

Community Road Fund Advisory Committee
Proposed Evaluation Criteria from Meeting #2 Brainstorming
 August 22, 2019

Topic	Group #1 Comments	Group #2 Comments	Group #3 Comments
Development	Future development (known projects, master plans)	Current development that could be supported by the project? Remedy an issue due to current or projected growth?	Projected growth & development in the area, including adjacent cities
Safety	Safety; frequency of crashes; lack of alternative routes	Safety; could include schools, personal safety, shoulders	Safety; frequency of crashes
Cost-effectiveness	Cost-effectiveness	Bang for the buck; help address a need/area impacted by a more expensive project that can't get funding?	Cost-effectiveness. Effectiveness of improvements.
Show progress	Low-hanging fruit	Cheaper alternative?	Show progress; low-hanging fruit.
Leverage funds	Ability to leverage other funds	Leverage other investments or funding sources.	Partner with other agencies – cities, ODOT; leverage money. A grant can change what qualifies as low-hanging fruit
Geographic equity	Geographic equity – cost vs. number of projects; population survey	Spread projects across the county, not just in one area.	Geographic equity; make sure not all money goes to urbanized areas
Traffic impact	Traffic pattern changes – current and projected	Number of people or trips impacted. Improve traffic flow? Reduce congestion?	--
Commercial / freight impacts	--	Commercial impacts, improvements to freight movement. Does it support the economy?	Impact on freight movement

Other

- Use TSP goals
- Elevate projects that check the most boxes on our criteria list
- Need information on:
 - TSP scores
 - Relationship to SDCs
 - Up-to-date traffic counts
 - Road cross-section
 - Option to phase projects

Group #1 Detailed Comments

- Traffic pattern changes
 - Current use
 - Projected demand
- Future development
 - Known projects
 - Future master plan
- Public safety use
- Cost effectiveness
 - Low-hanging fruit
- Ability to leverage other funds
- Frequency of crashes
 - Lack of alternative routes
- Geographic equity
 - \$ vs # of projects
 - Population survey
- Utilize TSP goals

Group #2 Detailed Comments

- General comment: Would be good to have previous scores
- Criteria
 - Number of people impacted or how many trips impacted. For example, Linwood project should be a higher priority than McNary project since Linwood has more vehicles traveling on it.
 - Bang for the buck – cost effectiveness
 - Also, does the project help address a need / area that is impacted by a more expensive project that cannot get funding? For example, the Barlow/99E intersection should be ranked higher because it addresses a problem in the Arndt Road impact area
 - Is there a cheaper alternative?
 - Safety is important,
 - How do we measure impact to safety?
 - Could be improvements around schools, personal safety, shoulders
 - Spread projects across the county, not just in one area
 - Is development occurring in the area that the project could support? Does it remedy an issue that occurs in the long-term or due to immediate growth?
 - Does it improve traffic flow? How much does it reduce congestion?
 - Can it leverage other investments or other funding sources?
 - Look at commercial impacts, improvements to freight movement. Does it support the economy? Example: commercial traffic that will be created by the Columbia distributing warehouse in Canby.

Group #3 Detailed Comments

- Show progress (low-hanging fruit)
- Safety (frequency of crashes)
- Elevate the projects that check the most boxes on our criteria list

- Partner with other agencies – cities, ODOT; leverage the money
 - A grant could change which projects qualify as low-hanging fruit
- Geographic equity; make sure not all money goes to urbanized areas
- Impact on freight movement
- Cost-effectiveness
- Effectiveness of improvements
- Cost
- Projected growth and development in the area, in unincorporated areas and adjacent cities
- Need information on:
 - Relationship to SDCs
 - Up-to-date traffic counts
 - Road cross-section
 - Option to phase projects