Savas' plan to research the history and have a conversation**

about the findings with Oregon City and Canby. Mayor Hodson, Tony K., Commissioner Savas, and Commissioner Schrader supported future conversations.

Approval of minutes

Megan C. moved to approve the minutes as submitted. Connie R. seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Reports/Presentations

Concord Property and Library Planning Task Force update

Greg W. shared a document with group entitled "Master Plan Process for Oak Lodge and Gladstone Community Project" dated 9/4/2019 and updated the group on the current status of the task forces.

- The groups are meeting regularly, and the scope of work has been put together for the architectural contract with Opsis Architecture, and has been reviewed with the task forces. There were concerns and questions brought up and there was a special meeting of the task force to address those concerns/questions.
- We are moving forward with the contract and it is going to the Board of County Commissioners on Thursday, 9/26/19, for approval.
- The handout is a general timeline, with the four phases described: technical investigation, needs assessment/programming, alternatives analysis, and preferred alternatives and refinement. At the "alternatives analysis" phase the decision will be made about whether the Oak Lodge Library will be sited on the Concord Property, but that decision will not delay the Gladstone library project.
- Grover B. mentioned he is enjoying the robust community engagement.
- Leslie S. mentioned concern about the decision making criteria related to the locating of the Oak Lodge Library being spelled out as an action item under the needs assessment phase, and Greg W. said he would pass that feedback along to the task force.
- Natalie S. shared that work is moving along nicely, and shared that staff and the design team are really listening to the task force to hear the issues and keep moving forward.
- Kathleen D. asked about the concern related to capital constraints, and Greg W. shared that timing and funding were discussed at the last joint task force meeting, and the County is committed to moving things forward for Gladstone regardless of the decision related to the Oak Lodge Library. With regard to funding Greg. W. shared that we have estimates of cost and there is a realization that there is likely a funding gap, but the County has committed to building those two libraries based on the settlement agreement with Gladstone.

Library District Task Force update

Kathryn K. and Greg W. shared two handouts related to the Library District Task Force – a graphic depiction of the flow of the meetings, and a seven (7) page document entitled "Library District Task Force Timelines" dated 9/16/2019 which provides a framework for the work ahead with a possible plan for 16 meetings.

Updates from Kathryn K. and Greg W.:

- We are talking with our Clackamas County Dispute Resolution Services office to arrange for facilitation of the meetings. We will have professional facilitators to help move the work forward.
- We are committed to moving forward as quickly as we can.
- Greg W. went through the overall plan for the meetings, and the suggested agendas. Any changes the task force wants can likely be accommodated.
- Greg W. and Kathryn K. are continuing to meet with cities and a message will be sent out soon to all cities requesting selection of task force members.
- LDAC will have sufficient time to review the task force's recommendations and prepare any supplemental recommendations prior to the final presentation of task force recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners.
- There is nothing preventing the task force from proposing a recommendation earlier than at the conclusion of the task force.
- If you have the feedback on the timeline, please email Kathryn Kohl (kkohl@clackamas.us).
- The timeline will be shared with the task force when it is finalized.
- The topic of service area maps could be included in the Library Services subcommittee.

Questions/suggestions from LDAC members:

- Al M. suggested that perhaps it is ambitious to start in October/November and suggested that it may take longer based on the availability of cities. Grover B. suggested that it might be more realistic to consider January, 2020 considering the availability of cities and the holidays.
- Kathleen D. asked for the assessed values of the properties in unincorporated areas.
- From the audience, Jack F. asked that it be included in the minutes that the Library District Task force was commissioned by the Board of County Commissioners based on a request from LDAC.
- Denise F. requested that deadlines be applied for getting the members selected and the meetings started.
- Greg W. proposed that Kathryn, Greg, and Al, will look at dates (taking into consideration City Council meetings) and the recognition that the 4th Monday of the month (the standard LDAC meeting time) may not work well for the task force.

Next steps:

- Kathryn K. will email out information to all the cities requesting their selection of task force members with a deadline of 12/1/2019.

- Kathryn K., Greg W., and Al M. will look at possible dates for either December or January meeting, and a possible Doodle Poll for a given week(s) in either December or January.

Old Business

Annual Progress Report status
Kathryn updated the group that she will be making the final changes (based on the last meeting) and will sending out the final versions to the Directors in October. LDAC would like to receive a copy as well.

Scheduling next meeting

Al M. proposed that we not meet again until the 4th Monday in January (1/27/2020), and the group agreed.

Adjournment

Natalie S. moved to adjourn the meeting, Connie R. seconded, the motion passed unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 9:12 PM.

-Minutes submitted by Kathryn Kohl

THE CANBY PUBLIC LIBRARY /OREGON CITY PUBLIC LIBRARY SERVICE AREA DISPUTE

1. **At the July 1, 2019, city managers meeting, administrators and managers agreed that library service areas and the formula for library funding are two separate elements of the IGA. All agreed that, according to the IGA, a two-thirds vote is needed to change service area boundaries and a 100% vote is needed to change the formula.**

2. **At the same meeting, city managers recommended that Canby and Oregon City meet and work on a resolution of the disputed service area boundaries, as the dispute does not affect others cities.** On August 20, 2019, Canby's City Manager, Assistant City Manager, City Attorney, and Library Director, met with Oregon City's City Manager and Library Director. Both cities requested county staff provide financial information (specifically, assessed values of homes in two census tracts) to support their efforts on a resolution to the dispute. The request for information has been repeatedly denied by county staff. The County response has been that the District Task Force will be addressing library boundaries.

3. **Canby just wants the share of the district distribution to which we are entitled, and is asking each city/library in Clackamas County to do what's right.** The IGA states that service areas are based on distance, roads, rivers, travel patterns, etc. and are intended to reflect where people are most likely to receive library service. The Canby Library serves most of the areas within the Canby School District including Carus Elementary. The area northeast of the Canby city limits (which includes Carus Elementary) also receives services from Canby Fire District and DirectLink (Canby's internet service provider). Oregon City provides no services to this area other than including it in their library district but benefits from the tax dollars allocated to that area.

4. **Canby needs the maps addressed now.** We brought up the issue of the disparity in our service boundary at several LDAC meetings over the past two years. Our concern was continuously disregarded because of the belief a 100% vote of the cities was needed. Now that we know only a two-thirds vote is needed, this issue must not be delayed any further. We are now closed on Sundays, staff have been cut, and we anticipate further cuts. We cannot wait for the Big Task Force to conclude their recommendations nor for the 2020 Census results. **This is not a budget issue but a funding issue.**

5. **The IGA incorporated other recommendations from the report "An Independent Review of the Clackamas County Library System" by library consultants Himmel and Wilson.** Below are examples of the recommendations:
   a. Libraries operate as city libraries, but receive their primary operating funds from the county. *(applied to the IGA)*
   b. Clackamas County would support LINCC on an ongoing basis*. (applied to the IGA)*
   c. Municipalities would provide library facilities and shall expend the entire revenue directly related to library services. *(applied to the IGA)*
   d. Clackamas County Town Center Corner Library become Happy Valley *(applied to the IGA)*
   e. Hoodland operates as a branch of Sandy *(applied to the IGA)*
   f. The county would actively seek an agreement with Gladstone to create a Gladstone/Oak Lodge combination. *(applied to the IGA)*
   g. The consultants raised concerns about the formula and stated: "Since the formula places emphasis on population, it is important that service populations used for each library be as accurate as possible. The consultants prefer an approach to service populations allocations based on actual usage. A careful analysis of the distribution of usage that depends on an analysis of use maps such as the ones the consultants have provided could provide more accurate service populations. This process of analyzing users should be performed on a regular basis". (Page 7) *(**not** applied to the IGA but should be)*

   The maps of service area boundaries were created by the consultants in 2006 and adjusted in 2008-2009 when the district was created. In over 10 years, the maps have never been reviewed, despite regular review being recommended. The service boundary between Canby and Oregon City must be corrected immediately and all boundaries should be reviewed on a regular basis in the future.

Canby requests that the members of LDAC make the motion below:

I MOVE THAT WE AS LDAC MEMBERS ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE CITIES OF CANBY AND OREGON CITY HAVE MET TO DISCUSS THE DISPUTE INVOLVING THEIR RESPECTIVE LIBRARY SERVICE BOUNDARIES. LDAC REQUESTS THAT THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DIRECT COUNTY STAFF TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE CITIES, AND SUPPORT THE EFFORTS OF THE TWO CITIES TO DEVELOP, OUTSIDE THE LIBRARY TASK FORCE PROCESS, A RESOLUTION TO THE DISPUTE.

Thank you!
The Canby Public Library Board

September 17, 2019

Commissioner Paul Savas
Board of County Commissioners
2051 Kaen Road
Oregon City, OR 97045

Dear Commissioner Savas,

My name is Denise Fonseca. I am one of the newest members of the Library Board for the Canby Public Library. I hear you are not only savvy when it comes to the topic of libraries, but that you are a very busy person. So, to not take up too much of your time, let me paint you a picture of my concern.

Way back in 2006, the Clackamas County Commission adjusted Canby's library district map to allow the donation of our library tax dollars to help Oregon City's library stay afloat. This was done with the understanding, by all parties, that the maps would be restored once Oregon City could stand on its feet. Canby, as this "blood" donor to Oregon City, has not only allowed Oregon City to grow up big and strong but it has given blood so long that we are experiencing organ failure (lay-offs, lower pay, and closing). Clackamas County is the only doctor that can order these donations to stop, however, it is asking Canby to wait till a new hospital is built (Library Task Force) so a committee of newly assembled doctors (Task Force board), that haven't yet been chosen or trained, can decide to stop the drain on a withering patient!

Yes, Commissioner, Canby is at a critical state. We do not have time to wait and every moment we suffer, our community suffers. We have cut programs that had once fostered the coming together of hungry minds. We've had to destroy bridges to our struggling minorities. Every day we get weaker and weaker. We are asking this issue become your "Emergency Priority!" You, our Board of County Commissioners are not only prepared to address this, but have the sole power to save us!

I would like to personally meet with you, present you with a bit of a visual background, and answer what questions you have. Would you be available for a short meeting, maybe immediately prior to the next LDAC meeting?

Thank you for your time. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Denise Fonseca, Board Member
Canby Public Library
canbylibraryboard@canby.gov

Superintendent Samuel (Trip) Goodall
1130 S Ivy Street
Canby, OR 97013
503-266-7861 • fax 503-266-0022
www.canby.k12.or.us

August 16, 2019

Board of County Commissioners
2051 Kaen Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045

Re: Letter of support for the Canby Public Library service areas to include Carus Elementary

Dear Board of County Commissioners:

I am writing in support of the Canby Public Library's endeavor to petition the County to review and revise the maps to include Carus Elementary as part of the Canby Public Library's service area. The Canby Public Library is the library where students and teachers in the Canby School District are most likely to receive library service. The librarian and outreach coordinator regularly corresponds with teachers and school librarians in each of our schools, including Carus Elementary, and have worked with our school district in this capacity since the library district was formed in 2009.

As superintendent I strongly support the Canby Public Library's efforts and agree that Carus Elementary should be integrated into the library's service district. The Canby Public Library staff has been very gracious to provide these services to the Carus Elementary school community even though they receive no additional resources for doing it. I hope you'll agree that the Canby Public Library's service maps should be an accurate representation of their commitment to all schools in the Canby School District.

If you need any further information, please feel free to contact my office at 503-266-0019.

Respectfully,

Samuel (Trip) Goodall
Superintendent

Canby School District Board of Directors
Stefani Carlson • Dawn Depner • Angi Dilkes • Sara Magenheimer • Tom Scott • Rob Sheveland • Mike Zagyva

An Independent Review of the Clackamas County Library System

Prepared
for
The Clackamas County Commission
Oregon City, Oregon

by
Himmel & Wilson, Library Consultants



Milton, Wisconsin
March 6, 2006

what is at stake, they will mobilize to fight for the modest local funding required under this plan.

Under the partnership model, the County would gradually disengage from providing direct library services. Incentives would be provided to encourage the development of a combined Oak Lodge/Gladstone facility operated by the City of Gladstone and a Town Center/Happy Valley facility operated by the City of Happy Valley. In an ideal world, in order to maintain reasonable access from a geographic standpoint, a small library would continue to operate in the Welches/Hoodland area. Since it would not be cost-effective for the County to operate a single small facility, an alternative method would need to be devised to deal with this situation. There are several possibilities. One would be that the County could contract with Sandy to operate a branch facility in the Hoodland area. Another possibility would be the establishment of a Brightwood/Welches or Hoodland area library district to operate a library. A third possibility would be the formation of a district that included Sandy as well as other territory to the east.

The short and medium-range prospects for libraries in Clackamas County are not attractive. The consultants believe that the libraries in the County are very close to what might be seen as a "tipping point" in regard to holding the fabric of universal access to public library service together. In fact, some libraries, most notably Oregon City, which has suffered cuts in both municipal and County support, may be past the tipping point. In our opinion, the Oregon City Public Library is currently hanging on by a thread and is in what one focus group participant accurately referred to as a death spiral. Several other libraries will follow Oregon City if the total of funding from all sources continues to wane.

The impact of a collapse of the current countywide system of libraries would be significant. Many libraries (probably five or six if the county branches are included) would either close or be forced to become marginal libraries operated with donations and volunteers. Resource sharing between and among libraries would likely crumble. The individual libraries would probably assess user fees on non-residents, creating a situation in which those with financial resources in the County have access to library services and information resources and those less fortunate do not. It is particularly discouraging to recognize that some of the children who could benefit from libraries the most would likely not have access to them.

The $ 6,385,000 in County funding that has been proposed for FY 2006/07 may or may not be beyond the tipping point from a countywide standpoint; however, if it is not beyond the point where irreparable harm is done, it is at least very close to it. Depending on whether population estimates from Portland State University's Population Research Center or from Claritas (a commercial provider of demographic data) are used, the $ 6.385 million represents somewhere between $ 17.54 and $ 17.67 per capita. This level of support for library service

intervals that would move the formula first to a 45% population/55% circulation division and then to a 50%/50% ratio.

Chart 1 below shows a comparison of the recommended FY 06/07 fund distribution and the actual FY 05/06 fund distribution. The recommendation would be painful for several libraries and would help a few others. However, the consultants believe that all of the libraries would survive (although not all happily) under this formula.

There is one concern that should be raised regarding the recommended formula. Since the formula places greater emphasis on population, it becomes more important that the service populations used for each library be as accurate as possible. The consultants prefer an approach to service population allocation that is based on actual usage. A careful analysis of the distribution of usage that depends on an analysis of use maps such as the ones the consultants have provided could provide more accurate service population. This process would need to be repeated on a regular basis to monitor shifts in user behaviors.

	Proposed 06-07 Distribution	Actual 05-06 Distribution	% up/dn	$ up/dn
Canby	$ 321,818	$ 299,141	7.6%	$ 22,677
County (Oak Lodge)	$ 350,927	$ 354,630	-1.0%	$ (3,703)
Estacada	$ 282,386	$ 306,475	-7.9%	$ (24,088)
Gladstone	$ 275,392	$ 267,996	2.8%	$ 7,396
Hoodland	$ 104,516	$ 115,637	-9.6%	$ (11,121)
Lake Oswego	$ 926,839	$ 992,590	-6.6%	$ (65,751)
Milwaukie	$ 475,289	$ 476,956	-0.3%	$ (1,667)
Molalla	$ 280,267	$ 262,517	6.8%	$ 17,750
Oregon City	$ 595,001	$ 569,052	4.6%	$ 25,949
Sandy	$ 300,712	$ 319,576	-5.9%	$ (18,864)
Town Center	$ 594,720	$ 549,551	8.2%	$ 45,169
West Linn	$ 485,829	$ 525,148	-7.5%	$ (39,319)
Wilsonville	$ 423,542	$ 437,232	-3.1%	$ (13,690)
	$ 5,417,237	$ 5,476,500	-1.1%	$ (59,263)
County	$ 1,050,163	$ 1,019,818	3.0%	$ 30,345
	06-07	05-06	up/dn	
Total cities	$ 4,367,074	$ 4,456,682	-2.0%	$ (89,608)
Total county	$ 1,050,163	$ 1,019,818	3.0%	$ 30,345
Library distrib.	$ 5,417,237	$ 5,476,500	-1.1%	
NT expense	$ 1,192,619	$ 999,669	19.3%	
Total Expend.	$ 6,609,856	$ 6,476,169	2.1%	

Chart 1 – Proposed FY 06/07 Distribution


Oregon City Library Service Area
Legend
Places
County Courthouse
City Hall
Hospital
Airport
Park / Recreation
Golf Course
Boat Launch
Winter Recreation
Cemetery
Library
Ferry Crossing
Swimming
Oregon Trail Interp. Center
Boundaries
City Not Included
City Boundary
Rural Communities
Year 2000 Tract Block Groups
Year 2010 Tract Block Groups
Shared Tract Block Groups
N
CLACKAMAS COUNTY
Gladstone
Damascus
Happy Valley
Canby
Redland
Springwater
HOLCOMB ELEM
CAIS
BEAVER CREEK ELEM


CANBY LIBRARY SERVICE AREA
N
Canby
Molalla
TRBG = 0228002 Total Pop. = 810
TRBG = 0228003 Total Pop. = 708
TRBG = 0228004 Total Pop. = 662
TRBG = 0229011 Total Pop. = 2174
TRBG = 0229021 Total Pop. = 1073
TRBG = 0229051 Total Pop. = 1243
TRBG = 0230001 Total Pop. = 3359
TRBG = 0230002 Total Pop. = 2121
TRBG = 0230003 Total Pop. = 1615
TRBG = 0230021 Total Pop. = 1573
TRBG = 0237001 Total Pop. = 1289
TRBG = 0237002 Total Pop. = 601
TRBG = 0237003 Total Pop. = 1042
TRBG = 0237004 Total Pop. = 1589
TRBG = 0238001 Total Pop. = 1055
TRBG = 0238002 Total Pop. = 1026
TRBG = 0238003 Total Pop. = 805
TRBG = 0238004 Total Pop. = 2568
TRBG = 0238005 Total Pop. = 1190
TRBG = 0239001 Total Pop. = 1085
TRBG = 0239002 Total Pop. = 1931
TRBG = 0239004 Total Pop. = 952
TRBG = 0239021 Total Pop. = 2165
TRBG = 0239022 Total Pop. = 1476
TRBG = 0239023 Total Pop. = 1189
TRBG = 0240001 Total Pop. = 1946
TRBG = 0240002 Total Pop. = 1189
TRBG = 0241002 Total Pop. = 1726
Places
County Courthouse
City Hall
Hospital
Airport
Cemetery
Park / Recreation
Golf Course
Boat Launch
Winter Recreation
Library
Ferry Crossing
Swimming
Oregon Trail Interp. Center
Boundaries
City Not Included
City Boundary
Rural Communities
County Boundary
Year 2000 Tract Block Groups
Year 2010 Tract Block Groups
Shared Tract Block Groups
CLACKAMAS COUNTY