



Lynn Peterson  
Chair

Commissioners  
Bob Austin  
Jim Bernard  
Charlotte Lehan  
Ann Lininger

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

PUBLIC SERVICES BUILDING

2051 KAEN ROAD | OREGON CITY, OR 97045

# AGENDA

## THURSDAY, August 12, 2010 - 10:00 AM BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Beginning Board Order No. 2010-105

### **I. PRESENTATION** *(Following are items of interest to the citizens of the County)*

1. Informational Update on Clackamas County's Locally Grown Food Programs  
(Eben Polk, Office of Sustainability)

**II. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION** *(The Chair of the Board will call for statements from citizens regarding issues relating to County government. It is the intention that this portion of the agenda shall be limited to items of County business which are properly the object of Board consideration and may not be of a personal nature. Persons wishing to speak shall be allowed to do so after registering on the blue card provided on the table outside of the hearing room prior to the beginning of the hearing. Testimony is limited to three (3) minutes. Comments shall be respectful and courteous to all.)*

**III. DISCUSSION ITEMS** *(The following items will be individually presented by County staff or other appropriate individuals. Citizens who want to comment on a discussion item may do so when called on by the Chair.)*

**~NO DISCUSSION ITEMS SCHEDULED**

**IV. CONSENT AGENDA** *(The following items are considered to be routine, and therefore will not be allotted individual discussion time on the agenda. Many of these items have been discussed by the Board in Study Session. The items on the Consent Agenda will be approved in one motion unless a Board member requests, before the vote on the motion, to have an item considered at its regular place on the agenda.)*

#### **A. Health, Housing & Human Services**

1. Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Canby for Diversion Panel Services - CYF
2. Approval of an Amendment to an Intergovernmental Revenue Agreement with the State of Oregon Acting by and through its Oregon Commission on Children and Families for the Receipt of State Grant Funds for the 09-11 Biennium – CYF

#### **B. Finance Department**

1. Approval of a Contract with P&C Construction Company for the Sunnybrook Facility Renovation Project

#### **C. Elected Officials**

1. Approval of Previous Business Meeting Minutes – BCC

2. Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement between Clackamas County Department of Assessment and Taxation and the State of Oregon Department of Administrative Services for Publishing and Distribution Services - ASSESSOR

**V. WATER ENVIRONMENT SERVICES**

1. Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement between Clackamas County, by and through the Engineering Division of its Department of Transportation and Development and Clackamas County Service District No. 1 and the Surface Water Management Agency of Clackamas County for the Underground Injection Control Systems Characterization Project

**VI. COMMISSIONERS COMMUNICATION**

**NOTE: Regularly scheduled Business Meetings are televised and broadcast on the Clackamas County Government Channel. These programs are also accessible through the County's Internet site. DVD copies of regularly scheduled BCC Thursday Business Meetings are available for checkout at the Clackamas County Library in Oak Grove by the following Saturday. You may also order copies from any library in Clackamas County or the Clackamas County Government Channel.**

**<http://www.clackamas.us/bcc/business/>**



Campbell M. Gilmour  
Director

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND DEVELOPMENT  
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES BUILDING  
150 BEAVERCREEK ROAD · OREGON CITY, OR 97045

August 12, 2010

Board of County Commissioners  
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

**Informational Update on the County's Local Food Programs**

Part of our vision for a sustainable community includes affordable, sustainably grown food that is healthy for us and our economy, keeping dollars in the economy and supporting landowners that choose good stewardship practices. Keeping food dollars in the community has enormous potential to benefit all of us. The average American household spends \$6,400 per year on food, according to the US Bureau of Labor Statistics. That means Clackamas County households spend almost \$1 billion a year on food.

The Green Ribbon Report that recommended the establishment of our sustainability office also identified the promotion of metropolitan agriculture and 'growing local growers' as two strategic opportunities for diversifying and strengthening Clackamas County's already sizeable agricultural economy. The potential in this area is significant.

Today, the Office of Sustainability is pleased to briefly update you on a few of the County's current efforts to promote healthy eating and the local food economy:

- Supporting the Oregon City Farmers' Market
- Farm Share Program for County Employees
- Red Soils Farm Stand
- Gardens at Red Soils, Clackamas Heights, Corrections Facilities, and Milwaukie Center
- Assistance from Juvenile Department and WFI developing community gardens

These modest activities are extremely cost-effective and scalable, and may be leveraged into initiatives that meet the larger opportunities to promote and invest in local agriculture.

Sincerely,

  
Eben Polk  
Sr. Sustainability Analyst

For information on this issue or copies of attachments please contact Eben Polk at (503) 742-4470.

COPY

Cindy Becker, Director

August 12, 2010

Board of Commissioners  
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

**Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with  
City of Canby For Diversion Panel Services**

The Children, Youth & Families Division of the Health, Housing & Human Services Department requests the approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Canby for Diversion Panel services. This contract will fund a Diversion Panel Coordinator who will assess youth referred by the Juvenile Department, work with the youth to develop Individual Diversion Agreements (IDA) with input from a panel of local community members, and monitor the youth for successful completion of diversion agreement requirements.

We anticipate that 85% of youth participants will successfully complete the IDA and will not be referred for a law violation during the 12 month period after services end.

Total amount of this agreement is \$14,777. Funds are budgeted in the Prevention Services grant stream for fiscal year 2010-2011 to cover this agreement. No County General Funds are involved. This agreement is effective upon acceptance by all parties and will terminate June 30, 2011. This contract is in the format approved by County Counsel as part of the DHS contract standardization project.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend the approval of this agreement and that Cindy Becker be authorized to sign on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners.

Respectfully submitted,



Cindy Becker  
Director

COPY

August 12, 2010

Board of Commissioners  
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

**Approval of an Amendment to an Intergovernmental Revenue Agreement with the State of Oregon Acting by and through its Oregon Commission on Children and Families for the Receipt of State Grant Funds for the 09-11 Biennium**

The Children, Youth & Families Division of the Health, Housing and Human Services Department requests the approval of an Amendment to an Intergovernmental Revenue Agreement with the State of Oregon acting by and through its Oregon Commission on Children and Families for the receipt of state grant funds for the 09-11 Biennium. This amendment allocates funding for the Healthy Start and Relief Nursery programs through June 30, 2011. Healthy Start provides home visits to first time parents here in Clackamas County. Relief Nurseries provide therapeutic early childhood education programs, home visitation and parent education and support.

Total amount of this agreement is \$3,958,163, an increase of \$765,388. Funds are budgeted in the Healthy Start and Relief Nursery grant streams for fiscal year 2010-2011 to cover this agreement. No County General Funds are involved. This agreement is effective upon acceptance by all parties and will terminate June 30, 2011. This contract was approved by County Counsel on June 10, 2009

**Recommendation:**

We recommend the approval of this amendment and that Cindy Becker be authorized to sign on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners.

Respectfully submitted,



Cindy Becker  
Director



Marc Gonzales  
Director

**DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE**

**PUBLIC SERVICES BUILDING**  
2051 KAEN ROAD | OREGON CITY, OR 97045

Board of County Commissioners  
Clackamas County

Members of the Board:

**Approval of a Contract with P&C Construction Company for the Sunnybrook Facility  
Renovation Project**

In January 2009 the County began a project to develop a Facilities Master Plan for Public Safety Operations. The prime objective of the Master Plan was to examine the current locations of the Sheriff's Office operating units, create options for consolidation and efficiency, prepare a priority list of improvements for existing facilities and explore options for providing additional space to address the Sheriff's Office needs for the next ten years.

The Sheriff's Office (CCSO) is currently divided among eight facilities with a geographically dispersed workforce that does not have the physical ability to interact easily with each other. The Facilities Master Plan for Public Safety Operations identified the Sunnybrook Facility as a building well suited for consolidating multiple Sheriff's functions. This consolidation will allow the CCSO the opportunity to create a better-managed and more efficient operation. The facility will house Administration, Records, Detectives, Patrol, Information Technology, and other smaller groups. The building will also house Justice Court and Community Court functions.

The Master Plan identified a number of improvements that will be necessary to adapt the Sunnybrook facility to meet the operational needs of the Sheriffs Office. The project includes upgrading of HVAC systems and controls, addition of emergency generator and transfer equipment, new lighting and controls, addition of two entrance vestibules at the main entrance, additional insulation, incorporation of solar technology and conversion of a first floor auditorium for use by the Community and Justice Court functions. The site work will include security fencing and gates, a new entry plaza and the addition of a new paved surface parking area along with other minor site improvements. In keeping with the County's stated goal of maximizing environmental and financial sustainability, the project has been registered with LEED with the goal of meeting the LEED NC 2.2 Gold certification requirements.

In compliance with the Clackamas County Local Contract Review Board Rules and ORS 279C, the Purchasing Department requested approval to utilize the Request for Proposal process to select a General Contractor for this project. The required Public Notice was advertised and the findings were presented to the Board and approved in December of 2009. The Request for Proposals was advertised resulting in submission of qualifications from seven firms which were evaluated, resulting subsequently in a short list of five General Contractors. On June 22, 2010 cost proposals were received from the five General Contractors. P&C Construction Company \$5,713,000, Skanska \$5,750,000, HS Wright \$5,785,000, LCG Pence \$5,849,000, Bremik \$6,209,000.

P&C Construction Company was determined to be the lowest responsive responsible bidder and the Notice of Intent to Award was issued on June 28, 2010.

The Solicitation included four additive alternates for work that could be awarded if the base bid amount was lower than the anticipated project estimate of \$6,500,000. The four alternates were accepted thereby increasing the contract to \$6,092,557. The project is anticipated to be complete within 12 months from the issuance of Notice to Proceed.

Funds for this project will be provided through a combination of revenues from the 2009 sale of Full Faith and Credit Obligations by the County and available budgeted funds.

This Contract has been reviewed and approved as to form by County Counsel.

### RECOMMENDATION

Staff respectfully recommends that the Board approve the Contract with P&C Construction Company for the Sunnybrook Facility Renovation Project in the amount of \$6,092,557.

Respectfully submitted,



Marc Gonzales  
Finance Director

For more information on this issue or copies of attachments  
please contact Lane Miller, 503-742-5442

Placed on the August 12, 2010 agenda by the Purchasing Division.

## **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS BUSINESS MEETING MINUTES**

*A complete video copy and packet including staff reports, of this meeting can be viewed at*

*<http://www.clackamas.us/bcc/business/>*

**Thursday, June 24, 2010 – 10:00 AM**

**Public Services Building**

**2051 Kaen Road, Oregon City, OR 97045**

**PRESENT: Commissioner Lynn Peterson  
Commissioner Bob Austin  
Commissioner Jim Bernard  
Commissioner Charlotte Lehan  
Commissioner Ann Lininger**

**★PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE★**

### **I. CITIZEN COMMUNICATION**

1. Annie Hutchinson, 28520 S. Hult Road, Beavercreek – concerned about the condition of Hult Road – would like the County to fix.
2. Gary Webb, 28321 S. Hult Road, Beavercreek – condition of Hult Road.

Chair Peterson asked Steve Wheeler to make sure a meeting is set so all parties can discuss the issue of Hult Road.

Steve Wheeler, County Administrator said a meeting will be arranged within two weeks, and County staff will contact Ms. Hutchinson with the date and time.

[\*note: a meeting was held on July 8, 2010 at 3 PM to discuss the condition of Hult Road.]

3. Les Poole, 15115 SE Lee, Milwaukie - spoke about citizen involvement and changing the times of BCC meetings.

### **II. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

1. Board Order No. **2010-78** Adopting the Clackamas County Budget and Related Issues for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year

Steve Wheeler, County Administrator gave an overview of the budget process and his budget message for the 2010/2011 fiscal year.

Diane Padilla, Budget Manager presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and stated there are several folks signed up to speak.

Noel Hygelund, 12511 S. Union Hall Road, Canby – support arts.

Bethany Kraft, 26576 S. Sparky Lane, Estacada - supports arts.

Kirk Mouser, 159 Ladd St. LO – supports arts.

Paul Salvas, PO Box 68240, Oak Grove – difficulty finding budget info on the County web page.

Chair Peterson asked if anyone else wished to speak, seeing none she closed the public hearing and asked for a motion.

**MOTION:** *(the following motion is a verbatim transcript)*

Commissioner Lininger:

Chair Peterson, I have a long motion but it's worth it. I move that we adopt the 2010-2011 budget and make appropriations for Clackamas County as amended today and approve all other actions as presented in the Board Order.

In addition to the amendments detailed in Exhibit A of the Board Order, further amendments shall include:

Restoring \$50,000 to Arts and Culture that is currently included in the reduction of funding to front-line services and making the following budget adjustments to replace those funds:



- Reduce the Facilities Management contingency by \$15,000;
- Reduce the County Administration personal services and material and services budget by \$17,000;
- Reduce the Board of Commissioners materials and services budget by \$2,850;
- Reduce the General Fund Reserves by \$15,150

As part of my overall motion I further move that the \$1.0 million redirected to current front-line priority needs made by the Budget Committee follow these guiding principles for subsequent appropriation:

1. Maximizing use of County resources to provide direct benefit to residents of the County;
2. Assisting people who are particularly struggling during this economic crisis;
3. Investing in services such as mental health and addiction treatment that prevent larger expenses, like criminal justice system involvement, later on.

Chair Peterson:

Do I have a second?

Commissioner Bernard:

**I'd like to second that and I'd like to offer an amendment to the motion.**

Chair Peterson:

Okay, we can do that during discussion.

Commissioner Bernard:

Okay.

Chair Peterson:

Is there any discussion on this item?

Commissioner Bernard:

May I offer an amendment?

Commissioner Austin:

Well there's probably so many things that we can discuss and talk about this because I mean we did have a lot of good discussion with our budget committee and we do have an equal number of citizens on our budget committee for this \$500 million plus budget category so we did spend a lot of time. We did look for all kinds of ways to redirect dollars where we could to save dollars and I really would like to thank all those citizen members of our budget committee too because they really, really worked hard and for something like the arts. It's not that we're out here trying to reprioritize arts over, you know, struggling families. It's a matter of trying to prioritize all of those things together because I'm a little biased. I'm from Estacada so, I mean, if you haven't had a chance to look at those wonderful tiles in the Clackamas River Elementary, go ahead and take a look at those because they're just tremendous and the same happens for what the Arts Alliance has been able to do for other rural parts of the County, whether it's going to Canby. I know Molalla is developing more of an interest in the arts with their Main Street program. They've had gallery night so it's really an integral part of our culture so it's really, really critical. It's one of the many things we do with this budget so I just wanted to confirm that. I could probably go on and on but I will refrain since we have a number of other budget hearings to get through too but again, thanks to everyone who contributed. All of you who have come in today to express your concerns and as to some of the other issues of, you know, can we be more transparent. Well, one of the things we do, we have twelve other budget committees, including beyond this one. We also have pretty close to seventy different advisory boards, committees, commissions for all different purposes. So we do solicit lots and lots of community involvement and can we do better? We can always do better. I think all of us here have our own individual Face Book pages using social media. The County is doing more of that so we're trying to do as much as we possibly can because we know the newspapers are maybe not being read as much as they used to and so there's a struggle there to move to the electronic media and so we're doing the same sort of thing, trying to make sure we're reaching out using all the channels of communication we do have so whether it's budget, whether it's other things going on in the County, so that's sort of our struggle and our move to do even better than hopefully we do today. So, thank you.

Chair Peterson:

Thank you for that additional – that helps. Commissioner Lininger or Bernard.

Commissioner Lininger:

I'm happy to go either way. My comment is going to be about the budget without the amendment but if you would like to – I defer to you. Would it make sense for me to make those comments before we . . . ?

Chair Peterson:

Why don't you go ahead and make your friendly amendment.

Commissioner Bernard:

Well first off, I agree with the restoration of the \$50,000 but all the items down below I'd like to see the \$50,000 that we're looking at just set aside and looked at specifically rather than identifying them which is what we did with the rest of the million. How we're going to spend that money. I'd like to just set aside those \$50,000 to look at how we might spend those. One of the things that as a small business owner that concerns me and I don't know that \$15,000 in Facilities Management is going to make a difference at all but I don't want to risk, you know, not protecting our investments to just put \$15,000 in an arbitrary spot right now so I'd prefer to set that aside, the whole \$50,000 to review. And then on the bottom where you redirect the current one, I wanted to add juvenile beds because we did talk about an opportunity to add juvenile beds so the rest of it I'm good with but there's an additional part that I would like to add and so I'm going to read a statement.

Chair Peterson:

Before you do that Commissioner, can we just get clarification on – from our County Administrator on where the redirect came from for being able to restore the \$50,000 because it wasn't haphazard? It is my understanding is there was a lot of discussion about where this money would come from so I just want to clarify that to maybe make you feel a little bit more comfortable about how this money . .

Steve Wheeler:

Are you talking about the additional \$50,000 that was . . .

Chair Peterson:

To the reduction in the motion of the facilities management contingency, the County Administration personal services, the Board of County Commissioners material and services and the General Fund reserve.

Steve Wheeler:

Right but we carefully combed through everything. Very briefly on Facilities Management, it would be reducing a contingency account. Hopefully in a budget year you don't need to use contingency in a good year. You don't – but you need some – we still have some in there. I couldn't tell you off the top of my head how much is there but it does reduce it. An example of something that came up with Facilities that we didn't anticipate this past year was the situation around the courthouse where the ground seems to be moving a little bit towards the river and we have to conduct some special geotechnical studies. Those types of things were unanticipated but in some years nothing happens so, you know, it's just, you know, I guess in that sense. In Administration we would be carefully taking from a variety of places, a small reduction for mileage reimbursement, reducing the ability to sell back vacation, reducing travel accounts and things like that. For the Board of County Commissioners' office there were some small reductions in office equipment repair, meeting expenses and some dues and memberships. We're talking hundreds of dollars, not thousands of dollars there. And then the rest was a small reduction in our reserves so we carefully combed through things. Is it tight? Yes, it's tight for everywhere but, you know, that's we would submit we could do.

Chair Peterson:

Okay, I just wanted to clarify where that is coming from.

Commissioner Bernard:

So my comments on that is that we have an alternative for a smaller group budget committee which consists of Commissioner Lininger and myself and I didn't have an opportunity to look at where you were getting that money and that's why I would suggest again that we just put it aside, \$50,000 and the reason I said it was arbitrary is that the whole figure, a million dollars, is an arbitrary figure. I mean, you could say 950 and we're almost a million dollars but so that's why I said it was arbitrary. I know you worked at it – you know deal with that. But I want to actually add some more money to the pot. One thing that we're not addressing in this budget is business recruitment, retention and jobs so I want to take – well first I'll read my statement so the past budget year was only made bearable thanks to the federal government's contributions of stabilization funds and the support of voters. Frankly, bearable is not good enough. I am not an economist and I don't read tea leaves. None of us know a sure-fire solution to an uncertain future that does not include some form of tax reform, cost reduction, strategic investment and finally our responsibility to support job growth and business opportunity in Clackamas County. Next year will even be tougher and there's no time to waste. Thousands of people are unemployed and many of those are returning vets. All will need community support and many may need drug and alcohol treatment. It is our responsibility as citizens of Clackamas County and County Commissioners to take action. In 2010 we took action as a new Commission to look at how and what services we are providing and what investments we can make to reduce the cost and still provide outstanding service. Action 1, we learn as much as we can about the services the County provides and where possible to participate in partnership with state, federal and local governments to provide the best service possible. Action 2, the redesign of behavioral health which started with the discussion with our service providers while both profit and nonprofit, and we'll culminate in providing more service to our citizens closer to their home and to the community. Action 3, require grants to aid in reducing energy costs in our buildings and accelerate energy and resource efficiency in our homes and businesses throughout Clackamas County. We have successfully received more than \$19 million. The upcoming budget year we are taking action again to hold the line, make strategic investments where those investments have proven results and there are over three million people in prison in the U.S. which includes 3,365 jails and 12 million admissions and releases, representing nine million unique individuals most in prison for brief periods of time. The number of admissions is 16 times held in the mid-2006. Jails are not the solution of the problem. In Oregon there are 3,400 people supervised across the state by Community Corrections and 7 out of 10 of those manage to not reoffend. Community Corrections saves tax payers an average of \$75.00 a day over imprisonment. Most people in our jails are there because they are addicted. Treatment is the key to save money and ending the cycle of drug and alcohol abuse. Drug and alcohol abuse is the leading cause of child abuse. Action 1, invest in treatment and early intervention which is suggested in our million dollar redistribution. The fact that this will provide the greatest return on our investment is important. Action 2 is that I'd like to set aside from the General Fund contingency another \$200,000 to consider for investment in jobs and business growth opportunity. Lottery dollars support for business and economic development efforts used to be \$2 million. I'm not suggesting that people gamble more but now its \$1.2 million. Our Business and Community Service department, BCS, used to get most of that money and in this year's budget, they're getting \$800,000 and I suggest that we give them an extra \$200,000 of our contingency for consideration, now I said consideration, and that if we can't find a better use that would actually create job opportunity or support business growth, that we do not spend the money. But my understanding is that in this budget process we do it now, it's easier than later. So I suggest that we put \$200,000 of that contingency and set it aside for consideration and I don't mean hire a consultant. I don't mean anything other than talk to Gary and his department and see what programs worked in the past that we haven't invested in currently and we should be investing in so my motion is that we also, with consideration of my others, and I could do it separately, maybe that'd be the better way to do it, is to also add \$200,000 set aside for consideration under – in BCS Department of job growth, retention and employment.

Chair Peterson:

Okay, now I'm just going to ask our County Counsel, is it better to make an amendment – a friendly amendment to this motion because it outlined everything in the budget and then so make it a friendly amendment to this motion or is it better to make it a separate motion?

Steven Lounsbury, County Counsel:

Well, it could be done in a couple of different ways. It could be done as a series of amendments to the main motion or it could be done as a separate amendment in total as long as the bases for the amended motion are set forth, so, basically . .

Chair Peterson:

So why don't we go ahead and deal with what we've got in front of us and then talk about the \$200,000 proposal.

Commissioner Bernard:

Well, I made the motion. I haven't heard a second yet.

Commissioner Lehan:

**I'll second it.**

Chair Peterson:

So it's a friendly amendment then?

Commissioner Lehan:

Well, yeah. . .

Commissioner Bernard:

I made the motion to amend the . . .

Chair Peterson:

. . . the main motion.

Commissioner Bernard:

. . . the main motion and that was the second.

Commissioner Lehan:

Okay.

Chair Peterson:

Okay, let's talk to the friendly amendment, if it is a friendly amendment.

Commissioner Lehan:

Oh, sure . . .

Commissioner Austin:

Chair Peterson, I think it is a friendly amendment. My only caution – I'm fully supportive of trying to do as much as we can do, you know, to support business, to make sure we have jobs, we have veterans returning. There's a huge job fair this Saturday so I think we need to do as much as possible. My only reservation here is that we didn't have an opportunity to discuss this issue with the other citizen members of our budget committee so that's the piece that I'm just kind of wondering about right now. I mean, one of the things that we're planning to do, the other half of the or the very end of the – near the very end of the motion that Commissioner Lininger made talked about, you know, these are the principles, these are the priorities and these will guide subsequent appropriations and so we didn't really talk about that process at all but basically I think we're looking to our County Administrator to go back, speak to all of the departments and to come up with a plan for what best meets those principles and so based on the way I interpret and read the principles, I think what Commissioner Bernard is asking for is already covered within those principles so I think we can probably see actions that would help struggling people, have direct benefit to residents and could include things like, you know, jobs, job creation, jobs assistance, etc. and so I'm just wondering if it's necessary now to have another \$200,000 taken out of contingency or do we wait until we have a subsequent discussion with our budget committee again. We're meeting roughly quarterly, maybe not quite that, but I think we still have the opportunity to do that. We could still probably, with the roughly million dollars we have at least in this motion targeting to – it's a target, that's how I see it - to set aside for these high priorities. We could probably still include business development – those other issues and if we need more, we can always do a supplemental budget and use more out of contingency so I just – I would just love to have more discussion with the citizen members of our budget committee. That's my only reservation. I agree 100% with the intent here. It's wonderful if we could do more with our dollars. That's much better.

Chair Peterson:

Commissioner Lehan.

Commissioner Lehan:

Well, I guess I wanted to ask a similar question but I was going to ask the County Administrator, as I'm understanding the motion, it sounds like – rather like moving out of General Fund contingency into Business and Community Services contingency, is that kind of what it is. I mean it says for consideration. It's not a commitment to spend it so it's like moving it out of one contingency fund and putting in another contingency fund and no decision is being made to spend it right now and maybe like any other contingency fund it would end up not being spent. Is that kind of what I see and if so, is that a big adjustment or not?

Steve Wheeler, County Administrator:

The way I understood it was partially that way. We would move it into Business Community Services contingency but there was an active component that we were to search out active means to use that in the method specified by Commissioner Bernard and if we could and they were proven or had been successful in the past as I understood it, we would then direct it out of that contingency and appropriate programs, etc. but we'd have to check back of course . . .

Commissioner Lehan:

But that would be a subsequent action that could come with the other, touching base regularly with the citizen budget committee I presume. I mean if it were in the quarterly .

Steve Wheeler:

We could, yes . . .

Commissioner Lehan:

. . . kind of time frame.

Steve Wheeler:

Thank would be at the discretion of the Board I think.

Chair Peterson:

Just a quick question. At the moment, under the existing recommendation and amendment, the General Fund reserve is at what percentage of – and what is recommended?

Steve Wheeler:

Diane could run that calculation probably.

Diane Padilla:

Well, in reserves at the moment there's about \$11,700,000. . .

Chair Peterson:

And that's what percentage of the budget?

Diane Padilla:

Well, our target is over – let me think.

Chair Peterson:

Just, let me get an answer.

Diane Padilla:

I'm trying to come up with my numbers here.

Chair Peterson:

I'm sorry, Commissioner Bernard. . .

Diane Padilla:

. . . almost 10%.

Chair Peterson:

Commissioner Bernard has reminded me that it's not reserve, it's contingency.

Diane Padilla:

Well, our policy – okay. . .

Chair Peterson:

That I should have been asking . . .

Diane Padilla:

Contingency is about \$2.4 million.

Chair Peterson:

And normally how much . . .

Diane Padilla:

As a matter of policy, we try and have 5% of contingency and reserves together so that would be about \$7,500,000. The reason we have the additional money in reserves is that was the set-aside from the timber revenue of another \$7,000. . .

Steve Wheeler:

\$7 million. . .

Diane Padilla:

\$7 million, yes, sorry.

Chair Peterson:

Okay, that helps me put it into perspective of what we're talking about in terms of how much it is. Any other comments? Commissioner Lininger.

Commissioner Lininger:

I think that it's real essential for us to work in creating jobs. I think it's really essential for us to be careful, thoughtful stewards of our budget. I did receive this information, within the last 20 minutes before the meeting started and so it's hard for me to feel like we've had a chance to discuss and vet what the deployment goals and plan is for this and I know that we have the budget modification capacity of up to 10% of our budget in a given year which would be \$5.72 million, right? Theoretically we can subsequent to now still move \$5.72 million, you know, a lot of ways, including, and I think I could absolutely see supporting for jobs creation, economic development. I have some concern about whether or not I've had a chance to kind of fully do my due diligence on this proposal but I certainly support the idea of job creation and economic development.

Chair Peterson:

Commissioner Bernard.

Commissioner Bernard:

Just for clarification's sake, I got this 20 minutes before.

Chair Peterson:

Where the reductions would come from. . .

Commissioner Bernard:

This motion actually. So just – so I didn't really have time to look at this and that's why I'm saying that. Also, one of the – just for budget reasons and for future things, I would like to suggest that, you know, the staff works really hard to cut their budgets and then they found out just a few minutes before we were done voting that we wanted a million so I, you know, we need to be careful there too. So they had to go back and look at what they had which by the way is a heck of a lot better than what the state did – 9% across the board which would be very difficult to do but I think we ought to next time say, this is the budget. If we want a million, let's ask a month before rather than the day of and then put them in a spot so the same vein we need to be careful there but I also didn't get this until about 20 minutes to half hour before so I didn't have time to vet this part of it.

Chair Peterson:

So with regard to trying to summarize what I'm hearing, if I could, one of the things that we talked about with the budget committee is that we're going to have substantive policy discussions as we move through towards the next budget cycle because a lot of this does come up at the end anyway but try to have those major policy discussions up front and I think that we all recognize that that's something that we want to do so we're definitely moving in that direction as we go through our quarterly budget meetings with our budget committee members and both of you serve on that so we'll be looking for those to come forward and then on the, on the proposal on the friendly amendment,

County Administrator Steve Wheeler – I feel like I have to say the whole title and name – the, I guess my question is if we could schedule a conversation with Mr. Barth about the future of Economic Development in our County because I think we were – most of us were in attendance at a large regional gathering this week where we saw a lot of interesting ideas that are coming forward within the region that we might want to implement and I think that Commissioner Bernard is highlighting something that is actually a really important thing that we're going to need to start really becoming experts in the field of how do we do economic development in Clackamas County and a lot of that's is because the changing nature of the demographics and needs of our County and keeping up with that and making sure that we have the jobs here for folks in our County so that they don't always need to leave Clackamas County when they wake up and go to work in the morning. However, if we need plus or minus some money to be able to accomplish those goals once we've figured out what they are in a conversation after this we would make amendments to this, correct?

Steve Wheeler:

Yes, you could.

Chair Peterson:

Okay, 'cause I'm just – I think what I'm hearing from the Board is that we want to move forward with this conversation about how to fund those types of activities at a higher level of expertise and a higher level of intensity than maybe we have in the past. However, how we do that and how much we need, it could be more, it could be slightly less and I think that Commissioner Bernard has really brought forward a really important issue, especially in light of what we all kind of heard this week at that event, so . . .

Steve Wheeler:

So my understanding is that you want, in the near term, a focused conversation on those possibilities on economic growth and development but perhaps not now setting aside the \$200,000 but making sure that we have that conversation in the near term with the ability to look at that.

Chair Peterson:

I guess what I would propose Commissioner Bernard is that we actually make them part of the conversations in total of how that \$1 million is redirected and if it needs to be slightly more then we would look at doing that if – and we can make an amendment then. Because I do think that Commissioner Austin has pointed out that it is within the principles that we have laid out. It's a different definition maybe than we had originally intended, but it does fit within those principles and as long as we move that forward in this discussion as soon as possible, I would feel comfortable having – moving in that direction.

Steve Wheeler:

Well, and we were intending and are intending to come back on the \$1 million redirection and give you some specific possibilities and opportunities in keeping with the guidelines that you have so that conversation will happen as well.

Chair Peterson:

Okay.

Steve Wheeler:

And if you want to expand it a little bit with this, we're okay with that.

Chair Peterson:

Okay. Commissioner Bernard:

Commissioner Bernard:

There was a motion and a second. . .

Chair Peterson:

Right, and we need to . . .

Commissioner Bernard:

So, I'd like to hear that vote and then we'll . . .

Chair Peterson:

Are you going to call the vote?

Commissioner Bernard:

Please. I call for the vote.

Chair Peterson:

All right. . .

Commissioner Austin:

So Chair Peterson, can you clarify what that amendment really means. I'm still a little bit unclear what . . .

Chair Peterson:

The friendly amendment by Commissioner Bernard was to, as I understand it, to amend the motion, the original motion that we have not voted on yet to add \$200,000, or move \$200,000 from the General Fund Contingency specifically into. . .

Commissioner Bernard:

BCS . . .

Chair Peterson:

Yeah, whatever you are called so with that . . .

Commissioner Bernard:

And to take the \$50,000 and set aside for consideration – which I would be okay to withdraw that portion if you guys - well, so, I will make another friendly amendment to the first one. I'll withdraw the \$50,000. I'd like to complicate this okay.

Chair Peterson:

Yeah, thanks.

Commissioner Bernard:

I'll withdraw the \$50,000 one and then I'd just ask you to say, okay, we'll withdraw that. Then I'll make the friendly amendment that we just add \$200,000 to the BCS Contingency Fund for consideration of investing in jobs, business retention and growth and add one more thing, juvenile beds to the investment and services such as mental health. Okay?

Chair Peterson:

All right, so I believe the original friendly motion has been removed and a new friendly amendment is on the table.

Commissioner Bernard:

Yes.

Chair Peterson:

And that is two-fold, the \$200,000 we talked about last time and to add specifically a discussion about jail beds as number four to the principles and goals of . . .

Commissioner Bernard:

Juvenile beds . . .

Chair Peterson:

Juvenile beds, the principles and goals of the. . .

Commissioner Bernard:

Item 3. . .

Chair Peterson:

Item 4. . . You adding it to item 3?

Commissioner Bernard:

I'm just adding it to item 3. It says mental health. . .

Chair Peterson:

All right, and adding to item 3, juvenile beds.

Commissioner Bernard:

So we're looking for a second down there.

Commissioner Austin:

**Second.**



Chair Peterson:

All right, any further discussion on this? All those in favor say aye.

**Commissioner Bernard: Aye.**

**Commissioner Austin: Aye.**

**Commissioner Lehan: Aye.**

All those opposed, nay?

**Chair Peterson: I'm opposed.**

**Commissioner Lininger: I'm opposed.**

Chari Peterson:

I need to summarize this and then we can talk if you want to but the motion is three to two to move in this general direction of the \$200,000 towards the – moving from one contingency fund to the other but, yeah, so we will have that discussion as part of the \$1 million so at \$1.2 million now how that moves forward.

Steve Wheeler:

We'll try to wrap that together then.

Chair Peterson:

Okay. Thank you. Are there any clarifying comments before we move on to the main motion?

Commissioner Bernard:

Actually there isn't the main motion. We voted. . .

Chair Peterson:

No we haven't voted on the main motion yet.

Steven Lounsbury:

Not on the main motion. You voted on the amended.

Chair Peterson:

It has been moved and seconded by Commissioner Lininger and Commissioner Austin but we have not voted on the main motion yet. You had your friendly amendment and now we'll vote on the main motion.

Commissioner Lininger:

So I'd like to make a comment on the main motion. And I think, you know, I think that be it \$1 million or \$1.2 million what's happening right here is what we want to see government doing and that is taking money from administration and overhead costs like taking some of our publications money and our furniture acquisition money and putting it into direct services that benefit the community and in my book, and I'm happy to see what I think is the maybe an emerging consensus on the board, you know, arts, support for arts programs are direct services to people in the community and as someone who grew up in Jackson County where the Shakespeare Festival is located, I know that a robust arts culture really can be an economic driver for a community and a sense of, you know, help identify, help create a sense of identity so I think it's exciting that we're seeing – that we value or arts and culture at a time when we need to spur economic development and I want to also cite to the fact that the Pugh Research Center has determined that for every dollar of public investment in the arts, there is a spinoff economic multiplier of \$5.00 so this is not just, it's not just good for economic development and good for, you know, people, it is a good business decision so I think it represents the principles that we're really trying to go after in this budget, you know, maximize the dollars and directly serve the community, put money into places that are going to generate, you know, savings or a good return on the investment and then also help people who are struggling because of the economic crisis.

I think that the whole budget, the motion to approve the budget, does this, you know, in part by encompassing the idea of alcohol and drug treatment and mental health treatment as a place where we need to put some money because we have a lot of people – we have 7,800 people, with 13,000 plus people in our jail this last year who have addiction problems and there's data that shows that you can keep people from going to jail in the first place if you help them deal with their addictions. If we had just 1,000 of those people diverted from jail because of effective treatment, that would save over the course of a year, that would save \$8.8 million that we otherwise spend on incarceration. That's a good business decision and it's good for families and good for people. Similarly with mental health, 2,600 people who are in our jails in the last year by statistics have mental illness, if not much larger. There's statistics that say even more. You can keep people out of jail; keep them from offending if you give them mental health care so that they stabilize so they don't unravel in their conditions. It costs way, way less to give people out-patient mental health treatment and housing than it costs to have them be in jail if just 1,000 of these 2,600 people were diverted from jail based on mental health treatment and housing the County could save \$13 million a year net after paying for the housing and mental health treatment so the notion that we've now identified over a million dollars, including some of it to go to mental health and alcohol treatment, that is good business decision and it's great for the community and I think it's very consistent with these principles so it's a happy day that we're caring about arts, that we're caring about people and that we're caring about getting a great return on our investment for Clackamas County residents.

Chair Peterson:

Great, any other comments on the motion?

Commissioner Austin:

Just that I would, you know, all of what I heard and probably more in that, you know, based on how complicated it seems like we've made things here, I think it's still pretty simple because we're still going to have subsequent discussions. It's possible we need more than \$200,000 for business development. Maybe we need less. Maybe we need a lot more so we'll have a lot of time to discuss and see precisely where we push forward in these various arenas and it's always one of those things where, you know, we're responsible here to make decisions and we try to have as much discussion up front and early and sometimes, you know, other things happen, like that wonderful economic summit we all went to and we all came away thinking oh my gosh, what more could we do so these are some of the things that we're faced with and we need to make decisions at times. So, thanks.

Chair Peterson:

Thank you. Commissioner Lehan:

Commissioner Lehan:

I'm very pleased with where we've finally come to and I would just add in terms of the amendment and the contingency move, I'm the first one to be reluctant to throw money at economic development because I think a lot of times there isn't a clear path but this I think we've made it clear that we want to use this only if there's a clear return if it is a – there's a program that we haven't anticipated or that we haven't identified yet that truly could make a difference in terms of jobs then we want to be ready to move and move quickly in that regard. So I'm comfortable with that.

Chair Peterson:

Good. I just want to say that the only reason that I voted against it was just because of the uncomfortableness of moving money out of contingency without any kind of discussion and so it wasn't that I didn't want the outcome 'cause I think we all want the same outcome. I think it was just a matter of comfortableness and I know that we will get there and I'm glad that we will be able to look at how to really work in this arena on economic development so I'm pleased about that. And I'm pleased that we had the discussion even with the angst that it caused.

I think that the discussion amongst the Board, amongst the citizens and what are our priorities in Clackamas County, it is always a good one to really sit down and say, okay what it is that we need to do to make sure that we have offered up all of the services that we need to for Clackamas County and arts is definitely one of those that we wanted to maintain so I'm glad we found a way and thank you for finding ways to make that level commitment to continue to happen. All right, Commissioner Lininger has one final comment.

Commissioner Lininger:

Similarly, my vote on that was in no way a vote against jobs or economic development but rather recognition that through our budget modification process we actually have the authority to move up to \$5.72 million for the right reasons during the budget year and having received this information with little lead time, it seemed to me appropriate that we talk about it even more first, but I am looking forward to working on finding very effective ways to deploy all of our money, including this money to benefit the residents of Clackamas County.

Commissioner Austin:

Chair Peterson, just one very, very minute comment.

Chair Peterson:

Commissioner Austin, this is an important thing, the budget.

Commissioner Austin:

It really is, it really is important and in terms of how we're dealing with the subsequent appropriation during our budget committee meetings, we did speak a lot about, and had a lots of discussion about how we would track the use of these funds, they would be used differently, they'd be tracked, performance indicators. We talked about a lot so there will be development of performance indicators for each and every area that this money is directed towards. So money that's directed towards, you know, the juvenile beds, or drug treatment programs will have something that we can look at and see how well did we do there. Same for economic development. When we get to that piece for business development, we will have indicators and so we can go back later and say, look we think this is a wise use of money because, and so that's what we're planning to do in all of these categories so I just wanted to highlight that, so, thank you.

Chair Peterson:

If there's no further discussion. . .

Steven Lounsbury:

Madam Chair, may I just ask a point of clarification. Since the motion as amended passed three to two, it would seem that the original main motion would need to fail then as made by Commissioner Lininger. Since you amended her motion and that motion passed three to two, the main motion would now become rejected automatically by that amended vote.

Chair Peterson:

Oh, we need a new motion?

Steven Lounsbury:

You'd have to move on the main motion.

Commissioner Bernard:

Move on the main motion 'because we already passed the amended motion. Roberts Rules of Order?

Steven Lounsbury:

Well . . .

Commissioner Lininger:

Well, how about if we move to adopt the budget subject . . . well gosh. . .

Commissioner Bernard:

. . . as amended . . .

Commissioner Lining:er:

. . . as amended by Commissioner Bernard's motion which encompasses the first motion and adds to it with the \$200,000 and language of juvenile beds as an additional for example in how we might deploy the first million.

Steven Lounsbury:

Okay, great.

Chair Peterson:

It's been moved by Commissioner Lining:er and seconded by Commissioner Lehan. Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

**Commissioner Lining:er:** Aye.

**Commissioner Lehan:** Aye.

**Commissioner Bernard:** Aye.

**Commissioner Austin:** Aye.

**Chair Peterson:** Aye.

All those opposed.

The ayes have it and the motion is approved - unanimously. Thank you Steve Lounsbury for pointing that out. All right, with that we'll move on to the rest of our agenda which encompasses a whole lot of other budget decisions so if you would like to leave now, it would be a good time and I appreciate it. Thank you all for coming.

*End transcription~*

---

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Enhanced Law Enforcement District Board on this issue.

2. Board Order No. **2010-79** Adopting the Enhanced Law Enforcement District Budget and Related Issues for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year

Diane Padilla, Budget Manager presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Austin: I move we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for Clackamas County Enhanced Law Enforcement District as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lining:er: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Clackamas County Extension and 4-H Service District on this issue.

3. Board Order No. **2010-80** Adopting the Clackamas County Extension and 4-H Service District Budget and Related Issues for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year

Diane Padilla, Budget Manager presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Bernard: I move we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for the Clackamas County Extension and 4-H Service District as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Library Service District Board on this issue.

4. Board Order No. **2010-81** Adopting the Library Service District of Clackamas County Budget and Related Issues for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year

Gary Barth, Business and Community Services presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Austin: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for the Library Service District of Clackamas County as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Bernard: Second.

*~Board discussion~*

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Estacada Area County Service District Library Services Board on this issue.

5. Board Order No. **2010-82** Adopting the Estacada Area County Service District Library Services Budget and Related Issues for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year

Gary Barth, Business and Community Services presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Lininger: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for the Estacada Area County Service District for Library Services as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Bernard: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the North Clackamas Parks & Recreation District Board on this issue.

6. Board Order No. **2010-83** Adopting the North Clackamas Parks & Recreation District's 2010-2011 Fiscal Year Budget and Making Appropriations and Imposing and Categorizing Taxes for the Period of July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 (Gary Barth)  
Gary Barth, Business and Community Services presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and stated one person has signed up to speak.

Paul Salvas, PO Box 68240, Oak Grove, had no comments on this item.

Chair Peterson asked if anyone else wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Lininger: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for the North Clackamas Parks and Recreation District as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Austin: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Development Agency Board on this issue.

7. Board Order No. **2010-84** Adopting and Appropriating Funds for the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year Budget for the Clackamas County Development Agency

Dan Johnson, Clackamas County Development Agency presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Lininger: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2009-2010 budget for the Clackamas County Development Agency as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Austin: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Service District No. 5 Board on the next two issues.

8. Board Order No. **2010-85** Adopting and Appropriating Funds for the 2010-2011 FY Budget for Clackamas County Service District No. 5

Wendi Coryell, Service District No. 5, presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Bernard: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for Clackamas County Service District No. 5 as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Linger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

9. Board Order No. **2010-86** Setting Rates for Street Lighting Services Charge in Clackamas County Service District No. 5

Wendi Coryell, Service District No. 5, presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Bernard: I move that we approve and adopt the new rate schedule for Clackamas County Service District No. 5 as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Linger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

10. Board Order No. **2010-87** Adopting Changed Fees and Fines for Clackamas County for Fiscal Year 2010-2011

Steve Wheeler, County Administrator, presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Austin: I move that we approve and adopt the changed fees and fines for Clackamas County, fiscal year 2010-2011 as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Linger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

*(Item 11 has three motions)*

11. Board Order No. **2010-88, 2010-89** and **2010-90** Adopting and Appropriating Funds for the 2010-2011 FY Budgets for Clackamas County Service District No. 1, Tri-City Service District and the Surface Water Management Agency of Clackamas County

Doug Waugh, Water Environment Services presented staff reports for Clackamas County Service District No. 1, Tri-City Service District and the Surface Water Management Agency of Clackamas County.

Chair Peterson stated the Board will make separate motions for each of the three Service Districts. She announced the Board is sitting as the Service District No. 1 Board on this issue. She then announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Austin: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for Clackamas County Service District No. 1 as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lininger: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Tri-City Service District Board on this issue. She then announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Bernard: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for Clackamas County Tri-City Service District as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Surface Water Management Agency Board on this issue. She then announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Austin: I move that we approve the actions and adopt the 2010-2011 budget for Clackamas County Surface Water Management Agency as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Bernard: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.



Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as Service District No. 1 Board on this issue 12. Board Order No. **2010-91** Amending and Adopting Rates and Charges for Clackamas County Service District No. 1

Doug Waugh, Water Environment Services presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Lehan: I move that we amend and adopt the rates and charges for the Clackamas County Service District No. 1 as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Lininger: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

Chair Peterson announced the Board is sitting as the Tri-City Service District Board on this issue 13. Board Order No. **2010-92** Amending and Adopting Rates and Charges for the Tri-City Service District

Doug Waugh, Water Environment Services presented the staff report.

Chair Peterson announced this is a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak, seeing none she asked for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Lehan: I move that we amend and adopt the rates and charges for the Clackamas County Tri-City Service District as presented in the board order.

Commissioner Austin: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

**III. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

*~NO DISCUSSION ITEMS SCHEDULED*

**IV. CONSENT AGENDA**

**MOTION:**

Commissioner Austin: I move that we approve the consent agenda.

Commissioner Lehan: Second.

Chair Peterson – all those in favor:

Commissioner Lininger: Aye.

Commissioner Lehan: Aye.

Commissioner Bernard: Aye.

Commissioner Austin: Aye.

Chair Peterson: Aye.

Chair Peterson – all those opposed: - The Ayes have it and the motion is approved.

**A. Health, Housing & Human Services**

1. Approval of an Agreement between Clackamas County and the Edwards Center to use Neighborhood Stabilization Program Funds to Purchase and Rehabilitate a Foreclosed Home - CD
2. Approval of a Construction Agreement between the Community Development Division and Fran Construction for Building Improvements for the Gladstone Health Clinic – CD
3. Approval of a Change Order to the Construction Contract between the Community Development Division and Nomarco Construction for the Improvements to the Relief Nursery Project – Phase I - CD
4. Approval of a Construction Contract between Clackamas County Community Development and P&C Construction Company for the Construction of the Children's Center Project - CD
5. Approval of an Agency Services Contract with Compass Group USA, Inc. dba Bateman Food Service for Five Clackamas County Older Americans Act Nutrition Program Meal Sites – SSD
6. Approval of an Interagency Agreement Renewal with the City of Lake Oswego/Lake Oswego Adult Community Center to Provide Social Services for Clackamas County Residents – SSD
7. Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County to Provide Funding for a Regional Liaison to Region One - SSD
8. Approval of a Mental Health Services Agreement with the Next Door, Inc. for Outpatient Mental Health Services – CH
9. Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement with Oregon City School District No. 62 for a Mental Health Promotion Program - CH

**B. Department of Transportation & Development**

1. Approval of a Partnership Agreement with Clackamas River Basin Council to Accept a Grant for the Installation of a New Bridge over Spring Creek on Mattoon Road

**C. Finance Department**

1. Approval of an Extension of the Lease with C.G.F. Family Limited Partnership for the Oak Lodge Library

**D. Department of Employee Services**

1. Approval of the Labor Contract between Clackamas County and AFSCME – Water Environment Services (WES)
2. Approval of the Labor Contract between Clackamas County and AFSCME-Department of Transportation & Development (DTD)
3. Approval of the Labor Contract between Clackamas County and AFSCME – Department of Communications (C-COM)