

YOUR VOTED BALLOT MUST BE IN THE ELECTIONS OFFICE NO LATER THAN 8:00 P.M. ON TUESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1996 TO BE COUNTED.

OFFICIAL BALLOT, SPECIAL ELECTION, CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1996

## **CITY OF JOHNSON CITY RECALL ELECTION**

Submitted to the legal voters of said City.

### **ROBERT E. LANG, MAYOR, CITY OF JOHNSON CITY REASONS FOR DEMANDING RECALL OF ROBERT E. LANG, MAYOR, CITY OF JOHNSON CITY**

For violation of city charter which includes Chapter Seven, Sec. 35, Robert Lang took an oath of office to uphold the Constitution of the United States and Oregon, and the Charter of Johnson City. These violations include dictating approval of a Councilman to be absent from the City for 30 days without the consent of the Council as required by City Charter Ch. 4, Sec. 17.

The Mayor has overstepped his power and authority set forth by the people of Johnson City in the City Charter, by declaring himself Chief Administrative Officer. In this role he has declared his own rules of council, and resolutions without the approved authorization of the City Council as set forth in Ch. 4 Sec. 21 of the City Charter.

In recent City Council meetings Robert Lang as Mayor has restricted and intimidated citizens during residence comment time as set forth by the City Council agenda. He has limited citizens freedom of speech and has used his position to question the validity of residency of citizens of Johnson City.

Robert Lang is no longer representing the people of Johnson City, he is looking out for his own best interest, not yours.

### **JUSTIFICATION OF HIS COURSE IN OFFICE ROBERT E. LANG, MAYOR, CITY OF JOHNSON CITY**

This recall movement is a sham. City council has represented varying points of views. This recall is an effort to change the council into a possible single point of view. Such a change would be a detriment to city residents.

The council and mayor have been criticized for decisions made, particularly by one council member not agreeing with his points of view, and by some citizens. Particularly by one Aaron Falotico, who has misquoted the city charter and sections and mis-interpreted in his recall petition, mis-leading citizens into what is not there. His statement of limiting citizen comments is baseless, as I attempt to follow Robert's Rules of Order at council meetings. He attended one council meeting and attempted to dis-rupt and interrupt while council was in session.

It's your choice, to be governed by a possible tyranny of a small group of citizens desiring their own points of view. The council and park management has had a good working relationship but this could be placed into jeopardy with the city bearing the expense of all labor services, now performed by management gratis and the city asking for a tax base to support its' budget. Defeat this recall.

<b>YES, I VOTE FOR THE RECALL</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>→</b>
<b>NO, I VOTE AGAINST THE RECALL</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>→</b>

**END OF BALLOT**

### **WARNING**

Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment or to a fine, or both.