CLACKAMAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS Policy Session Worksheet

Presentation Date: Approximate Start Time: Approximate Length:

Feb 16th, 2022 10:00am 30 Minutes

Presentation Title: Annual Work Program for Clackamas County Vector Control District

Department: Vector Control (Special District)

Presenters: Joshua Jacobson (Executive Director)

Other Invitees: Dan Green (Chairman), Lowell Hannah (Treasurer), Amber Wimsatt (Secretary), Dakota Hufford (Vice Chair), and Sarah Present (Ex Officio).

WHAT ACTION ARE YOU REQUESTING FROM THE BOARD?

Approval of the 2022 Annual Work Program and the 2021 Annual Report.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (why and why now):

The Clackamas County Vector Control District, pursuant to ORS 452.120, is required to furnish by February of each year a proposed Annual Work Program which shall include an estimate of funds required for the next year, a description of the work contemplated, and the methods to be employed by the district. The Board, after consultation with the District officers, shall approve the Annual Work Program of the district. Further, the District shall furnish to the Board by February of each year an Annual Report covering moneys expended, methods employed, and work accomplished during the past fiscal year.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (current year and ongoing):

The District passed a five year local option levy of 0.025 per thousand in 2019. Thus, Fiscal year 2022 is year 3 of five years of the District's local option levy, securing stable funding until 2025.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT: Not Applicable

LEGAL/POLICY REQUIREMENTS: Not Applicable

PUBLIC/GOVERNMENTAL PARTICIPATION: Not Applicable

OPTIONS:

- (1) BCC Approves CCVCD Annual Work Program/Report CCVCD conducts crucial mosquito/fly control and disease monitoring throughout Clackamas County.
- **(2)** BCC does not accept CCVCD Work Program/Report BCC works with CCVCD to develop revised Work Program.
- **(3)** BCC does not accept CCVCD Work Program/Report Clackamas County left without crucial mosquito/fly control and disease monitoring.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends option 1: BCC Approves CCVCD Annual Work Program/Report – CCVCD conducts crucial mosquito/fly control and disease monitoring throughout Clackamas County.

ATTACHMENTS:

Cover letter, 2022 Annual Work Program, and 2021 Annual Report

SUBMITTED BY:

For information on this issue or copies of attachments, please contact Joshua Jacobson @ 503-655-8394

February 16, 2022

Clackamas County

Board of Commissioners

2051 Kaen Road

Oregon City, OR 97045

Members of the Board:

IN THE MATTER OF ACCEPTING THE 2021 ANNUAL REPORT AND THE 2022 ANNUAL WORK PROGRAM FOR CLACKAMAS COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT

The Clackamas County Vector Control District, pursuant to ORS 452.120, is required to furnish by February of each year a proposed annual work program which shall include an estimate of funds required for the next year, a description of the work contemplated, and the methods to be employed by the district. The Board, after consultation with the District officers, shall approve the annual work program of the district. Further, the District shall furnish to the Board by February of each year an annual report covering moneys expended, methods employed and work accomplished during the past fiscal year.

To comply with these requirements, the District will meet with the Clackamas County Board of Commissioners in policy session on 16th February, 2022 at 10:00am.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board of the Clackamas County Vector Control District is recommending the approval of the 2022 Annual Work Program and the 2021 Annual Report.

Sincerely,

Joshua D. Jacobson

Joshua D. Jacobson

Executive Director



Annual Work Program Fiscal Year 2022 - 2023

Clackamas County Vector Control District 1102 Abernethy Road, Oregon City, Oregon 97045 (503) 655-8394 www.fightthebites.com

Prepared by: Joshua Jacobson In collaboration with Clackamas County Vector Control Board of Trustees: Daniel Green, Dakota Hufford, Lowell Hannah, Amber Wimsatt Submitted to the Clackamas County Commissioners

Table of Contents

Topic	Page
Executive Summary	1-2
Background, Introduction, Vision, Mission, District Structure	3-5
Mosquito-borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan	5-23
Staff Training and Public Outreach Program	24
Glossary and Acronyms	25-27
References	27
Appendix 1: Proposed Budget for FY23	28
Appendix 2: Integrated Mosquito Management	29-30
Appendix 3: Key Agencies for Response Plan	30-31
Appendix 4: Larvicides and Adulticides	31-32

Executive Summary

It is our pleasure to provide the Clackamas County Board of Commissioners this Annual Work Program covering the proposed work to be accomplished by the Clackamas County Vector Control District (CCVCD) during the mosquito season of 2022. This Annual Work Plan also outlines the District response plan when an outbreak of mosquito-borne disease is eminent. Under the authority of ORS 452.120, the District shall provide to the Commissioners a proposed Annual Work Program to include an estimate of funds required for the upcoming year, a description of the work contemplated, and the methods to be employed to control vectors of public importance. The Annual Work Program provides guidelines for District staff and the District Board of Trustees, and information to stakeholders regarding decisions and responses the District will utilize in the prevention and control of West Nile Virus (WNV) or other mosquito-borne and fly-borne disease that may threaten the citizens of Clackamas County.

The District will conduct surveillance for mosquito-borne viruses in various ways during the 2022 season. The larval mosquito surveillance will involve taking 'dipper' samples of water from all known mosquito habitats, checking the water for the presence of mosquito larvae, and taking these larval samples back to the District laboratory for identification to the species level where possible. Adult mosquito surveillance will involve deploying various types of mosquito traps within the County. Our primary trap is a carbon dioxide-baited trap (CO₂ traps), capable of attracting many species of mosquitoes. Captured adult mosquitoes are taken back to the District laboratory for identification and for West Nile Virus testing. Detection of Zika-virus transmitting mosquitoes is performed with ova-traps (egg traps).

The District will begin inspections of larval and adult mosquito habitats in early March and will increase inspection intensity as temperatures increase. Inspections will continue until temperatures and day length signal the end of mosquito production in the County.

District's active partnerships with public and private stakeholders including local county and city officials and staff, state and federal agencies, environmental groups, agricultural interests, community groups and Clackamas County residents are of the utmost importance. During peak mosquito season, the District participates in weekly conference calls with the Oregon Health Authority and other mosquito control entities throughout Oregon. The District monitors West Nile Virus reports throughout Oregon, including human and livestock cases. This regular monitoring of disease statistics provides the District with actionable information and

guides the control management of mosquitoes and mosquito-borne diseases that threaten Clackamas County. A Mosquito-Borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan is provided here as evidence of exhaustive and comprehensive guidance in the event of a disease outbreak.

The District uses Integrated Mosquito Management (Integrated Mosquito Management) principles in its program. These principles will be the basis of all decisions and responses used by the District. The Integrated Mosquito Management program will include: public education, community outreach, surveillance, and control activities that use the least toxic and most environmentally friendly methods available.

Respectfully,

Joshua D. Jacobson

Executive Director, Clackamas County Vector Control District

2

Background and Introduction

The Clackamas County Vector Control District (CCVCD) was established in 1964 by voter referendum to provide County residents with mosquito and fly control services. The funding generated by the original tax base was adequate to meet minimal service requirements prior to the arrival of West Nile Virus (WNV) in Oregon. With the arrival of WNV in November 2004, the Clackamas County voters approved a five-year option levy for enhanced vector control operations in 2009, 2014, and again in 2019. This increased funding allows the District to prepare for and minimize the impact of West Nile Virus in Clackamas County. This enhanced vector control program has been implemented since 2004 and will be implemented again in 2022. This Annual Work Plan is submitted yearly to the Clackamas County Board of Commissioners for review and approval as required by Oregon Revised Statute 452.120.

The Clackamas County Vector Control District is organized and operates under the authority of ORS 452, generating revenue through two types of taxes on property: a permanent levy of \$0.0065 per \$1,000 of assessed property value and a local option levy of \$0.025 per \$1,000 of assessed property value. The local option levy was re-approved in 2019 and will expire in 2024. The 2021 actual District expenditures were \$1,148,287. The FY23 proposed budget for the District is given in *Appendix 1*.

This Annual Work Program consists of two parts: the first addresses the current program; the second, a Mosquito-Borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan. It is expected, through continual community involvement, that the Annual Work Program will be a fluid, ever-changing document —its primary goal being to reduce the threat of West Nile Virus and other mosquito-borne diseases to Clackamas County residents using Integrated Mosquito Management, thus using the least toxic and most environmentally friendly methods available.

Program Overview

The guiding **vision** of the Clackamas County Vector Control is "to progress towards a future free of vector borne disease using all the scientific, technical and educational tools available". The general mission of the Clackamas County Vector Control is "the control of public health vectors within Clackamas County using an environmentally friendly approach that aims to limit the number of mosquitoes and flies, reducing annoyance and vector-borne disease". Clackamas County Vector Control employees come to work each day with the goal of protecting the public from vector borne diseases. In that spirit, we pledge to hold ourselves to the same

standards as all Clackamas County employees (http://www.clackamas.us/admin/values.html). These Core Values are embodied in six key concepts:

Service, Professionalism, Integrity, Respect, Individual Accountability and Trust.

Under the authority of ORS 452.120, Clackamas County Vector Control District shall provide the two documents to the Clackamas County Commissioners in the first quarter of each calendar year: 1) a proposed Annual Work Program to include an estimate of funds required for the next year, a description of the work contemplated, and the methods to be employed by Clackamas County Vector Control District; 2) an Annual Report covering monies expended, methods employed, and work accomplished during the past fiscal year. Thus, to fulfill 1), this Annual Work Program report.

District Objectives

The overall objectives of the District align with the mission: The control of public health vectors within Clackamas County using an environmentally friendly approach that aims to limit the number of mosquitoes and flies, reducing annoyance and vector-borne disease. Control of public health vectors is a constant battle. As long as there are healthy wetlands and rain-filled flood control structures, there will be mosquitoes breeding in them. Therefore, the goal of total eradication of all mosquitoes and flies within Clackamas County is not obtainable. Instead, we support the use of environmentally friendly approaches to pest population suppression, such as an integrated mosquito management approach.

District Structure

The District has three main programmatic units: office, laboratory, and field. Office personnel focus on administrative tasks, including budget formulation and bookkeeping, accounting, human resource functions, logistical planning and support and overall supervision of district operations. Laboratory personnel focus on areas of scientific expertise, including entomological identification of insects collected, analysis of insects for pathogens of human disease, designing and executing mosquito and fly surveillance operations, and other fundamental and applied scientific tasks. Field personnel conduct mosquito surveillance by discovering, documenting, investigating and sampling mosquito and fly production areas. They are also responsible for applying mosquito and fly suppressing chemicals in a safe and legal manner. They are also ambassadors and ecologists, advising citizens on best practices for reducing the risk of mosquito borne disease and physical practices that aim to reduce the

suitability of aquatic habitats for mosquito breeding. All three programmatic units work synergistically to accomplish the District mission.

In addition to West Nile Virus, Oregon is vulnerable to introduction of other highly virulent mosquito-borne viruses of public and veterinary health concern, such as Japanese encephalitis, dengue, Zika, chikungunya, yellow fever, Rift Valley fever, and Venezuelan equine encephalitis viruses. If an existing or introduced virus is detected, it is critical that local and state agencies are prepared to respond in a concerted effort to protect people and animals from infection and disease. The plan below describes an enhanced surveillance and response program for mosquito-borne viruses in Clackamas County and is applicable to all of Oregon and adjacent western states. Its contents are drawn from previous Clackamas County Vector Control Annual Work Programs and from response guidelines published by the California Department of Public Health (CDPH), the Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California (MVCAC), and the University of California at Davis (UCD)¹.

CLACKAMAS COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT MOSQUITO-BORNE VIRUS SURVEILLANCE AND RESPONSE PLAN

Objectives

The Clackamas County Vector Control District Mosquito-borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan was developed to meet several objectives. Specifically, the Plan:

- Provides guidelines and information on the surveillance and control of mosquitoborne viruses in Clackamas County, including West Nile, Zika and other mosquitoborne viruses;
- Incorporates surveillance data into risk assessment models;
- Prompts surveillance and control activities associated with virus transmission risk level;
- Provides Clackamas County Vector Control, local and state agencies with a decision support system; and
- Outlines the roles and responsibilities of Clackamas County Vector Control, local and state agencies involved with mosquito-borne virus surveillance and response.

This document provides Clackamas County Vector Control guidelines, but can be modified to meet regional and state-wide conditions.

Background and Introduction

Clackamas County Vector Control has a comprehensive mosquito-borne disease surveillance program that has monitored mosquito abundance and mosquito-borne virus activity since 1964 and is an integral part of integrated mosquito management programs (IMM) encompassing all of Oregon, working in collaboration with local and regional mosquito and vector control agencies. Surveillance and response guidelines for Clackamas County Vector Control have been published annually as a component of the Annual Work Program per ORS 452.120. If an existing or introduced virus is detected, it is critical that local and state agencies are prepared to respond in a concerted effort to protect people and animals from infection and disease.

West Nile Virus was first detected in the United States in New York City in 1999. This virus, a mosquito transmitted disease, had never been detected in the Western Hemisphere. Since 1999, West Nile Virus has rapidly spread throughout the continental United States. Eastern Oregon first experienced the disease in early August 2004 when dead birds and equines were found positive with the disease. Approximately three weeks later the first human case was diagnosed. West Nile Virus was first detected in Clackamas County in August 2007, with 3 birds testing positive for the virus, however, it has not been detected since. It is anticipated that West Nile Virus will be found in Oregon again in 2022, although the likelihood of the disease being detected in Clackamas County is low, with most disease incidence occurring in the eastern and southern parts of the state².

Since 2005, there have been 190 West Nile Virus human cases and 143 horse cases in Oregon. Consequently, the Clackamas County Vector Control Arbovirus Surveillance Program emphasizes monitoring and providing early warning for temporal and spatial activity of West Nile Virus and other mosquito-borne viruses. These viruses are maintained in wild bird-mosquito cycles that do not depend upon infections of humans or domestic animals to persist. Surveillance and control activities focus on this maintenance cycle, which involves primarily *Culex* mosquitoes, such as the common house mosquito, *Culex pipiens*, and birds such as crows, jays, house finches and house sparrows.

Immature stages (called larvae and pupae) of *Culex* mosquitoes can be found throughout Clackamas County in a wide variety of aquatic sources, ranging from clean to highly polluted waters. Most such water is associated with rain water detention, retention ponds, swales, storm water sumps, and other urban wastewater. Species such as *Culex pipiens, Culex tarsalis*, and

Culex stigmatosoma, play an important role in the transmission cycles of West Nile Virus and other viruses in urban and suburban areas. Additional mosquitoes such as Aedes vexans and Culex erythrothorax also could be important bridge (i.e. bird to mammal) vectors in transmission. Lastly, Aedes albopictus and Ae. aegypti mosquitoes, important vectors of Zika, dengue and chikungunya viruses in other parts of the world, have been detected in several locations in California in recent years and may invade Oregon in the near future.

Since its 1947 discovery in a Ugandan forest, Zika virus has been simmering quietly, with small but noteworthy outbreaks in Micronesia and French Polynesia in the last decade. However, in October 2015, Brazilian health authorities reported an unusual number of microcephaly cases and by November 2015 Brazil had declared a national health emergency. Throughout late 2015 and early 2016 Zika virus infection was detected in multiple central and south American counties, and research data from multiple laboratories began to implicate the virus as a putative cause of multiple birth defects and complications. Throughout the spring and summer of 2016 more evidence of Zika infection arose in an ever-increasing web of travelrelated spread and evidence of sexual transmission of the virus, the first ever arthropod-borne sexually transmitted disease. The continental United States saw over 5,000 travel-related Zika cases, and Oregon reported 50 travel-related cases. Alarmingly, in 2016 Florida (216) and Texas (6) reported locally-acquired Zika cases, suggesting that mosquitoes were actively transmitting Zika on US soil. As of January, 2022, the mosquitoes that transmit Zika Virus (Zika vectors) have not been detected in Oregon, including Clackamas County. However, these invasive mosquitoes continue to expand their ranges throughout the western United States². Presently, they have invaded the northern San Joaquin Valley, but the Siskiyou Mountain range seems to be a geographical barrier to their spread north into Oregon. Zika vector surveillance will be conducted in Clackamas County with ova-traps. These traps attract the invasive Aedes mosquitoes that transmit Zika virus, inducing them to lay eggs within the trap on a popsicle stick. Traps will be inspected weekly and any eggs collected are brought back to the laboratory for hatching. We will be looking for Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti (the two invasive Zika vectors that are rapidly enlarging their range) during the 2022 mosquito season.

Mosquito control is the only practical method of protecting the human population from infection. There are no specific treatments or cures for diseases caused by these viruses, and vaccines are not licensed for human use. Illness caused by Zika tends to be most serious in pregnant mothers, including microcephaly and other complications, whereas West Nile Virus

and other viruses are more likely to cause severe disease in the elderly. West Nile Virus also kills a wide variety of native and non-native birds. Vaccine for West Nile Virus is available to protect horses that are vulnerable to severe neurological disease caused by these viruses. Mosquito borne disease prevention strategies must be based on a well-planned integrated mosquito management (IMM) program that uses near-real-time surveillance to detect problem areas, focus control, and evaluate operational efficacy. The primary components of an Integrated Mosquito Management program include education, surveillance, and mosquito control.

Education

Citizens can play an important role in reducing the number of adult mosquitoes by eliminating standing water that may support the development of immature mosquitoes. For instance, home and business owners can help by properly disposing of discarded tires, cans, or buckets; emptying plastic or unused swimming pools; and unclogging blocked rain gutters around buildings. Farmers and ranchers can be instructed to use irrigation practices that do not allow water to stand for extended periods, and wetland managers or duck club owners can work with mosquito control agencies to determine optimal flooding schedules. Educating the general public to curtail outdoor activities during peak mosquito biting times, use insect repellents, and wear long-sleeved clothing will help reduce exposure to mosquitoes. Clinical surveillance is enhanced through education of the medical and veterinary communities to recognize the symptoms of West Nile Virus, Zika and other viruses, and to request appropriate laboratory tests. Public health officials need to be alerted if a mosquito-borne viral disease case is detected, especially if the public health risk is high.

Surveillance

Surveillance includes monitoring, visualization, and analysis of data on climatic factors, immature and adult mosquito abundance, and virus activity measured by testing mosquitoes, sentinel chickens, dead birds, horses, and humans for evidence of infection. For zoonotic viruses such as West Nile virus, surveillance of the mosquitoes and vertebrate hosts (e.g., birds) that transmit the virus is particularly important for early warning of human disease risk. Surveillance must focus not only on mosquito-borne viruses known to exist in Oregon, but be sufficiently broad to detect newly introduced arboviruses (arthropod-borne viruses). This is especially important since the recent detection of the globally important arboviral vectors, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*, in California; both are competent vectors of Zika virus.

Climate Variation

Oregon's predictable variation in climate allows forecasting mosquito abundance and arbovirus activity by region. In eastern Oregon, most precipitation falls during winter as rain at lower elevations or as snow at higher elevations. Spring and summer temperatures then influence the rate of snow melt and runoff, mosquito population growth, the frequency of blood feeding, the rate of virus development in the mosquito, and therefore the intensity of virus transmission. In general, West Nile Virus outbreaks have occurred in eastern and southern Oregon when wet winters are followed by warm summers, and outbreaks have been linked to warm, dry conditions that lead to large populations of *Culex*. Within the Willamette valley, cold wet winters are followed by temperate, wet springs that work to suppress the West Nile Virus enzoonotic cycle. Although climate variation may forecast conditions conducive for virus amplification, a critical sequence of events is required for amplification to reach outbreak levels. In the interest of a complete forecasting appraisal, it should be mentioned that as climate warms the two important Zika virus vectors, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*, will almost certainly find a foot-hold in southern and eastern Oregon.

Mosquito Abundance

Mosquito abundance can be estimated through collection of immature or adult mosquitoes. The immature stages (larvae and pupae) can be collected from water sources where mosquitoes lay their eggs. A long-handled ladle ("dipper") is used to collect water samples and the number of immature mosquitoes per "dip" can lead to an estimates of population density in each habitat. At Clackamas County Vector Control District, technicians search for new sources and inspect known habitats for mosquitoes on a 7 to 14-day cycle. These data are used to direct control operations. Maintaining careful records of immature mosquito occurrence and abundance, developmental stages treated, source sizes, and control effectiveness can be useful for estimating the expected size of future adult populations. Ova-cups are now being used to detect the presence of the Zika vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* within Clackamas County. Mosquito eggs are deposited in these cups and reared in the laboratory to identify the species of mosquito that deposited the eggs.

Adult mosquito abundance is a key factor contributing to the risk of virus transmission. Monitoring the abundance of adult mosquito populations provides important information on the size of the vector population as it responds to changing climatic factors and to control efforts. Four adult mosquito sampling methods are currently used for *Culex* in California: New Jersey

light traps, carbon dioxide-baited traps, gravid female traps, and resting adult mosquito collections. *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*, the Zika vectors that have invaded California, are attracted to special traps designed for their biological preferences. The advantages and disadvantages of these sampling methods, and guidelines for the design, operation, and processing of the traps have been discussed in Guidelines for Integrated Mosquito Surveillance¹.

Mosquito Infections

Virus activity can be monitored by testing adult mosquitoes for virus infection. Because *Culex* tarsalis is the primary rural vector of West Nile Virus, and Culex pipiens is the primary urban vector of West Nile Virus, surveillance efforts emphasize the testing of these species. Another species that should be tested is *Culex stigmatosoma*, which is a highly competent but less widely distributed vector of West Nile Virus that feeds on birds and is probably important in enzootic transmission where it is found in high abundance. The two invasive Zika virus vectors, Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus, should also be tested if and when they are detected in Oregon. Female mosquitoes are trapped, usually using carbon dioxide-baited or gravid traps, identified to species, and counted into groups (pools) of ≤ 50 females each for testing in the Clackamas County Vector Control laboratory or by local agencies that pass annual proficiency tests. Procedures for submitting and processing mosquitoes for detecting virus infection are available at the OSU VDL⁴. The current surveillance system at Clackamas County Vector Control is designed to detect infection with West Nile Virus. Mosquito testing typically begins early in the season and, with adequate trapping and testing effort, provides early warning of virus activity. Testing adult mosquitoes for infection is also one of the best methods to detect newly introduced or emerging mosquito-borne viruses. Testing mosquito species other than *Culex* may be necessary to detect the introduction of arboviruses that do not have a primary *Culex*-bird transmission cycle, notably Zika, dengue and chikungunya viruses transmitted between humans by Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus.

Avian Infections

Detection of arboviral transmission within bird populations can be accomplished by 1) using caged chickens as sentinels and bleeding them routinely to detect viral antibodies (seroconversions), 2) testing dead birds reported by the public for West Nile Virus, and 3) collecting and bleeding wild birds to detect viral antibodies (seroprevalence). In a typical surveillance design, flocks of 6-10 chickens are placed in locations where mosquito abundance is known to be high or where there is a history of virus activity. Each chicken is bled every two

weeks by pricking the comb and collecting blood on a filter paper strip. The blood is tested in a diagnostic laboratory (Clackamas County Vector Control is designing such a facility) for antibodies to West Nile Virus and other arboviruses. Positive samples may be sent to Oregon Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (OVDL) for confirmation and official reporting. Because St. Louis Encephalitis Virus (SLEV) cross-reacts with West Nile Virus in antibody testing, St. Louis Encephalitis Virus or West Nile Virus positive chickens are confirmed and the infecting virus is identified by western blot or cross-neutralization tests. Frequent testing of strategically placed flocks of sentinel chickens provides an effective method to monitor encephalitis virus transmission in an area, particularly as a surrogate for human risk because information on human cases often arrives too late for mosquito control decisions. Because chickens are continuously available to host-seeking mosquitoes, they are not subject to the night-to-night variation associated with mosquito trapping, and their stationary location provides a specific spatial indication of transmission when seroconversions occur. Sentinel housing, bleeding instructions, and testing protocols are available¹.

West Nile Virus frequently causes death in North American birds, especially those in the family Corvidae (e.g. crows, ravens, magpies, jays). Dead bird surveillance was initiated by Clackamas County Vector Control in 2005 to provide early detection of West Nile Virus. Dead bird surveillance has been shown to be one of the earliest and most cost-effective indicators of West Nile Virus activity where susceptible bird species are abundant and many Oregon vector control agencies promote this program. Dead birds that meet criteria for species and condition are collected by Clackamas County Vector Control for West Nile Virus testing. Typically an oral sample is collected by swabbing the oropharyngeal cavity of the bird and pressing the swab onto an RNA preservation card that preserves nucleic acids. The cards are analyzed for West Nile Virus RNA testing by RT-PCR. Local agencies may also test American Crows in-house using rapid antigen tests (RAMP) provided they have passed annual proficiency panels. Dead birds can also be shipped to Oregon Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (OVDL) for West Nile Virus testing³. The communication and testing algorithm for the dead bird surveillance program is detailed at the Oregon Health Authority website³.

Virus activity in wild bird populations can be monitored by bleeding young (hatching year) birds to detect initial virus infection or by bleeding a cross-section of birds in an area and comparing sero-prevalence among age strata to determine if the prevalence of the virus in the region has changed. Elevated sero-prevalence levels ("herd immunity") among key species

during spring may limit virus transmission and dampen amplification. New infections also can be detected by bleeding banded birds in a capture-recapture scheme. In contrast to the convenience of using sentinel chickens, the repeated collection and bleeding of wild birds is labor intensive, technically difficult (especially with mist netting), and too expensive for most local mosquito control agencies to perform routinely, although regular stationary traps can be cost effective. In addition, the actual place where a wild bird became infected is rarely known, because birds may travel over relatively long distances, and usually are collected during daytime foraging flights and not at nighttime roosting sites where they are bitten by mosquitoes.

Equine Infections

Currently, equine disease due to West Nile Virus, Western Equine Encephalitis Virus (WEEV) is no longer a sensitive indicator of epizootic activity (unusually high incidence of infections in animals other than humans) in Oregon because of the widespread vaccination or natural immunization of equids (horses, donkeys, and mules). Nevertheless, confirmed cases in horses can indicate that Western Equine Encephalitis Virus or West Nile Virus has amplified to levels where tangential transmission has occurred and risk to humans is elevated in that region of the state. Numerous infectious and non-infectious causes, including other mosquito-borne viruses, can contribute to encephalitis and neurologic signs in horses. Testing of equine specimens for these possible etiologies is available through the Oregon Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (OVDL). Complete information on specimen collection and submission is available on the Oregon Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (OVDL) website at:

http://vetmed.oregonstate.edu/diagnostic/available-tests.

Human Infections

Local mosquito control agencies need information from the rapid detection and reporting of confirmed human cases to plan and implement emergency control activities to prevent additional infections. However, human cases of arboviral infection are an insensitive surveillance indicator of virus activity because most persons who become infected develop no or mild symptoms. For those individuals who do become ill, it may take up to two weeks for symptoms to appear, followed by additional time until the case is recognized and reported. A total of 190 cases of West Nile Virus have been reported in Oregon from 2005 to 2022. No human cases of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus or Wester Equine Encephalitis Virus have been reported in Oregon in recent years, agreeing with negative enzootic surveillance findings. Development of this section is just beginning with relevant agencies. However, Clackamas

County Vector Control does periodically contact Oregon Health Authority for human case updates² as well as the Clackamas County Public Health Division regarding West Nile Virus human case prevalence.

Mosquito Control

Problems detected by surveillance are mitigated through larval and adult mosquito control. Mosquito control is the only public health method of protecting people from mosquito-borne diseases. Mosquito control in Oregon is conducted by approximately 20 local agencies, including mosquito and vector control districts, county environmental and health departments, and county agriculture departments. Agencies applying pesticides directly to a water of the United States, or where deposition may enter a water of the United States, must obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for Biological and Residual Pesticide Discharges to Waters of the United States from Vector Control Applications (Vector Control Permit). Agencies must comply with provisions of the permit.

http://www.oregon.gov/deq/wq/wqpermits/Pages/Pesticide.aspx Compounds currently approved for larval and adult mosquito control in Oregon are listed in *Appendix 4*.

The Clackamas County Vector Control District uses an Integrated Mosquito Management (IMM) approach to control mosquito vector populations. Integrated pest management programs incorporate multiple modalities to accomplish their ultimate goals. For the District, the modalities include cultural control, physical control, biological control and chemical control. Cultural control includes an informed public that takes precautions to protect themselves and their neighbors from mosquito borne disease. Specific cultural control actions would include dumping rainwater-filled pots, keeping drainage channels open, repairing window screens, wearing mosquito repellent, and sharing information that empowers others to protect themselves. Physical control includes careful planning of watershed drainage systems, maintenance of storm water retention ponds and swales, proper design of artificial ponds and wetlands, and creating positive collaborative relationships with governmental agencies and private property owners that have jurisdiction and responsibility for any of these physical systems. Biological control includes using mosquito-eating fish (Gambusia affinis) to control larval mosquito populations in a select class of water containment systems, including animal watering troughs, private ornamental ponds, and similar aquatic habitats. Water containment systems receiving mosquito fish cannot drain into the greater Willamette watershed. Chemical control includes the use of bacterial toxins and growth hormone mimics that target larval mosquitoes directly in their

aquatic environments. It also includes the use of a broad range of relatively benign insecticides to control adult mosquitoes. Bacterial toxins are dispersed as small blocks or pellets directly into the aquatic habitat where they dissolve and are ingested by larval mosquitoes, causing disruption of the digestive membrane and a subsequent lethal leakage effect within the larval gut. The growth hormone products are also dispersed as solid blocks or pellets that dissolve slowly in the aquatic environment, releasing a juvenile hormone that effectively prevents the larvae from developing into adult mosquitoes. Another useful larval suppressant is a monomolecular surface film, sprayed in small amounts onto larval habitats that are extremely enriched in organic debris (dairy milking ponds, septic tanks, etc.). These films essentially asphyxiate all aquatic organisms that breath though the water surface, and can have unintended non-target effects. Thus, surface films are only occasionally used in very specific larval habitats. Adult mosquito control is achieved using relatively benign insecticides that include pyrethroids, a class of insecticides that degrade rapidly in the environment and can be applied in residential settings as a barrier spray. Other adult insecticides with similar rapid degradation properties are also used, including environmentally friendly blends of essential plant extracts, e.g., mint oil blends.

Response Levels

The Clackamas County Vector Control District Mosquito-borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan was developed to provide a semi-quantitative measure of virus transmission risk to humans that could be used by staff and other local mosquito control agencies to plan and modulate control activities. Independent models are presented for Western Equine Encephalitis Virus, St. Louis Encephalitis Virus and West Nile Virus to accommodate the different ecological dynamics of these viruses⁵. Models for **Zika** virus are being developed but are not presented here. St. Louis Encephalitis Virus and West Nile Virus are closely related, require similar environmental conditions, and employ the same *Culex* vectors. **Seven surveillance factors** are measured and analyzed to determine the level of risk for human involvement and thereby gauge the appropriate response level:

- 1. Environmental or climatic conditions (snowpack, rainfall, temperature, season)
- 2. Adult *Culex* vector abundance
- 3. Virus infection rate in *Culex* mosquito vectors
- 4. Sentinel chicken seroconversions
- 5. Fatal infections in birds (West Nile Virus only)
- 6. Infections in humans

7. Proximity of detected virus activity to urban or suburban regions (Western Equine Encephalitis Virus only)

Each factor included is scored on an ordinal scale from 1 (lowest risk) to 5 (highest risk). The mean score calculated from these factors corresponds to a response level as follows: normal season (1.0 to 2.5), emergency planning (2.6 to 4.0), and epidemic (4.1 to 5.0). Table 1 provides a worksheet to assist in determining the appropriate rating for each of the risk factors for each of the three viruses. Surveillance data can be managed and risk level calculated in time and space using computer models such as the Surveillance Gateway in California¹.

Risk calculations should be applied within a defined area, typically encompassing a local mosquito and vector control district. Use of smaller spatial units (e.g., city boundaries) is ideal due to spatial variation in virus activity. Due to spatial variation in the distributions of humans and the dominant vector species, *Cx. tarsalis* and the *Cx. pipiens* complex, separate calculation of risk for urban and rural areas is encouraged where applicable.

For surveillance factor 2 (vector abundance), abundance is expressed as a percentage of normal by comparing the current level for an area to the average over the previous 5 years for the same area and two-week period. The mosquito virus infection rate should be calculated using the most recent data (prior two week period) and expressed as the minimum infection rate (MIR) per 1,000 female mosquitoes tested. Calculations may also use maximum likelihood estimates that account for varying numbers of specimens in pools and the possibility that more than one mosquito could be infected in each positive pool when infection rates are high. For West Nile Virus and St. Louis Encephalitis Virus, risk may be estimated separately for *Cx. tarsalis* and the *Cx. pipiens* complex, respectively, because these species generally have different habitat requirements and therefore spatial distributions (e.g., rural vs. urban).

Each of the three viruses differs in its response to ecological conditions. Western Equine Encephalitis Virus activity typically is greatest during El Niño conditions of wet winters, excessive run-off and flooding, cool springs, and increased *Culex tarsalis* abundance. In contrast, St. Louis Encephalitis Virus and perhaps West Nile Virus activity appears to be greatest during La Niña conditions of drought and hot summer temperatures, because both St. Louis Encephalitis Virus and West Nile Virus transmission risk increases when temperatures are above normal. Abundance and infection of the *Culex pipiens* complex are included in both St. Louis Encephalitis Virus and West Nile Virus estimates of risk because these mosquito species are important vectors, particularly in suburban/urban environments. The occurrence of dead bird

infections is included as a risk factor in the West Nile Virus calculations. For surveillance factors 4-6 (chickens, birds, humans), the specific region is defined as the area within the agency's boundary and the broad region includes the area within 150 miles (~241 km) of the agency's boundary.

Proximity of virus activity to human population centers is considered an important risk factor for all three viruses of public health concern. In the risk assessment model in Table 1 this was accommodated in two different ways. Western Equine Encephalitis Virus transmitted by *Culex tarsalis* typically amplifies first in rural areas and may eventually spread into small and then larger communities. A risk score was included to account for where virus activity was detected. West Nile Virus and St. Louis Encephalitis Virus may be amplified concurrently or sequentially in rural and urban cycles. The rural cycle is similar to Western Equine Encephalitis Virus and is transmitted primarily by *Cx. tarsalis*, whereas the urban cycle is transmitted primarily by members of the *Culex pipiens* complex. If the spatial distributions of key *Culex* species differ within an area (e.g., rural vs. urban), it may be advantageous to assess risk separately by species for abundance and infection rates in *Cx. tarsalis* and the *Cx. pipiens* complex. This would result in two estimates of overall risk for the areas dominated by each species.

Each of these surveillance factors can differ in impact and significance according to time of year and geographic region. Climate is used prospectively to forecast risk during the coming season. Climatic factors provide the earliest indication of the potential for increased mosquito abundance and virus transmission and constitute the only risk factor actually measured from the start of the calendar year through mid-spring when enzootic surveillance commences in most areas. Other factors that may inform control efforts as the season progresses are typically, in chronological order: mosquito abundance, infections in non-humans (e.g., dead birds for West Nile Virus, mosquitoes, sentinel chickens), and infections in humans. Enzootic indicators measure virus amplification within the *Culex*-bird cycle and provide nowcasts of risk, whereas human infections document tangential transmission and are the outcome measure of forecasts and nowcasts. Response to the calculated risk level should consider the time of year; e.g., epidemic conditions in October would warrant a less aggressive response compared to epidemic conditions in July because cooler weather in late fall will contribute to declining risk of arbovirus transmission.

The ratings listed in Table 1 are benchmarks only and may be modified as appropriate to the conditions in each specific region or biome of the state. Calculation and mapping of risk has been enabled by tools for local agency use included in the CalSurv Gateway. Roles and responsibilities of key agencies involved in carrying out the surveillance and response plan are outlined in "Key Agency Responsibilities."

Table 1. Mosquito-borne Virus Risk Assessment.

West Nile Virus Surveillance Factor Assessment	Assessment Value	Benchmark	Assigned Value
Environmental Conditions	1	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks ≤ 56 °F	
High-risk environmental conditions include above-normal temperatures	2	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks 57 – 65	
with or without above-normal rainfall, runoff, or snowpack.	3	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks 66 – 72 °F	
	4	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks 73 – 79 °F	
	5	Avg daily temperature during prior 2 weeks > 79 ° F	
Adult Cx.pipiens relative abundance	1	Vector abundance well below average (≤ 50%)	
Determined by trapping adults,	2	Vector abundance below average (51 - 90%)	
enumerating them by species, and	3	Vector abundance average (91 - 150%)	
comparing numbers to those	4	Vector abundance above average (151 - 300%)	
previously documented for an area for the prior 2-week period.	5	Vector abundance well above average (> 300%)	
Virus infection rate in Cx. pipiens	1	MIR = 0	
Mosquitoes	2	MIR = 0.1 - 1.0	
Tested in pools of 50. Test results	3	MIR = 1.1 - 2.0	
expressed as minimum infection	4	MIR = 2.1 - 5.0	
rate per 1,000 female mosquitoes tested (MIR) for the prior 2-week period.	5	MIR > 5.0	
Sentinel chicken seroconversion	1	No seroconversions in broad region	
Number of chickens in a flock that	2	One or more seroconversions in broad region	
develop antibodies to West Nile Virus during the prior 2-week period. If more than one flock is present in a region, number of flocks with seropositive chickens is an additional consideration. Typically 10 chickens per flock.	3	One or two seroconversions in a single flock in specific region	
	4	More than two seroconversions in a single flock or two flocks with one or two seroconversions in specific region	
	5	More than two seroconversions per flock in multiple flocks in specific region	
Dead bird infection	1	No positive dead birds in broad region	
Number of birds that have tested	2	One or more positive dead birds in broad region	
positive (recent infections only) for	3	One positive dead bird in specific region	
West Nile Virus during the prior 3-month	4	Two to five positive dead birds in specific region	
period. This longer time period	5	More than five positive dead birds in specific region	

reduces the impact of zip code closures during periods of increased West Nile Virus transmission.			
Human cases	3	One or more human infections in broad region	
Do not include this factor in	4	One human infection in specific region	
calculations if no cases are detected in region.	5	More than one human infection in specific region	
Response Level / Average Rating		TOTAL	
Normal Season (1.0 to 2.5)			
Emergency Planning (2.6 to 4.0)			
Epidemic (4.1 to 5.0)		AVERAGE	

General suggestions for applying the risk assessment model at the county, city, or local level

- Use a consistent time period for environmental conditions, adult mosquito abundance, mosquito infection rates, and human cases. If you use a period that differs from the prior two-week period defined in the risk assessment, such as the prior month, use the same period for all other relevant measures. Note that sentinel chicken seroconversions may need special treatment to accommodate bleeding schedules and dead bird data need to accommodate zip code closures. For sentinel seroconversions, use data from the most recent collection.
- If you have multiple trap types in your surveillance program, determine the vector abundance anomaly (Table 1) for each trap type and species and use the most sensitive trap type's value in the risk assessment, with trap sensitivity being defined as the most attractive to the species of interest in a given location.
- When determining the vector abundance anomaly, there should be at least two and preferably five years of prior data to provide a comparative baseline for the particular trap type. Ideally, the prior years should use the same or very similar trap locations. If mosquito breeding occurs continuously, as it might with increasing temperatures due to climate change, analysis of data from previous years should use the same or very similar trap locations and immediately precede the time period being evaluated.

Characterization of Conditions and Responses for Clackamas County Vector Control and other agencies

Level 1: Normal Season Risk rating: 1.0 to 2.5

CONDITIONS

- Cool to moderate seasonal temperatures (< 65°F)
- Culex mosquito abundance at or below five-year average (key indicator vector adults)
- No virus infection detected in mosquitoes
- No seroconversions in sentinel chickens
- No recently infected West Nile Virus -positive dead birds
- No human cases

RESPONSE

- Conduct routine public education (eliminate standing water around homes, use personal protection measures)
- Conduct routine mosquito and virus surveillance activities
- Comply with National Pollutant Discharge Eliminations System (NPDES) permit if applying pesticides to waters of the United States
- Conduct routine mosquito control with emphasis on larval control
- Inventory pesticides and equipment
- Evaluate pesticide resistance in vector species
- Ensure adequate emergency funding
- Release routine press notices
- Send routine notifications to physicians and veterinarians
- Establish and maintain routine communication with local office of emergency services personnel; obtain Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) training

Level 2: Emergency Planning

Risk rating: 2.6 to 4.0

CONDITIONS

• Temperature above average (66–79°F)

• Adult *Culex* mosquito abundance greater than 5-year average (150% to 300% shave normal)

above normal)

• One or more virus infections detected in Culex mosquitoes (MIR < 5 per 1,000

tested)

• One or more seroconversions in single flock or one to two seroconversions in

multiple flocks in specific region

• One to five recently infected West Nile Virus -positive dead birds in specific

region

• One human case in broad or specific region

• Western Equine Encephalitis Virus detected in small towns or suburban area

RESPONSE

• Review epidemic response plan

• Enhance public education (include messages on the signs and symptoms of

encephalitis; seek medical care if needed; inform public about pesticide

applications if appropriate)

• Enhance information to public health providers

• Conduct epidemiological investigations of cases of equine or human disease

• Increase surveillance and control of mosquito larvae

• Increase adult mosquito surveillance

• Increase number of mosquito pools tested for virus

• Conduct or increase localized chemical control of adult mosquitoes as

appropriate

• Contact commercial applicators in anticipation of large scale adulticiding

• Review candidate pesticides for availability and susceptibility of vector

mosquito species

• Ensure notification of key agencies of presence of viral activity, including the

local office of emergency services

Level 3: Epidemic Conditions

Risk rating: 4.1 to 5.0

CONDITIONS

20

- Temperature well above average (> 79°F)
- Adult vector population extremely high (> 300% above normal)
- Virus infections detected in multiple pools of *Culex tarsalis* or *Cx. pipiens* mosquitoes (MIR > 5 per 1,000 tested)
- More than two seroconversions per flock in multiple flocks in specific region
- More than five recently infected West Nile Virus -positive dead birds and multiple reports of dead birds in specific region
- More than one human case in specific region
- Western Equine Encephalitis Virus detection in urban or suburban areas

RESPONSE

- Conduct full-scale media campaign
- Alert physicians and veterinarians to expect cases
- Conduct active human case detection
- Conduct epidemiological investigations of cases of equine or human disease
- Continue enhanced larval surveillance and control of immature mosquitoes
- Broaden geographic coverage of adult mosquito surveillance
- Accelerate adult mosquito control as appropriate by ground and/or air
- Coordinate the response with the local Office of Emergency Services or if activated, the Emergency Operation Center (EOC)
- Initiate mosquito surveillance and control in geographic regions without an organized vector control program
- Determine whether declaration of a local emergency should be considered by the County Commissioners (or Local Health Officer)
- Determine whether declaration of a "State of Emergency" should be considered by the Oregon Governor at the request of designated county or city officials
- Ensure state funds and resources are available to assist local agencies at their request
- Determine whether to activate a Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) plan at the local or state level

 Continue mosquito education and control programs until mosquito abundance and enzootic virus activity is substantially reduced and no additional human cases are detected

Key Agency Responsibilities

Clackamas County Vector Control District and other local Mosquito and Vector Control Agencies

- Acquire and interpret local climate and weather data.
- Monitor abundance of immature and adult mosquitoes.
- Collect and submit mosquito pools for virus detection at Oregon State University Vet Path or local laboratories.
- Maintain sentinel chicken flocks, obtain blood samples, and send samples to Oregon State University Vet Path.
- Pick-up and sample dead birds by oral swabs using RNA preservation cards for West Nile Virus testing, or test oral swabs from suitable bird species locally via RT-PCR or RAMP® screening assays.
- Update the Clackamas County Vector Control District database (and any OR state database) weekly to record all birds that are independently reported and/or tested by RAMP® or RT-PCR.
- Update the Oregon Health Authority weekly to report mosquito pool results that are independently tested by RAMP® or RT-PCR.
- Conduct routine control of immature mosquitoes.
- Comply with National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit if applying pesticides to waters of the United States.
- Conduct control of adult mosquitoes when needed.
- Educate public on mosquito avoidance and reduction of mosquito breeding sites.
- Coordinate with local Office of Emergency Services personnel.
- Communicate regularly with neighboring agencies.

Mosquito and Vector Control Association of Oregon

- Coordinate purchase of sentinel chickens.
- Receive, track, and disburse payment for mosquito surveillance expenses.

- Coordinate surveillance and response activities among member agencies.
- Serve as spokesperson for member agencies.
- Establish liaisons with press and government officials.

Oregon Health Authority Vector Borne Disease Section

- Collate adult mosquito abundance data submitted by local agencies; provide summary of data to local agencies.
- Maintain a West Nile Virus information and dead bird reporting system:
 https://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/DiseasesAZ/WestNileVirus/Pages/wnvprevent
 assessass/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 https://example.com/assess/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 https://example.com/assess/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 https://example.com/assessass/
 <a
- Coordinate submission of specimens for virus testing.
- Provide supplies for sentinel chicken diagnostic specimens.
- Test sentinel chicken sera for viral antibodies.
- Test human specimens for virus.
- Distribute a weekly bulletin summarizing surveillance test results.
- Report weekly surveillance results to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ArboNET surveillance system.
- Immediately notify local vector control agency and public health officials when evidence of virus activity is found.
- Conduct epidemiological investigations of cases of human disease.
- Coordinate and participate in a regional emergency response in conjunction with Oregon Emergency Management Agency.
- Conduct surveillance for human cases.
- Provide oversight to local jurisdictions without defined vector-borne disease control program.
- Maintain inventory of antigens, antisera, and RNA assays to detect exotic viruses.
- Provide confirmation of tests done by local agencies.

End of Mosquito-Borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan

Training and Professional Development

All Clackamas County Vector Control permanent and seasonal staff must pass an Oregon Department of Agriculture Public Pesticide Applicator Licensing test, including excellence in general pesticide safety and pesticide laws and a section specific to the safe delivery of public health pesticides. To keep their license current, all staff must accumulate yearly quotas of continuing educational units. These units are awarded by attendance at relevant meetings and other activities. Permanent staff are encouraged to attend regional and national meetings focused on the biology and control of vector-borne diseases, including any technological breakthroughs, and the District offers financial support for these activities. Specialized training in mosquito identification and surveillance, public education and community outreach, equipment maintenance and use, and other training will be provided to staff as needs are identified. Clackamas County Vector Control supports advanced professional development with college tuition assistance, as well as career counseling.

Public Education

A professional, well-organized public education program is an essential part of all District vector-borne disease prevention and vector control programs. Clackamas County Vector Control District has contracted with a local public relations firm since 2014. This partnership has allowed the District and its mission to reach a large audience of stakeholders throughout Clackamas County and beyond. Deliverables include freeway billboards, advertisements on Tri-Met buses, electronic media blitzes, social media informational updates, District Calendars, other give-away items, and a highly rated user-friendly website (www.fightthebites.com).

Public education is crucial in the battle to prevent mosquito-borne disease transmission. When citizens understand mosquito biology, they are empowered to make decisions that protect their families and neighbors from deadly diseases such as West Nile Virus and Zika Virus. The "Hit Mosquitoes Where They Live" section of the Clackamas County Vector Control website gives simple, actionable advice that all citizens can use to stop the breeding of mosquitoes in their immediate environments. If the vectors that transmit Zika invade Oregon, public education will be even more important than it is now. While the West Nile mosquitoes prefer large open wetlands, the mosquitoes that transmit Zika can breed in very small containers of water, a common occurrence in many yards.

Glossary/Acronyms

Adulticide Insecticides used to kill adult mosquitoes. All must be

approved by EPA and state

Arboviral Having to do with arthropods that transmit viruses affecting

humans and other animals

AWP Annual Work Plan

Breeding Site An aquatic habitat where immature mosquitoes hatch and

develop into adults

Clackamas County Community Health CCCH

CCVCD The 'District' - Clackamas County Vector Control District

Community Information – verbal, written or other – provided to all

residents in the community Outreach

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Equine Horse

Relating to or denoting a disease that is temporarily epizootic

prevalent and widespread in an animal population.

EVS Trap Encephalitis viral surveillance trap

Areas in Clackamas County where human health appears **High-Risk Areas**

threatened due to positive test results for West Nile Virus

Immature The larval and pupal forms of mosquitoes. All are found in

aquatic habitats Mosquitoes

Information sheet required by EPA for all insecticides. Insecticide Label

Mosquito control agencies must have copies of each, for all

insecticides used by that agency

Integrated Mosquito

Management

The best management practices used by mosquito control agencies that include: surveillance, public education, community outreach, source reduction, and the use of insecticides in the most environmentally friendly ways

possible

Larvae The aquatic, immature stages of a mosquito that undergoes

four molts then changes into the pupal stage

Larvicide Insecticides used to kill immature mosquitoes. All must be

approved by EPA and state

MSDS Material Safety Data Sheet

Nuisance Mosquitoes that bite but are not considered important

Mosquitoes vectors to humans

ODA Oregon Department of Agriculture

ODFW Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

ODHS Oregon Department of Health Services

PCR Polymerase chain reaction: a technique used in molecular

biology to amplify a single copy or a few copies of a segment of DNA across several orders of magnitude, generating thousands to millions of copies of a

particular DNA sequence.

PUP Pesticide Use Permit. A yearly report required of all Oregon

mosquito control programs and sent to ODFW and ODHS

Pupae The aquatic, immature form of a mosquito prior to emerging

as an adult

RAMP Rapid Analyte Measurement Platform (RAMP), a test used

to detect WNV in mosquitoes and dead birds. The RAMP system is an immunoassay test for West Nile virus (WNV) detection. RAMP uses WNV-specific antibodies, conjugated to fluorescent latex particles, to determine the status of a

sample. For details see

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22855771

RT-PCR Real time polymerase chain reaction: RT-PCR is used to

clone expressed genes by reverse transcribing the RNA of

interest into its DNA complement using reverse

transcriptase. Subsequently, the newly synthesized cDNA is

amplified using traditional PCR.

ULV Ultra Low Volume. The term used to describe insecticide

spray units that break up spray particles into micron size units, typically 15-25 microns. Insecticide labels state micron size allowable for that particular material.

VCZ Vector Control Zone. Specific areas in Clackamas County

used as geographical markers by CCVCD to plan all

operational programs

Vector An organism, usually an insect or other arthropod, capable

of carrying and transmitting a disease agent from one host to

another

WNV West Nile Virus

WNVIE West Nile Virus Information Exchange. A group of public

or private agencies, community organizations and individual stakeholders in Clackamas County that was formed in 2006

to collaborate and exchange information on WNV

Zoonosis Zoonoses are infectious diseases of animals (usually

vertebrates) that can naturally be transmitted to humans. Includes Ebola, salmonella, Zika, WNV, Dengue, Lyme

Disease and many others.

References cited

1. California Department of Public Health, Vector Borne Disease Section https://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/vbds/Pages/default.aspx

- 2. Oregon Health Authority West Nile Archive https://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/DiseasesAZ/WestNileVirus/Pages/survey.aspx
- 3. Oregon Health Authority WNV reporting page https://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/DiseasesAZ/WestNileVirus/Pages/clinicians.aspx
- 4. Oregon State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory http://vetmed.oregonstate.edu/diagnostic
- 5. Barker, C. M., W. K. Reisen, and V. L. Kramer. 2003. California State Mosquito-borne Virus Surveillance and Response Plan: A retrospective evaluation using conditional simulations. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 68: 508-518.

Appendix 1 Proposed Budget Fiscal Year 2023

PERSONNEL SERVICES	<u>\$825,716</u>
Employees Retirement Social Security & Unemployment State Compensation (SAIF) Health Insurance Tri Met Tax MATERIALS AND SERVICES	\$225,200
Insecticides Vehicle & Equipment Maintenance General Supplies Office Supplies Insurance & Bonds Utilities CONTRACTUAL SERVICES	\$372,801
Protective Clothing Audit & Filing Legal & Licensing Building Maintenance & Grounds West Nile Virus Prevention Public Education & Awareness OTHER	\$69,00 <u>0</u>
Publishing & Literature Association Dues Travel & Conferences CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$810,00 <u>0</u>
Building Repairs Control Equipment Vehicle	C500 000
RESERVE FUND (Land & Buildings)	\$500,000
OPERATING CONTINGENCIES	<u>\$100,000</u>
UNAPPROPRIATED FUND	\$2,122,976
Total Budget Requirements 2/ The District follows Oregon budget law. The actual budget for	\$4,525,693

2/ The District follows Oregon budget law. The actual budget for FY23 will be adopted by the District's Board in June, 2022

Appendix 2

Integrated Mosquito Management

The Clackamas County Vector Control District uses an Integrated Mosquito Management (IMM) approach to control mosquito vector populations. Integrated pest management programs incorporate multiple modalities to accomplish their ultimate goals. For the District, the modalities include cultural control, physical control, biological control and chemical control. Cultural control includes an informed public that takes precautions to protect themselves and their neighbors from mosquito borne disease. Specific cultural control actions would include dumping rainwater-filled pots, keeping drainage channels open, repairing window screens, wearing mosquito repellent, and sharing information that empowers others to protect themselves. Physical control includes careful planning of watershed drainage systems, maintenance of storm water retention ponds and swales, proper design of artificial ponds and wetlands, and creating positive collaborative relationships with governmental agencies and private property owners that have jurisdiction and responsibility for any of these physical systems. Biological control includes using mosquito-eating fish to control larval mosquito populations in a select class of water containment systems, including animal watering troughs, private ornamental ponds, and similar aquatic habitats. Water containment systems receiving mosquito fish cannot drain into the greater Willamette watershed. Chemical control includes the use of bacterial toxins and growth hormone mimics that target larval mosquitoes directly in their aquatic environments. It also includes the use of a broad range of relatively benign insecticides to control adult mosquitoes. Bacterial toxins are dispersed as small blocks or pellets directly into the aquatic habitat where they dissolve and are ingested by larval mosquitoes, causing disruption of the digestive membrane and a subsequent lethal leakage effect within the larval gut. The growth hormone products are also dispersed as solid blocks or pellets that dissolve slowly in the aquatic environment, releasing a juvenile hormone that effectively prevents the larvae from developing into adult mosquitoes. Another useful larval suppressant is a monomolecular surface film, sprayed in small amounts onto larval habitats that are extremely enriched in organic debris (dairy milking ponds, septic tanks, etc.). These films essentially asphyxiate all aquatic organisms that breath though the water surface, and can have unintended non-target effects. Thus, surface films are only occasionally used in very specific larval habitats. Adult mosquito control is achieved using relatively benign insecticides that include pyrethroids, a class of insecticides that degrade rapidly in the environment and can be applied in residential settings as a barrier spray. Other adult insecticides with similar rapid degradation properties are also used, including environmentally friendly blends of essential plant extracts, e.g., mint oil blends.

Integrated Mosquito Management methods suggest an approach to each instance of mosquito control that follows a series of steps. The first step (after mosquitoes have been positively detected at a given site) is to ask if cultural controls can be implemented to solve the problem of excessive abundance of mosquitoes. If cultural controls are not possible then physical controls are suggested, sometimes simultaneously. If stakeholders need immediate action (with cultural and/or physical controls to follow), then biological and/or chemical control actions may be indicated.

The following principles are to be followed when:

- 1. Vector control measures should only be undertaken when there is adequate justification based upon surveillance data.
- 2. The combination of methods for vector control should be chosen after careful consideration of the efficacy, health effects, ecological effects and cost versus benefits of the various options; including public education, legal action, natural and biological control, elimination of the breeding sources, and pesticide applications.
- 3. Vector breeding sources, whether natural or created by human activity, should be altered in such a manner as to cause the least undesirable impact on the environment.
- 4. Pesticides and application methods should be used in the most efficient and least hazardous manner in accordance with all applicable laws, regulations and available scientific data. The registered label requirements for pesticide use should be followed. When choices are available among effective pesticides, those offering the least hazard to non-target organisms should be used. Pesticides should be chosen and used in a manner that will minimize the development of resistance in vector populations.
- 5. Personnel involved in the Vector Control program should be properly trained and supervised, certified in accordance with relevant laws and regulations, and should keep current with improvements in management techniques through continuing education and/or training programs.

Appendix 3

Key Agencies / Clackamas County Vector District

1. Key Agencies

This list identifies key agencies with West Nile Virus responsibilities and interests in Clackamas County and Oregon. The websites of these agencies can provide further information regarding their role and functions involving West Nile Virus.

- Clackamas County Vector Control District, (503) 655-8394,
 www.fightthebites.com
- Clackamas County Community Health, (503) 655-8350.
 www.co.clackamas.or.us/ph/westnile
- Clackamas County Dept. of Public and Government Relations, (503) 742-5911, www.co.clackamas.or.us/pgr

- Clackamas County Water Environment Services, (503) 353-4597,
 www.co.clackamas.or.us/wes
- State of Oregon, Public Health Department, Disease Prevention, (503) 731-4024, www.ohd.hr.state.or.us/acd/wnile/index.cfm
- Oregon Department of Agriculture, Don Hansen, (503) 986-4680,
 http://egov.oregon.gov/ODA/AHID
- OSU Extension Service Clackamas County, (503) 655-8631
 www.oregonstate.edu/clackamas
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Habitat Division, (503) 947-6092
 www.dfw.state.or.us/lands
- County Health Officer Paul Lewis (tri-county) 503-988-3674
 www.co.multnomah.or.us/health/

Appendix 4

Larvicides and Adulticides

Larvicides/Pupacides

These insecticides are applied directly to the water or to habitats that routinely flood to kill immature mosquitoes. The larvicides and pupacides given below will be used by the District. Complete product information, including details for use, labels and material safety data sheets is available at the listed websites.

- Altosid (methoprene) Manufactured by: Wellmark International/Zoecon Professional Product. An insect growth regulator (IGR) containing the active ingredient methoprene. Larvae exposed to this product develop normally to the pupal stage where they die. www.altosid.com
- **Bti** (Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelinsis) Manufactured by: Summit Chemical. A mosquito and blackfly larvicide containing the active ingredient Bacillus thuringiensis, var. israelensis. A sustained release formulation offering activity against mosquito larvae for thirty days or more. The floating quality of the briquet provides for release of the active ingredient at the water's surface where it affects surface feeding larvae, and as it sinks slowly through the water column, the active ingredient also becomes available to those larvae feeding in this area as well. www.clarkemosquito.com

- Vectolex Bs (Bacillus sphaericus) www.clarkemosquito.com Bacillus sphaericus is a rod-shaped, strictly aerobic, Gram positive bacterium which is used as an insecticide against larval mosquitoes. The benefit of using B. sphaericus as a mosquito larvicide versus other commercially available pesticides is that it is virtually non-toxic to pets, birds, fish, other worms and insects, humans, and the environment. Because it can form spores, it can persist in a mosquito habitat for months because it is recycled through mosquito life cycles.
- Agnique MMF (monomolecular surface film) Manufactured by Cognis Corporation.
 Agnique MMF is a larvicide and pupacide with an alternative mode of action. It is ideally suited for mosquito control programs and environmentally sensitive situations.
 www.adapcoinc.com

Adulticides

These insecticides are applied into the air to kill flying mosquitoes. Three classes of insecticides may be used by the District as adulticides. These are pyrethroids and natural Pyrethrins, various pyrethroids such as permethrin (Permanone), Anvil (sumithrin), as well as a variety of natural pyrethrins. Complete product information including detail for use, labels and material safety data sheets is available at the websites listed below. Perimeter sprays are included as these are commonly used by request on the scale of single family residences.

- Anvil 2+ 2(permethrin, natural pyrethrin) Anvil® is particularly effective against most known vector species, including organophosphate-resistant species. The active ingredient in Anvil is sumithrin, a synthetic pyrethroid formulation that replicates the mosquito fighting properties of pyrethrum, an extract of the chrysanthemum flower.
- Mavrik (perimeter spray formulation). Active ingredient is Tau-fluvalinate, a synthetic pyrethroid. Industry-standard perimeter spray for mosquito control. High toxicity to mosquitoes means small amounts of the active ingredient are used, thus minimizing risk of spillage, run-off, and other types of environmental leakage. Applied directly to plants around homes on request, efficacious for two weeks barring rain.
- **Eco-Exempt** (natural plant oil extract formulation). Environmentally friendly product (exempt from EPA registration as an insecticide) for use as a barrier spray on plants around homes by request. Highly effective against adult mosquitoes that find harborage on plant surfaces. Excellent alternative for County residents that want mosquito control but also want to have minimal impact on non-target species and the general ecosystem.

All larvicides and adulticides used by the District will be Environmental Protection Agency approved materials. Additionally, the insecticides will be approved by ODHS and ODFW through the PUP. This is a required yearly report for all mosquito control programs in Oregon.



2021 Annual Report

Clackamas County Vector Control District 1102 Abernethy Road, Oregon City, Oregon 97045 (503) 655-8394 www.fightthebites.com

CLACKAMAS COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT 1102 ABERNETHY ROAD OREGON CITY, OREGON 97045

ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2021

COMPILED BY

Joshua Jacobson Executive Director

Theresa Micallef Office Manager

Maggie Atchley Field Manager

Table of Contents	Page number
Cover	i
Author list	ii
Table of contents	iii
Executive Summary	1-2
West Nile Virus Summary graphic	3
Board of Trustees	3
District Staff and Charter Statements	4
Tables, Figures and Maps	5-13
Biological Control, Zika Virus, Integrated Pest Management, Applied Research	14-15
Affiliated Professional Organizations	17-19

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Dear Clackamas County Citizens,

It is my pleasure to present to you the 2021 Clackamas County Vector Control District Annual Report. We hope you find this document enlightening and informative.

Under ORS 452, the Clackamas County Vector Control District receives revenue through two types of taxes on property: a permanent levy of \$0.0065 per \$1,000 of assessed property value and a local option levy of \$0.025 per \$1,000 of assessed property value. The local option levy was re-approved in 2019 and will expire in 2025.

Since 2017, there has been no local transmission of **Zika Virus** in the continental United States. As of November, 2021, the mosquito vectors of Zika had not invaded Oregon, although the vectors of Zika are expanding their range in California. We continued to conduct surveillance for the Zika vectors throughout the summer of 2021 as we had in the past five years. There was no local **West Nile Virus** (WNV) activity detected in Clackamas County in 2021 (Figure 1). There were 5 human WNV cases reported in Oregon in 2021. Mosquitoes, birds, and horses are competent hosts of WNV. Oregon had a total of 74 mosquito pools test positive for WNV; the vast majority of these occurred in Eastern Oregon. In addition, two birds in Oregon tested positive for WNV. None of this positive WNV host activity was reported from Clackamas County and adjacent Counties (Figure 1).

The District charter mandates a focus on mosquito and fly control within the county, and in 2021, the District focused on two general areas of mosquito management: 1) larval mosquito surveillance and larval mosquito insecticide treatments, and 2) adult mosquito surveillance and adult mosquito control. The District answered 527 citizen requests for mosquito control assistance, and a total of 1,479 mosquito treatments conducted county-wide. Our mosquito surveillance program processed 10,957 larval mosquito samples and 2,406 adult mosquito samples. The District received 1 dead bird collection requests. The District continues to maintain a paper database and an electronic database for mosquito source mapping and mosquito source treatments.

The District promotes biologically-based suppression of mosquito and fly populations where feasible and practical. The use of *Gambusia affinis*, the 'mosquitofish', for biological

Clackamas County Vector Control 2021 Annual Report

control of mosquito larvae remains an important part of the mosquito control program. There were **1,310 individual fish** distributed into appropriate aquatic environments in 2021.

Mosquito and fly disease-vector information was provided by the District throughout the control season to interested citizens. The District received **97 requests for information** on a wide variety of pest species this year. Printed information or consultation was provided in each of these cases. Informational programs on mosquito and fly control were provided for schools, service clubs and other interested group within the County.

The year (2019) was the final year (5 of 5) for the District's local option levy. The citizens of the Clackamas County voted in favor of continuing to fund Clackamas County Vector Control District. The district will therefore have funding through 2024. We continue to share data and expertise with the County department of Water and Environmental Services and we will continue to work closely with other organizations within the county to ensure that the public is protected from vector borne diseases such as Zika and WNV.

Sincerely,

Joshua Jacobson

Executive Director, Clackamas County Vector Control District

2

County Mosquitoes Birds Horses Human Malheur 2 **West Nile Virus Activity** Baker 19 1 50 Morrow Oregon 2021 2 1 Jackson Umatilla 1 Klamath 1 74 5 WALLOWA POLK **JEFFERSON** DESCHUTES HARNEY West Nile virus detected No activity October 2021

FIGURE 1: Oregon County map of West Nile Virus activity in 2021.

TABLE 1: BOARD OF TRUSTEES

District operations are supervised by a five-member board appointed to four year terms by the County Commissioners. Vector Control Board meetings are held the second Tuesday of each month at 4:30 p.m. in the Vector Control District office. Board members receive \$50 per month as compensation for services performed as a member of the governing body.

Member	Term Expiration Date
Dan Green, Board Chairman	November 16, 2023
Dakota Hufford, Vice-Chairman	August 11, 2024
Lowell Hanna, Treasurer	November 16, 2024
Amber Wimsatt, Secretary	May 18, 2025
Vacant Position	

TABLE 2: DISTRICT STAFF

Employee	Title
Joshua Jacobson	Executive Director
Theresa Micallef	Office Manager
Maggie Atchley	Field Manager
Seasonal Employees (5 to 10)	Technician

All personnel employed by the District that distribute larvicide and/or adulticide receive pesticide usage and safety training and are Licensed Public Pesticide Operators by the Oregon Department of Agriculture.

CHARTER STATEMENTS

Vision Statement

Clackamas County Vector Control District's vision is to progress towards a future free of vector borne disease using modern scientific, technical and educational tools.

Mission Statement

The Districts mission is the control of public health vectors within Clackamas County using an integrated management approach aiming to limit the number of mosquitoes and flies, reducing annoyance and vector-borne disease.

Core Values

Clackamas County Vector Control District employees work towards the goal of protecting the public from vector borne diseases. In that spirit, we pledge to hold ourselves to the same standards as all Clackamas County employees.

Core values are embodied in six key concepts:

Service, Professionalism, Integrity, Respect, Individual Accountability and Trust

Legislative Guidance

Under the authority of ORS 452.120, Clackamas County Vector Control District shall provide the two following documents to the Clackamas County Commissioners in the first quarter of each calendar year: 1) a proposed Annual Work Program to include an estimate of funds required for the next year, a description of the work contemplated, and the methods to be employed by CCVCD; 2) an Annual Report covering monies expended, methods employed, and work accomplished during the past fiscal year. Thus, to fulfill 2), this Annual Report.

TABLE 3: Control and Surveillance 2021 Statistics. The overall service statistics for the District are displayed below.

Service type	Service description	Statistic
Distribution of mosquitofish (Gambusia affinis)	Individual fish	1,310
Mosquito and fly control	Service calls fielded	527
Miscellaneous calls	Advice over the phone	97
Mosquito control operations	Total treatments (including multiple at same site)	1,479
Larval mosquito surveillance	Total larvae collected for identification	10,957
Adult mosquito surveillance	Total adults collected for identification	2,406
Arbovirus surveillance	Dead bird collections	1

TABLE 4: Summary of 2021 Insecticide Treatments. The active ingredients, trade names, and amounts of mosquito larvicides and mosquito adulticides used during control operations of the District are summarized below.

Insecticide type	Active Ingredient	Trade Name	EPA Reg. No.	Amount of formulation used
Larvacide				
	Long chain oxy- hydrocarbons	Agnique MMF (liquid)	53263-28	15.6 oz.
	Long chain oxy- hydrocarbons	Agnique MMF (granules)	53263-30	0.0 lbs.
	Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis (Bti)	AquaBac (granules)	62637-3	0.0 lbs.
	Bti bacteria	Summitt <i>Bti</i> briquettes	6218-47	58 units
	Methoprene	Altosid Briquets (30 Day)	2724-375	366 units
	Bti and Bs bacteria	4 Star 45 day Briquettes	83362-3	0 units
	Bti and Bs bacteria	4 Star 90 day Briquettes	88362-3	0 units
	Bti and Bs bacteria	VectoMax WSP (packets)	73049-429	1,516 units
Adulticide				
	Pyrethrins	Pyrocide 100	1021-1424	0.0 oz.
	Plant oils	Essentria IC3	N/A	297.7 oz.
	Tau-fluvalinate	Mavrik Aquaflow	2724-478	15.36 oz.

TABLE 5: Adult mosquito surveillance: 2021 CO₂ encephalitis virus surveillance trap species composition. The table below shows the number of each adult mosquito species collected and identified from traps baited with carbon dioxide and set out overnight.

Genus	Species	Mosquitoes collected	% of total collection
Aedes		73	3.03
71cacs	albopictus	8	3.03
	melanimon	1	
	sierrensis	4	
	vexans	60	
	Ae. species	0	
Anopheles		121	5.03
•	freeborni	0	
	punctipennis	121	
	An. species	0	
Culex		1,373	57.07
	erythrothorax	0	
	pipiens	1209	
	restuans	4	
	tarsalis	160	
	Cx. species	0	
Culiseta		838	34.83
	incidens	838	
	inornata	0	
	particeps	0	
Coquillettidia	-	1	0.04
1	perturbans	1	
Total	collected	2,406	100.00

TABLE 6: Larval mosquito surveillance: 2021 larval dipper sample species composition. The table below shows the number of each larval mosquito species collected and identified from 'dipper' samples.

Genus	Species	Larvae	% of total
		collected	collection
Aedes		291	2.66
	albopictus	17	
	cinereus	135	
	japonicus	15	
	sierrensis	108	
	vexans	16	
	washinoi	0	
	Ae. species	0	
Anopheles		500	4.56
	freeborni	41	
	punctipennis	458	
	An. species	1	
Culex		7,793	71.12
	boharti	35	
	pipiens	7,268	
	restuans	16	
	tarsalis	462	
	territans	12	
	Cx species	0	
Culiseta		2,373	21.66
	impatiens	19	
	incidens	2,289	
	inornata	62	
	particeps	3	
	Cs. species	0	
	Total	10,957	100.00

FIGURE 2: Vector Control Zone Map. Clackamas County is divided into 10 vector control zones. Technicians are assigned a zone of responsibility during the control season (March through September). Zone 0 is mostly federal lands not requiring mosquito control.




FIGURE 3: Vector Control Treatment Map. Blue and green circles are sites that received treatments during 2021. Treatments focused on developed urban/suburban/rural areas.




FIGURE 4: Most abundant adult mosquito species by zone in 2021. Variation in abundance due primarily to zone ecology and sampling effort.




FIGURE 5: Most abundant adult mosquitoes collected over entire District (2005 to 2021). ***Note increased Culex pipiens and decreased Culiseta incidens over the last year. This may be due to the uncharacteristically hot summer as Culiseta incidens thrive in cooler temperatures




FIGURE 6: Total number of adult dry ice traps set by year (2005 – 2021). Total number of traps set includes pre-spray trapping starting in 2016.




FIGURE 7: Larval mosquito collection by zone for 2021. Variation in abundance due primarily to zone ecology and sampling effort.




FIGURE 8: Larval mosquito collections by year (2012 – 2021).

Continuation of regular pattern seen, with *Culex pipiens* and *Culiseta incidens* being the dominant species in all samples.




TABLE 7: Miscellaneous service calls (97 total). The Vector Control District receives numerous requests for information on miscellaneous pest problems. The species and number of inquiries about each received in 2021 are listed below.

Pest	# of Calls	Pest	# of Calls
Ant	1	Indian Meal Moth	0
Aphid	0	Mole	0
Bat	5	Mouse	0
Beaver	0	Nutria	3
Bed Bugs	0	Opossum	3
Bee	7	Raccoon	4
Box Elder Bug	1	Rat	36
Carpenter Ant	0	Silverfish	0
Cockroach	0	Skunk	12
Coyote	4	Spider	0
Crane Fly	0	Squirrel	1
Flea	0	Stink bug	0
Gnat	0	Tick	0
Gopher	0	Vole	1
Hornet	3	Other	16

TABLE 8: Public Education Events and Ongoing Public Outreach in 2021.

The district is always happy to give presentations to local entities on vector ecology and mosquito/fly control. This list includes efforts that continue throughout the calendar year.

Effort	Scope	Elements	Timeline
CC Vector Control	World-wide	Public information,	Continuous
District Web Site		District Educational	
		Documents for	
		Teachers,	
		Mosquito Control	
		Videos, News	
CC Vector Control	World-wide	Updates, news, other	Continuous
District Face Book		items relevant to	
Page		vector control and the	
		Citizens of CC	
CC Vector Control	Web/Office	Mosquito control tips	2021
District Calendar		in calendar	
PAC/WEST	Clackamas County	A range of efforts*	2021
Communication			
Public Outreach			
Program			
STEAM Workshops	Clackamas County	2021 (multiple dates)	Rick Reynolds
Clackamas County	Clackamas County	Informational Booth	August 2021
Fair	J		

^{*} The District Public Outreach Program through PAC/WEST includes telephone surveys, digital and social media messaging, direct mailers, local newspaper advertisements, scheduling public speaking engagements, production of tote bags (1,500) and calendars (2,750), curation of our Facebook page, and an educational program that includes lesson plans, posters and pamphlets, and technical advice to teachers.

^{*} CCVCD was not able to participate in the number of public outreach events as in previous years due to COVID-19 and social distancing laws.

TABLE 9: Continuing Education and Training in 2021.

Professional development is a key aspect of staff training, and the District supports this through travel grants and support for various educational venues.

Event	Location	Date	Participants
OMVCA Fall Meeting	Virtual	11/13/2020	Josh
OMVCA Spring Meeting	Virtual	2/16/2021	Josh, Maggie
Colombia Gorge Invasive Species & Exotic Pest Workshop	Virtual	2/25/2021	Maggie
Frontier Precision UAS Drone Training	Boise, Idaho	5/26/2021	Josh
OMVCA Bi-Monthly Conference Calls	Virtual	2021	Josh, Theresa, Maggie

BIOLOGICAL CONTROL EFFORTS 2021

Biological control through distribution of *Gambusia affinis*, the mosquitofish, was promoted as the preferred means of mosquito control conducted by the District. Bio-rational insecticides, such as bacterial agents (*Bti* and *Bs*; Table 2), we utilized in situations were long lasting larval control was needed (swales, retention/detention ponds, storm drains, etc.). *Gambusia affinis* is not native to the Pacific Northwest and therefore cannot be introduced into any aquatic habitats that connect with the larger Willamette watershed. Citizens are advised of this during the distribution process.

ZIKA VIRUS VECTOR SURVEILLANCE

As of November 2021, the mosquitoes that transmit Zika Virus had not been detected in Clackamas County, or the entire state of Oregon. However, these invasive mosquitoes (*Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*) continue to expand their ranges throughout the western United States. Presently, they have invaded the northern San Joaquin Valley, but the Siskiyou Mountain range seems to be a geographical barrier to their spread north into Oregon. Although these mosquito species are expanding in range, the cases of Zika within the United States have decreased drastically since peak outbreak in 2016 (5,168 cases). In 2021 there were no travel related cases of Zika reported.

Zika vector surveillance was conducted in Clackamas County with ova-traps. These traps allow the invasive *Aedes* mosquitoes that transmit Zika virus to lay eggs. Traps are inspected bi-weekly and any eggs collected are brought back to the laboratory for hatching. We did not detect any *Aedes albopictus* or *Aedes aegypti* (the two invasive Zika vectors that are rapidly enlarging their range) during the 2021 mosquito season. However, we did find numerous *Aedes japonicus* eggs in our traps. *Aedes japonicus* invaded the Willamette valley in 2006 and have persisted since in small numbers.

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT

The Northwest Mosquito and Vector Control Association support management of vector populations when and where necessary by means of an integrated program (IPM) designed to benefit or to have minimal adverse effects on people, domestic animals, wildlife and the environment. The integrated pest management policy recognizes that vector populations cannot be eliminated, but may be suppressed to tolerable levels for the well-being of humans, domestic animals and wildlife, and that the selection of scientifically sound suppression methods must be based upon consideration of what is ecologically and economically beneficial in the long-term interest of humankind.

The following IPM principles are to be followed¹:

- Vector control measures should only be undertaken when there is adequate justification based upon surveillance data.
- The combination of methods of vector control should be chosen after careful consideration of the efficacy, health benefits, ecological effects and cost versus benefits of the various options; including public education, natural and biological control, elimination of larval mosquito sources, and insecticide applications.
- Larval mosquito habitats producing vectors that are either natural or created by human activity should be altered in such a manner as to reduce their capacity to produce mosquitoes, while causing the least impact on the environment as possible.
- Insecticides and application methods should be used in the most efficient and least hazardous manner in accordance with all applicable laws, regulations and available scientific data. The registered label requirements for insecticide use should be followed. When choices are available among effective insecticides, those offering the least hazard to non-target organisms should be used. Insecticides should be chosen and used in a manner that will minimize the development of resistance to a given insecticide in vector populations.
- Personnel involved in the vector control program should be properly trained and supervised, certified in accordance with relevant laws and regulations, and are required to keep current with improvements in management techniques through continuing education and/or training programs.

16


¹ All methods and materials used by the District are based on these principals supported by the Northwest Mosquito and Vector Control Association and the American Mosquito Control Association. http://www.nwmvca.org/about.php

COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

The Clackamas County Vector Control District collaborates with the following organizations:











SDAO SPECIAL DISTRICTS ASSOCIATION OF OREGON

Home Programs Trainings Events Publications Insurance Site Newsroom Resources









Advancing global health since 1903





OREGON VECTOR CONTROL ASSOCIATION



Society For Vector Ecology







Public Health

CLACKAMAS COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT

Mosquito and Fly Control in Clackamas County

-Overview

- -CCVCD Introduction
- -West Nile Virus Update
- -Invasive Species Update
- -Plans for 2022
- -Closing Remarks
- -Vote

-Introductions

Josh Jacobson – Executive Director

Board of Trustees

Dan Green – Chairman

Dakota Hufford – Vice Chair

Lowell Hannah – Treasurer

Amber Wimsatt - Secretary

INTRODUCTION (CONTINUED)

- Vision Statement
 - To progress towards a future free of vector borne disease using all the scientific and educational tools available.
- Mission Statement
- The control of public health vectors within Clackamas County using an environmentally friendly approach that aims to limit the number of mosquitoes and flies, reducing annoyance and vector-borne disease.
- Core Values
 - Service, Professionalism, Integrity, Respect, Individual Accountability and Trust.



ORS 452

Permanent levy of \$0.0065 per \$1,000 of assessed property value Local option levy of \$0.025 per \$1,000 of assessed property value Local option levy to expire in 2025


CCVCD 2020 HIGHLIGHTS

2021


- Actual budget expenditures \$1,148,287
- Four full time positions and up to ten seasonal employees
- 13 Vehicles, two equipped for spraying
- 527 Citizen requests for assistance
- 1,479 Mosquito treatments (larval and adult)
- 10,957 Larval mosquito samples
- 2,406 Adult mosquito samples
- 1,310 *Gambusia* fish distributed

WEST NILE VIRUS

- Bird-Mosquito
- Incidental host
- Culex vector in OR
- Disease ecology







INVASIVE SPECIES

ALL ABOUT AEDES

- Aedes mosquitoes are an invasive species that have made their way into many counties in California.
- Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus are urban mosquitoes that feed primarily on humans.
- They are aggressive day-biters found both indoors and outdoors.
- They especially like to feed on ankles, wrists, and elbows.
- Both species lay eggs in small sources of water, as small as a bottle cap.
- Eggs can survive on the surfaces of containers for a long time. They hatch when the container fills with water.

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?

- Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus are a major annoyance and a public health threat.
- These mosquitoes can spread diseases including Zika, dengue, and chikungunya.



1/8-1/4 inch

Actual size of mosquito

Contact your local vector control agency if you detect unusual numbers of mosquitoes or you are being bitten during the day.



CDPH

Colore Barress of Public Health

California Department of Public Health
Infectious Diseases Branch
Vector-Borne Disease Section
(916) 552-9730
www.cdph.ca.gov
September 2016

INVASIVE MOSQUITOES




Aedes aegypti



Aedes albopictus



Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes in California by County/City



Counties with

Aedes aegypti only:

Butte, Fresno, Imperial, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tulare, Ventura, Yolo

Both Aedes aegypti and

Aedes albopictus:

Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, San Diego, Shasta

See pages 2 – 8 for Aedes detections by city or census-designated place in each county.



PREVENT

Eliminate breeding sites

- Every week, dump and scrub containers that hold standing water
- ♦ Keep rain gutters free of debris
- Keep decorative fountains operational or drain the water
- Change water in animal watering dishes often
- Make sure yard drain pipes are not clogged and collecting water

PROTECT

- Cover up: Wear long sleeves and pants when outdoors
- Screens: Make sure doors and windows have screens that fit tightly and do not have holes
- ◆ Use insect repellent: Apply repellent with active ingredients DEET, picaridin, IR3535 or oil of lemon eucalyptus to exposed skin and/or clothing (as directed on the product label)

ELIMINATE MOSQUITO BREEDING SITES AROUND YOUR HOME!

- Discarded cans/bottles
- Flower pots
- Buckets

- Garden tools
- Old tires
- Rain drums/barrels
- Tree holes
- ♦ Toys
- Pet dishes

Anything that holds water!





2022 OPPORTUNITIES

- New Facility (Former Oregon City Police Station)
- Currently Designing Facility Remodel
 - Current IGA with CCOM





Public Outreach



Thank You

Fightthebites.com 503-655-8394

