



SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION

- 1) New Wireless Telecommunication Facility in Commercial or Industrial District;**
- 2) Wireless Telecommunication Facility Collocation;**
- 3) Wireless Telecommunication Facility on a Replacement Utility Pole**

(August 2018)

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name: _____

Date: _____ File No. _____

Company that applicant represents: _____

This application is for (check one):

- New Wireless Telecommunication Facility in Commercial or Industrial District
- Wireless Telecommunication Facility Collocation
- Wireless Telecommunication Facility on Replacement Utility Pole

What is a new wireless telecommunication facility permit, and where is it allowed through planning director review approval?

The Clackamas County Zoning and Development Ordinance (ZDO) defines a new wireless telecommunication facility as an unmanned facility for the transmission of radio frequency (RF) signals, consisting of an equipment shelter, cabinet or other enclosed structure containing electronic equipment, a support structure, antennas or other transmission and reception devices. Proposals for new wireless telecommunications facilities within a Commercial or Industrial Zoning District (except Neighborhood Commercial) are subject to Planning Director Review, subject to the provisions of 835.05(A)(1).

What is a wireless telecommunication facility collocation permit, and where is it allowed through planning director review approval?

The ZDO defines "Collocation" as the use of a single support structure by more than one wireless telecommunications provider. Collocation proposals within an Urban Residential Zoning District, or within the Future Urbanizable 10-Acre (FU-10) Zoning District, are subject to Planning Director Review, as are collocation proposals in other zoning districts that exceed the limitations identified in Subsection 835.04(A), all of which are subject to the provisions of Subsection 835.05(A)(2).

What is a wireless telecommunication facility permit for siting a facility on a replacement utility pole, and where is it allowed through planning director review approval?

The ZDO states that wireless telecommunication facilities may be installed, subject to Planning Director Review approval, on a replacement utility pole (electric, cable, telephone, etc.), within a public right-of-way, when the height of the replacement pole exceeds the height of the pole being replaced by up to 20 feet, subject to the provisions of Subsection 835.05(A)(3). This type of permit is allowed in most zoning districts.

- b. Adjacent roads;
 - c. Parking, circulation, and access;
 - d. Areas of existing and proposed vegetation to be added, retained, replaced, or removed; and
 - e. Setbacks from property lines of all existing and proposed structures. If an adjustment is requested, under Subsection 835.11, the plan must identify the distance from the wireless telecommunication tower to residences and other structures offsite that are within a distance not less than the height of the tower from the proposed location of the tower.
6. A Vicinity Map – showing adjacent properties, land uses, zoning and roadways within 500 feet of the proposed site (Not necessary for collocation proposals.)
 7. Elevations – showing antennas, towers, equipment shelters, area enclosure and other improvements related to the facility
 8. Color Simulations – of the site after construction of the antenna for all new antennas
 9. An Alternatives Analysis – demonstrating compliance with Subsection 835.07
 10. If applicable because of increase height, the applicant shall submit an **FAA form 7460-1** to the Oregon Department to Aviation (ODA) and *provide a letter of determination* from ODA as to any identified or potential air navigation hazards. (4-15-14)

Justification criteria

See Subsection 835 of the ZDO for specific approval standards, then provide information in the form of a written narrative, construction plans, photographs, color simulations, elevations and other relevant materials to demonstrate compliance with the following standards:

1. The Collocation Standards listed in Subsection 835.07(A-E): These standards do not apply to collocation proposals.
2. The Conditional Standards listed in Subsection 835.08(A-J): Subsections 835.08(A-C) do not apply to collocation proposals.
3. The Dimensional Standards listed in Subsection 835.09(A),(B) or (C): Wireless telecommunication tower maximum height and minimum tower separation do not apply to collocation proposals
4. Any Applicable Subsections of Section 1000

Questions? Contact Anthony Riederer at 503-742-4528 or ARiederer@clackamas.us