

# VOTERS' PAMPHLET

## NOVEMBER 7, 2017

## CITY OF HAPPY VALLEY SPECIAL ELECTION

Clackamas County Elections Division 1710 Red Soils Ct., Ste. 100 Oregon City, OR 97045

www.clackamas.us/elections

503.655.8510

## SHERRY HALL, County Clerk

### CONTENTS

| Election Information    | 1-2  |
|-------------------------|------|
| Measure Information     | 3    |
| Arguments in Favor      | .3-7 |
| Arguments in Opposition | .7-8 |

### BALLOT DROP SITES

Your voted ballot may be dropped off at any of the following locations. Outdoor drop boxes are locked at 8:00 p.m. on Election Day.

> Clackamas County Elections 1710 Red Soils Ct., Ste. 100 Oregon City, OR 97045 24 Hours (outdoor) Office Hours (indoor) Mon - Thurs. 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Fri 8:30 a.m. - 3:00 p.m.

Lake Oswego City Hall 380 A Ave. Ste. 3, Lake Oswego 24 Hours (outdoor)

Lake Oswego Library 706 4th St., Lake Oswego Office Hours (indoor) Mon - Thu 10:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m. Fri - Sat - 10:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. Sun 1:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m

Happy Valley City Hall 16000 S.E. Misty Dr., Happy Valley 24 Hours (outdoor)

Happy Valley Library 13793 SE Sieben Park Way, Clackamas Office Hours (indoor) Mon - 10:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. Tue - Thu 12:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m. Fri, Sat 10:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. Sun - 10:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m.

West Linn City Hall 22500 Salamo Rd., West Linn 24 Hours (outdoor)

West Linn Library 1595 Burns St., West Linn Office Hours (indoor) Mon - Wed 10:00 a.m. - 8:00 p.m. Thu, Fri 10:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. Sat, Sun 12:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.



### Office of the County Clerk

SHERRY HALL

CLERK 1710 RED SOILS CT, SUITE 100 OREGON CITY, OR 97045 503.655.8510 FAX 503.655.8461

Dear Clackamas County Voter:

This Voters' Pamphlet contains information designed to assist you in voting: ballot titles, explanatory statements and arguments pertaining to local measures which appear on the November 7, 2017 Special Election ballot in Clackamas County. Please remember, in order to vote on a measure, you must be an active registered voter of the jurisdiction placing the measure on the ballot.

Your voted ballot must be received at an official ballot drop site or at the Elections Office, 1710 Red Soils Ct., Ste. 100, in Oregon City by 8:00 p.m. on election night in order to be tallied. Remember, the postmark on a mailed ballot does NOT count.

Results are available on the County Clerk's election webpage after 8:00 p.m. on election night. The web address is www.clackamas.us/ elections/results.html.

Official ballot drop sites are listed on the front of this pamphlet. Indoor drop boxes will be available during regular business hours (and outdoor drop boxes are available 24 hours a day) beginning Wednesday, October 18 until 8:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 7, 2017.

Ballots will be delivered to households between Wednesday, October 18 and Tuesday, October 24. Please contact us if you have not received a ballot by October 24.

If a ballot was delivered to your residence for someone who should no longer be receiving ballots at your address, please write "RETURN" on the envelope and place it back in your mailbox. If a ballot was sent to someone who has passed away, please write "DECEASED" on the envelope and place it back in your mailbox.

If you need assistance voting or have any questions about this particular election or the election process, please call the Elections Division at 503.655.8510.

Sincerely

Sherry Hall/ Clackamas County Clerk

### **VOTER INFORMATION**

YOU MAY REGISTER TO VOTE IF:

1. You are a resident of Oregon;

2. You are at least 17 years of age (though you will not receive a ballot until an election occurs on or after your 18th birthday), and

3. You are a United States citizen.

YOU MUST UPDATE YOUR REGISTRATION IF:

- 1. Your residence or mailing address changes;
- 2. Your name changes, or
- 3. You wish to change political affiliation.

To be eligible to vote, you must be registered by the close of business on the 21st day before an election (October 17, 2017).

If you make a mistake or damage your ballot in any way and need a replacement, or if you have questions on registration or updating your registration, contact the Elections Division by calling **503.655.8510**.

If a ballot was delivered to your residence for someone who should no longer be receiving ballots at that address, please write "RETURN" on the unopened envelope and put it back in your mailbox.

If a ballot was sent to someone at your address who has passed away, please write "DECEASED" on the unopened envelope and place it in your mailbox to be returned to Elections.

Your voted ballot must be received in any county Elections office or ballot drop site by 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, Tuesday, November 7, 2017. If you return your ballot by mail, remember: the postmark does not count.

If, instead of mailing your ballot, you'd prefer to take it to an **Official Ballot Drop Site**, the addresses can be found elsewhere in this pamphlet.

Measure Text and Arguments (if any) are printed as filed; no spelling or grammatical corrections are made.

#### CAPTION: PROPOSAL TO ANNEX 12.33 ACRES INTO HAPPY VALLEY

**QUESTION:** Should 12.33 acres be annexed to the City of Happy Valley?

**SUMMARY:** Approval of this measure will annex 12.33 acres to the City. The area to be annexed includes private property and a portion of Johnson Creek Boulevard. The City received signed annexation petitions from the property owners requesting annexation of the privately-owned properties. The proposed annexation was approved by the Planning Commission and the City Council. This measure was then placed on the ballot by a referendum petition.

The privately-owned property seeking to be annexed is located generally at the northwest corner of the intersection of Johnson Creek Boulevard and Bristol Park Drive. The portion of Johnson Creek Boulevard seeking to be annexed abuts said private property and runs to the City limits.

If annexed, the property will be zoned mixed use commercial, but will have limits placed on it related to commercial and residential use to only that of an assisted living facility/senior housing development. If adopted, the measure would also amend the City's comprehensive plan map to implement these changes.

### EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

Approval of this measure will annex 12.33 acres to the City. The area to be annexed includes private property and a portion of Johnson Creek Boulevard. The City received signed annexation petitions from the property owners requesting annexation of the privately owned properties. The proposed annexation was approved by the Planning Commission and City Council. This measure was then placed on the ballot by a referendum petition.

The privately owned property seeking to be annexed is located generally at the northwest comer of the intersection of Johnson Creek Boulevard and Bristol Park Drive. The portion of Johnson Creek Boulevard seeking to be annexed abuts said private property and runs to the City limits.

If annexed, the property will be zoned mixed use commercial, but will have limits placed on it related to commercial and residential use to only that of an assisted living facility/senior housing development. In addition, if annexation is approved, other conditions will be placed on the development of the assisted living facility/senior housing such as building height limitations.

If adopted, the measure would also amend the City's comprehensive plan map to implement these changes.

The Clackamas County Assessor's Map description of the proposed properties to be annexed are as follows: 1 2E 28 AD: Tax Lot 10200 including two Tracts (Tract " I" and Tract " K") totaling approximately 7.04 acres of land; Tax Lot 10500 (Tract W) with approximately 0.29 acres of land; Tax Lot 10600 (Tract M) with approximately 1.29 acres of land; Tax Lot 10800 (Tract 0) with approximately 0. 53 acres of land; and Tax Lot 10900 (Tract P) with approximately 0. 21 acres of land.

A copy of the final order adopted by the City Council approving the proposed annexation may be obtained by contacting the City Recorder 503-783-3800.

Submitted by Kara Kerpan City of Happy Valley City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

#### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR Vote YES on M3-518!

We are Happy Valley Citizens and Taxpayers and are encouraging a YES vote for many reasons.

City of Happy Valley citizens voted by a 75% margin in 2008 to task the City Council with the annexation approval process. We are paying for an election because people who do not reside in, nor pay taxes to the City of Happy Valley want to override that decision by the citizens.

The City Council reviewed the annexation application with information provided by our city staff to ensure that the application was proper and followed the regulations. After thorough review, they approved the annexation.

The opponents were offered a chance to buy the property so they could control its future, but they <u>declined to use their own</u> <u>money</u> to purchase it.

While paying no City of Happy Valley taxes, the opponents of the annexation benefit from the services and facilities the city provides for us. Their neighborhood has 1 very small park for hundreds of homes and residents. The first police officer responding to calls in their neighborhood is very often a Happy Valley officer, because we pay extra taxes to have increased law enforcement available.

The Retirement Living Community proposed will be an asset to our citizens both as a taxpaying member of our community, and a resource available for those of us who desire to remain in Happy Valley, but want a location with more services so we can remain independent in our later years.

It remains unknown why some oppose Senior Citizens living among us. All of us will be looking for options as our lives transition to a later phase. We should welcome those who are providing those options for today's seniors, and those of tomorrow. A retirement community filled with active, valuable senior citizens can only improve our Happy Valley community.

Vote YES on M3-518!

Thank you for your support.

Happy Valley Citizens for Progress

(This information furnished by Jim Syring, Happy Valley Citizens for Progress)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

#### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR Yes on Measure 3-518

As we mature, many of us will be looking for options as to where to spend our later years. Some of us will remain in our homes, some will downsize, and some will join the growing numbers who reside in retirement communities that allow both freedom and community, while providing support services that can prolong our independence.

The retirement community being proposed on the property under consideration for annexation is another of those options. It isn't a convalescent center, care facility, or a rest home. It is a very nice retirement community available to senior citizens who make the choice to live there.

I cannot understand the opposition to this annexation. The opponents aren't in the City of Happy Valley, and their complaints about this new facility seem overblown to the point of selfish dishonesty.

The opponents say that the residents would be in danger during inclement weather, but if the danger is so high, why do they and their families live there?

They claim the traffic will be terrible, but while these are active senior citizens, they surely won't use the roads as much as the homes or the apartments right next door to the site.

We should support choices for senior citizens. If we deny seniors the chance to live as they choose now, what choices will we be denied later?

The elderly citizens in this retirement community will help us support the services the City of Happy Valley provides, and will be active participants in our community. The opponents of this measure use our parks, our police services, and other facilities for free.

I am voting <u>Yes on Measure 3-518</u>, and I ask you to join me. We should welcome these senior citizens, not exclude them.

Brian Gosney

Happy Valley Citizen

(This information furnished by Brian Gosney)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

Vote YES on M3-518!

To all City of Happy Valley Voters,

We are proud new residents of the City of Happy Valley. We pay Happy Valley city taxes and our family uses the numerous services that Happy Valley provides.

We urge you to please read up on and understand the background and potential ramifications of this election.

#### The basic issue here is UNPRECEDENTED.

A Neighborhood that **IS NOT** in the City of Happy Valley, **DOES NOT** pay Happy Valley City taxes, and is choosing to permanently remain **OUT OF** the City of Happy Valley, is imposing their will **ON US**, the Citizens of the City of Happy Valley. It's that simple.

Only City residents are voting on this issue. Why? Because the adjacent Altamont neighborhood that is **NOT** in the CITY **OF HAPPY VALLEY** doesn't want a property owner who is following the law, to annex into our city and build a senior living facility. Current Altamont homeowners are using our parks, our roads, and our city services, and <u>ARE NOT</u> paying for them, yet they feel they can impose their will on us.

The property owner requesting annexation is proposing to build a beautiful senior living community on their property. Who will be living there? Our parents, our grandparents, our veterans, our neighbors, and someday...some of <u>us</u>. Senior living is a vital, important, and necessary part of our community. The day that citizens start turning away senior living in our neighborhoods is a day that will begin the downturn of our community.

Happy Valley residents already voted **YES** overwhelmingly to allow our council to approve all annexations. Our council approved this annexation and this senior living community.

Please support our Seniors. Please support our City. Please support our Voters. Please support our City Council. Please support Progress. Vote YES on M3-518!

#### Jim and Lyn Syring

Happy Valley City Residents

(This information furnished by Jim and Lyn Syring) The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

### City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

#### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

To All Happy Valley Voters:

I would like to give you some compelling reasons on why you should **VOTE YES on Ballot Measure 3-518**.

We have lived in the Altamont Neighborhood for 19 wonderful years across the street from the proposed annexation. The reasons we support this annexation are as follows:

In the year 2030, one in five US residents will be age 65 and older, requiring more care facilities for this age group. The upscale retirement living facility that Prestige Care proposes to build in Altamont will compliment and complete this neighborhood. It will also be available to neighborhood residents who might need their services and wish to stay in this desirable location. Also, Oregon Health & Sciences University (OHSU) listed Prestige as "A preferred long-term care provider" which appeared in *The Columbian* on June 29, 2014.

From what we have read on www.trulia.com and other websites, elderly care facilities (including single family homes converted to care facilities) or larger care complexes, **do not** have a negative effect on property values in a neighborhood, in fact it is just the opposite. We also feel that with the additional security Prestige will provide for their clientele, it will increase the "safety" level for those of us who live on SE Bristol Park Drive. Elements with criminal intent such as smashing car windows, theft of items from our front yards, breaking into vehicles and getting garage door openers, and illegal fireworks, have all occurred in the area over the past several years. The presence of the Prestige Complex will make our neighborhood more secure. Right now, the Prestige property is bare grass. With seven acres of dry grass across from our houses and adjacent to the Altamont Summit Apartments, it doesn't give one a comfortable feeling during our recent hot summers.

Happy Valley Voters, I encourage you to **Support Measure 3-518.** 

Betty Robbins Altamont Neighborhood

(This information furnished by Betty Robbins) The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

#### Vote YES on Measure 3-518

The City of Happy Valley currently has approximately 68 Homeowners Association groups within the city limits of Happy Valley. I am the current President of one of those Homeowners Associations. We vote in City elections, we pay for City services, and we all work together with our City Council and Staff for the betterment of our community.

This election is about an annexation opposed by a Homeowners Association that is not even in our city limits. They don't pay our city taxes, they don't vote in our city elections, and yet they feel that they can decide who can and cannot annex to our city. That decision is not up to their preferences, it's up to our City Council who we have asked to review and decide on annexations. If they want input on annexations, they should join our city and help pay for the services that they are already using for free. Instead, they are forcing us to pay for an election because they don't like the decision our city council made according to the rules.

My neighborhood is mostly in the City of Happy Valley and we are welcoming with open arms adjacent neighbors who used to be in the City of Damascus and are now annexing to our City. The City Council is approving the annexation of these property owners as they willingly choose to join our city to be a positive part of our City of Happy Valley community and help pay for our services.

I encourage a **YES vote on Measure 3-518** to allow property owners seeking to annex to our City to do so, and to support all Homeowners Associations currently in the City of Happy Valley, not outside Associations who are attempting to control us.

Beau Allen

Happy Valley Citizen, Taxpayer, & Homeowners Association President

(This information furnished by Beau Allen) The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument. City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

Please Vote Yes on M3-518

Great things often start from small beginnings. Prestige Senior Living is no exception. Our family owned and operated local company is based in Vancouver, WA, and was founded in 1985. Our owners' grandmother began caring for seniors in her home in 1946, and Prestige Senior Living proudly continues that tradition.

Today we are a family of 80 Senior Care Communities across the American West. In our search for new sites to serve the growing population of seniors, we discovered Happy Valley. Its beauty and sense of community seemed a perfect match for the core values we live by each and every day: Respect, Integrity, Commitment, and Trust. They are more than words. **"At Prestige Senior Living, our promise is to personally touch lives every day."** 

We strive to fulfill that promise daily to our residents, staff, and neighbors. In addition to the services we provide to our residents, we also work to contribute by our involvement with raising funds for Alzheimer's Association, and Wreaths Across America, among many others. We are proud to support causes that make a difference in the lives of our residents, their families, our staff, and the greater communities we live in.

We look forward to joining the Happy Valley community and bringing good jobs, and revenues to do our part to support the services the City of Happy Valley provides. That is why we have asked to have this property annexed into the City of Happy Valley. More than anything, we want to be a contributing member of a caring community, and do everything we can to make Happy Valley an even greater place to live, work, and play.

We believe that our new Senior Retirement Community would be a valuable asset to Happy Valley, and we ask that you allow us to join you as neighbors by **Voting Yes on M3–518.** 

Thank you.

#### Prestige Senior Living Family

(This information furnished by April Wojcik, Prestige Senior Living)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

#### ARGUMENT IN FAVOR

#### Please Vote YES on M3-518

In 2002, my wife and I wanted to join Happy Valley. We were forced to join Damascus. In 2014, we tried to leave Damascus to join Happy Valley, and we were sued by Damascus before finally being allowed by a court decision to leave Damascus and join Happy Valley.

It is hard to express the anger, frustration, and sense of helplessness that comes with having the right to decide which city your property is a part of taken away by people playing political games with your property. This is why we feel so strongly that the annexation that has been requested by the property owner should be allowed to proceed.

The owners of this property have followed the rules, and now City of Happy Valley people who don't live in the City of Happy Valley want to take away the right of the property owners to decide what is best for Measure 3-518 them. No one is trying to force the opponents to join Happy Valley, but they are trying to force their will on another property ARGUMENT IN FAVOR owner. Playing politics with someone else's property is wrong and un-American. Arguments for Happy Valley Annexation Yes on M3-518 One of the most basic concepts in America is that if you want From Prestige Care: to decide what to do with a property, the way to do that is to buy it. If you don't want to use your money, it is terribly selfish This project is designed to be an upscale facility. Prestige to try to stop someone else who did use their money, and has intends to meet with leaders in the area to see how they can best followed the rules from making decisions for their property. be of service. The Happy Valley Council legally approved this Please Vote YES on M3-518 and allow this property owner to annexation already. join Happy Valley as they have asked to do. Our family fought for years to be able to do just that, and no one should be From Altamont Neighbors: denied the same choice. The people against the project and annexation try to make several Jerry and Kim Schofield arguments supporting their point of view. They fall into two Proud Citizens of Happy Valley...Finally categories: (1) Those that are false, and (2) Those that apply whether or not the facility is built. (This information furnished by Jerry and Kim Schofield) They claim "the facility will operate 24/7/365 and generate The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement commercial delivery and service vehicle trips every day." They also by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy imply that 'vehicles and staff employees will make hundreds of trips or truth of any statements made in the argument. each day and will clog our streets'. The Altamont Neighborhood is not PDX. Opponents don't explain why the 441 Altamont Summit Apartments, a much larger adjacent complex, full of younger City of Happy Valley working adults, create no such problems. Measure 3-518 Another false argument they claim is "this facility will lower homeowners' property values in the area". Recent listings in Bristol ARGUMENT IN FAVOR Park have been about \$500,000, about twice the original price, hardly a loss. Some people listing their properties are the same ones complaining about property value loss. Dear Fellow City of Happy Valley Residents & Voters, The opponents also claim an assisted living facility with winter This ballot measure is nothing more than an attempt to strip a snow and ice on Johnson Creek Boulevard will make driving more property owner of their right to follow the law and do what they dangerous due to more traffic. I think most senior living residents would prefer the facility's transportation. Organizations operating want with their property. Property owners adjacent to our city emergency vehicles prepare to operate under inclement weather should be allowed to annex to the City of Happy Valley if they so conditions to traverse all the hills in Happy Valley. desire. Some in the opposition have argued Prestige Care would not be We don't need outside interests with no stake in this City paying dues to the Altamont HOA. Early on, the HOA considered influencing that decision. The Altamont Neighborhood IS NOT offering Prestige one seat on the board but the offer was never in the City of Happy Valley and they forced this election upon us made. It would have jeopardized Prestige's control of their business and other rights. after our City Council twice approved this annexation. Zoning and Planning is best left to our elected officials in our City and our I Support Measure 3-518! city staff professionals. They do the research, they understand the guidelines, and they follow the law. Phil Robbins Don't let these outside interests change our system for their own Altamont Neighborhood interests and agendas. The City of Happy Valley voters voted YES in 2008 to allow our City Council to consider and approve all annexations following strict guidelines within the law. The City Council considered and approved this annexation. Twice. Support our City. Support our voters will. Support our City Council. Vote "Yes" on M3-518 to support property owner rights! Don and Kelly Hanna City of Happy Valley Citizens and Taxpayers (This information furnished by Phil Robbins) (This information furnished by Don and Kelly Hanna) The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy

or truth of any statements made in the argument.

### **ARGUMENT IN FAVOR**

#### Vote YES on M3-518!

The ability to make choices about the property we own is a central principle in American life. As a society, we make a set of rules we all agree to live by, and as long as we abide by those rules, we get to decide what we do with our property.

If we want to remodel our kitchen, and we abide by the building code we all agreed to, we can make our Dream Kitchen a reality. If we want to build a shop on our property, as long as we meet the requirements, we can build the shop.

As much as we all desire to get along with our neighbors, our neighbors are also not given the power of veto over our choices with our own property. Yet that is what the neighbors of the property owner trying to annex into Happy Valley are asking for.

The rules have been followed, the process has been followed, but people who don't even live in the City of Happy Valley don't like the idea so they have spent large amounts of money on attorneys to try to stop the annexation. They forced this election because they lost every case they filed. Because the property owner has followed the rules. <u>Every time.</u>

No one says the opponents have to annex to Happy Valley, even though they benefit from the services our city taxes provide. But if they do not wish to join the citizens of Happy Valley, they should not be allowed to stop a property owner from joining us in Happy Valley. That is just wrong.

Please vote **Yes on M3-518** and help preserve the ability to make choices our community prides itself on. Help welcome these new neighbors to our city.

#### Vote YES on M3-518!

#### Thank you for your support.

Happy Valley Citizens for Progress

### City of Happy Valley

### Measure 3-518

### **ARGUMENT IN OPPOSITION**

#### THANK YOU!

We are grateful to more than 1200 residents of Happy Valley who signed our petition to stop the annexation.

#### PRESERVE OUR COMMUNITIES

We believe in the importance of building and preserving our neighborhoods and communities. This is not a simple "not in my back yard" response to the annexation and rezoning. There many reasons to oppose 3-518.

#### "A WOLF IN SHEEP'S CLOTHING"

Prestige, a For-Profit Corporation

- Will construct a monstrous 65-foot tall, 200,000 sqft independent/assisted living facility; dwarfing adjacent homes, overwhelming common areas, and seize parking.
- Will not comply or contribute to costs of the neighborhood's HOA, yet they will reap the benefits.

#### ADDING GROWTH WITHOUT THE INFRASTRUCTURE

- There will be a significant increase in the number of travel/ trips per day due to the large number of facility staff members, service vehicles and delivery trucks required to support operations.
- Facility workers and residents will not have access to suitable public transportation; the nearest drop-off/pickup point is one mile away. Those that do not possess a vehicle will be required to walk up a one mile, 14% grade hill. The only pedestrian path to access the facility is insured and maintained at the expense of the neighborhood HOA.

#### COMPROMISING COMMUNITY LIVABILITY AND SAFETY

- The location of the facility does not have adequate access during inclement weather and poses a life, health and safety threat to the elderly residents of the proposed facility.
- The snow route is through a neighborhood that was constructed in the late 1970s, has no sidewalks and prohibits truck traffic. During inclement weather this street is plagued with abandoned cars and often becomes impassible. *How will the facility receive deliveries of essential and critical supplies to care for the elderly residents?*
- Prestige made the statement --- if necessary, they will add a heliport to assure their residence can be transported in an emergency. Can you imagine a heliport located next to your house?

MORE DETAILS Please visit <u>www.No-on-3-518.com</u>

(This information furnished by Jim Syring, Happy Valley Cltizens for Progress)

The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument. (This information furnished by JoNell DeMars, Referral of Ordinance Number 516 (RON 516) Committee) The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

Official Clackamas County November 2017 Special Election Voters' Pamphlet

#### **ARGUMENT IN OPPOSITION**

NO on ANNEXATION NO on TRAFFIC NO on SENIORS AT RISK NO on #3-518 There's nothing Prestige-ous about it

Clackamas County Commission Chair Jim Bernard and Commissioner Ken Humberston have joined with thousands of citizens in Happy Valley in OPPOSING Measure 3-518, an attempt by Prestige Care to side-step the County's zoning requirement and exclude neighborhood partners to fast track development of their massive for-profit facility.

#### NO on ANNEXATION:

The Prestige development height and massing will overwhelm existing low density homes, adversely impact property values, and threaten the integrity of a quiet residential neighborhood- including the adjacent and popular Altamont Park. The proposed project will stand 50 to 65-feet tall: 77 times larger than adjacent homes.

#### NO on TRAFFIC:

Substantial traffic impacts will negatively impact the community: The traffic study conducted does not address the changes from independent living units to a multi-story senior care facility.

Treacherous winter driving on the west Mt. Scott hillside puts seniors, staff, and visitors in danger and at risk of timely emergency services.

The facility will operate 24/7/365 and generate commercial delivery, service vehicle trips, emergency response vehicles, hundreds of trips by staff, plus se100+ independent residents who may own cars and have visitors.

#### NO on SENIORS AT RISK:

Limited access during adverse weather poses a life and health safety hazard to the 198 future seniors, including possible delay/ prevention of emergency vehicles. Johnson Creek Boulevard and the residential neighborhood streets are hazardous in snow or ice. The steep grade hampers/prevents access to and from the location. Public transportation is not available and the hillside terrain makes walking challenging. Seniors will be isolated from services or activities otherwise available to the public.

Please join your Commissioners, friends and Happy Valley neighbors in VOTING NO on #3-518. There's nothing Prestige-ous about it.

Paul Shirey, Lynne St. Jean FriendsofAltamont@yahoo.com www.No-on-3-518.com



### ELECTION RESULTS ON THE INTERNET

www.clackamas.us/elections/results.html

By entering the above web address into your computer's internet browser, you can access current and archived results for elections conducted in Clackamas County.

After 8:00 p.m. on Election Day, navigate to returns for the current election with just a few clicks of the mouse.

Updates are posted as ballot processing continues throughout the evening of the election.

If you need assistance or have questions, please call the Elections Division at 503.655.8510

(This information furnished by JoNell DeMars, Referral of Ordinance Number 516 (RON 516) Committee) The printing of this argument does not constitute an endorsement by Clackamas County, nor does the county warrant the accuracy or truth of any statements made in the argument.

Please recycle this pamphlet.

Official Clackamas County November 2017 Special Election Voters' Pamphlet